Understanding the players by how they play (Halo: Reach)

Aaron Clauset Department of Computer Science University of Colorado: Boulder <u>Aaron.Clauset@colorado.edu</u> Winter Mason Howe School of Technology Mgmt. Stevens Institute of Technology <u>m@winteram.com</u>

Overview

- Prior work & motivation
- Halo
- Our collected data
- Survey
- Description of respondents
- Friends on Halo

NOTE: Preliminary results!

Behavioral traces

- Online behavior provides useful clues about the actors
 - Marketers use it for demographic and behavioral targeting in advertisements
 - Sites use it to improve customer experience
 - Researchers use it to understand and predict behavior

Online behavior

- Using mobile phone data, Eagle & Pentland (2007) successfully inferred demographic data from mobility patterns
- Many studies have looked at parallels between online and offline behavior
 - Diffusion of gestures in Second Life (Bakshy et al)
 - Proxemics in Second Life (Friedman et al)
 - Development of social norms in MUDs & MOOs (Becker et al)
 - Halo 3 friendships (Xu et al)

Halo: Reach

- Massive online first-person shooter (FPS) game played on XBox
- Campaign games, played with at most two people
- Competitive games:
 - 2 ≤ N ≤ 16
 - Team games (2 v 2; 4 v 4; 8 v 8)
 - Objective games (e.g., capture the flag)
 - Free-for-all games





Matchmaking

- Players can join game as a group (although assignment to same team not guaranteed) or as individuals
- Assignment to teams by TrueSkill™ (Herbrich & Graepel), a Bayesian modeling framework
- Attempts to ensure evenly matched games
- Games start when sufficient number of players are matched to game

Glossary

- Kills
- Deaths
- Assists
 - Player 1 greatly injures an opponent, "assisting"
 Player 2 who kills injured opponent
- Betrayal
 - Killing player on own team
- Suicide
 - Throwing yourself off a cliff



- Bungie, inc. (the makers of Halo) opened an API to access information about players & games
- Currently over 350M games played
- Over 10M players from around the world
- Many enthusiastic fans



- In addition to polling API for random game info...
- Created survey for Halo players
- Advertised through Facebook & Halo forums
- Asked respondents to recommend survey to friends



- Demographics
 - gender, age, location (country & postal code), language, education
- Entativity
 - Psychometrics on how much they feel their team is a group
- Cohesion
 - Psychometrics on how cohesive they feel the team members are
- Conflict
 - Psychometric on how much conflict their team has



- Leader Style
 - Whether they are / prefer to be a leader, follower, or lone wolf
- Team roles
 - Whether their team has consistent roles
- Friendships
 - Whether they made new (online / offline) friends through Halo
- Game Play
 - How often they play, what they tend to play, etc.

Survey landing page



Survey landing page 2



Survey respondents

- 1182 respondents completed survey
- 99.58% reported gender; of these, 94.9% were male
- Average age: 22.4Median age: 20



Survey respondents

MAJORITY OF RESPONDENTS ARE FROM U.S.

LARGE MAJORITY OF RESPONDENTS SPEAK ENGLISH



- Collected all games for random sample of 939,000 players
- Obtained all games for these players
- Provides baseline to estimate bias in survey sample

 Survey players are much more active, in number of games



 Survey players are much more active, in number of games as well as time spent



- Survey players are much more active, in number of games as well as time spent
- Survey players have more kills



- Survey players are much more active, in number of games as well as time spent
- Survey players have more kills, but they also die more



- Survey players are much more active, in number of games as well as time spent
- Survey players have more kills, but they also die more
- Survey players are much better at the game



Breakdown of Survey Responses



Leader Style

 Most players prefer to play in "support" roles



Leader Style

- Most players prefer to play in "support" roles
- The leaders have significantly more assists, and the lone wolves have significantly fewer



Leader Style

- Most players prefer to play in "support" roles
- The leaders have significantly more assists, and the lone wolves have significantly fewer
- The leaders win significantly more



Age

- Split age into thirds: 10-18, 19-23, 24-57
- 19-23 year-olds assist more than other ages



Age

- Split age into thirds: 10-18, 19-23, 24-57
- 19-23 year-olds assist more than other ages
- 10-18 year-olds betray their teammates more



Age

- Split age into thirds: 10-18, 19-23, 24-57
- 19-23 year-olds assist more than other ages
- 10-18 year-olds betray their teammates more and commit suicide more often
- 24-57 year-olds win less



Entativity

- 1. How tightly knit is your group?
- 2. How important is the group to your interest in Halo?
- 3. How important is winning?
- 4. How important is the group to winning?
- 5. To what extent does your group achieve its goals?
- 6. To what extent does your group act collectively?
- 7. Do you consistently work together or do your own thing?

- 8. Do you rely on each other for help?
- 9. Does your group all feel included in activites?
- 10. How much unity do you feel?
- 11. Do you talk about non-Halo topics?
- 12. How similar are group members to each other?
- 13. How much do you know about your group members?
- 14. How much do you like your group?

Entativity





Correlation plot

Entativity

- Averaged correlated entativity questions
- Median split on responses (~ 4.1)
- Players who feel they are part of highly entitative groups have more assists



Cohesion

- Many players in my group are ideal teammates
- 2. I feel included in the group's activites
- 3. I really enjoy playing Halo with this group
- 4. If my group wanted to stop playing together, I would try to dissuade them

 If I were to play a game like Halo, I

game like Halo, I would want to play with this group

- 6. I can play Halo the way I like with this group
- 7. Compared to other teams, my team is the best at working together

Cohesion





Correlation plot

Conflict

- Everyone on my team gets along well
- We have lots of arguments over who should do what job
- The members of my group fight amongst themselves
- 4. Not everyone in my group gets along well

5. The members of my group are supportive of each others' plans

- There are clashes between subgroups of my group
- 7. There is friendliness among the members of my group
- 8. There is a "we" feeling among members of my group
Conflict





Conflict

- Averaged correlated conflict questions
- Median split on responses (~ 1.6)
- Players who feel they are part of highly conflicted groups commit suicide more often



Conflict

- Averaged correlated conflict questions
- Median split on responses (~ 1.6)
- Players who feel they are part of highly conflicted groups commit suicide more often and marginally more betrayals



Friends

- Players were presented with list of players they had played the most games with
- Could declare each one to be friends online and/or friends offline
- We consider game streaks—the number of games two players play together without more than ¹/₂ hour break

Degree Distribution

ONLINE FRIENDS





Mutual games

- Differentiates online friends from nonfriends
- Does not as successfully differentiate offline friends from nonfriends



Streak distribution

Not offline friend

Offline friend



Predicting Player Attributes

Predicting Age

- Tried two models:
 - Linear model
 - Regression tree
- Features:
 - Number of games of each type played
 - Kills, deaths, assists, betrayals, suicides, wins, losses

Predicting Age

Linear model

- R² = 0.23
- Key predictors are
 - Firefight
 - Headshots
 - Betrayals
 - Losses
 - Playtime
- Regression Tree
 - R² = 0.19
 - Firefight, suicides, custom



Predicting Gender

- Logistic regression
 - Firefight
 - Wins
 - Playtime
- SVM
 - Marginal performance (AUC = 0.74)



SVM classification plot

Predicting Leader Style

- Logistic Regression
 - Assists
 - Playtime
- SVM
 - Marginal classification accuracy
 - Diagonal = 0.5
 - Kappa = 0.13

	Lone wolf	Team leader	Team support
Lone wolf	30	30	40
Team leader	10	32	27
Team support	75	90	209

Predicting friends

 Fair predictive accuracy for online friends

Friends Online ~ Games Played + p(Games Played)



Predicting friends

- Fair predictive accuracy for online friends
- Poor predictive accuracy for offline friends



Friends Offline ~ Games Played + p(Games Played)

Future directions

- Improve current models?
- Predicting psychometric properties
 - Group cohesion
 - Group conflict
- Predicting (offline) friends
 - Additional features (e.g., campaign co-op)
 - Better model?
 - If accuracy can be improved, what are the social networks like?

Future directions (pt. 2)

- Predicting wins & losses
 - Beat TrueSkill[™]
 - Leverage inferred friendships
- Identify "team" effects
 - Do players perform better with teammates than strangers?
- Identify externalities
 - Do some players help (or hurt) others who are on their team
- Do teams become more specialized?
 - Have information about weapon use. As players play together more, do they become weapons specialists?