## CS 383: Artificial Intelligence

## Probability



## Today

- Probability
- Random Variables
- Joint and Marginal Distributions
- Conditional Distributions
- Product Rule, Chain Rule, Bayes' Rule
- Inference
- Independence
- You'll need all this stuff A LOT for the nex few weeks, so make sure you go over it
 now!


## Inference in Ghostbusters

- A ghost is in the grid somewhere
- Noisy sensor readings tell how close a square is to the ghost. Most likely observations:
- On the ghost: red
- 1 or 2 away: orange
- 3 or 4 away: yellow
- 5+ away: green

- Sensors are noisy, but we know P(Color | Distance)

| $P($ red \| 3) | $P($ orange \| 3) | $P$ (yellow \| 3) | $P($ green \| 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.5 | 0.3 |

## Ghostbusters, no probabilities



## Uncertainty

- General situation:
- Observed variables (evidence): Agent knows certain things about the state of the world (e.g., sensor readings or symptoms)

- Unobserved variables: Agent needs to reason about other aspects (e.g. where an object is or what disease is present)
- Model: Agent knows something about how the known variables relate to the unknown variables

- Probabilistic reasoning gives us a framework for



## Random Variables

- A random variable is some aspect of the world about which we (may) have uncertainty
- $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Is}$ it raining?
- T = Is it hot or cold?
- $D=$ How long will it take to drive to work?
- $\mathrm{L}=$ Where is the ghost?
- We denote random variables with capital letters
- Like variables in a CSP, random variables have domains
- R in $\{$ true, false $\}$ (often write as $\{+r,-r\}$ )
- T in \{hot, cold\}

- D in $[0, \infty)$
- L in possible locations, maybe $\{(0,0),(0,1), \ldots\}$


## Probability Distributions

- Associate a probability with each value
- Temperature:

- Weather:

| $P(T)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| T | P |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |



## Probability Distributions

- Unobserved random variables have distributions
$P(T)$

| T | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |


| $P(W)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | P |
| sun | 0.6 |
| rain | 0.1 |
| fog | 0.3 |
| meteor | 0.0 |

- A discrete distribution is a table of probabilities of values

Shorthand notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { hot }) & =P(T=\text { hot }) \\
P(\text { cold }) & =P(T=\text { cold }) \\
P(\text { rain }) & =P(W=\text { rain })
\end{aligned}
$$

OK if all domain entries are unique

- A probability (lower case value) is a single number

$$
P(W=\text { rain })=0.1
$$

- Must have: $\forall x \quad P(X=x) \geq 0$

$$
\text { and } \sum_{x} P(X=x)=1
$$

## Joint Distributions

- A joint distribution over a set of random variables: specifies a real number for each assignment (or outcome):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}, \ldots X_{n}=x_{n}\right) \\
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Must obey:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) & \geq 0 \\
\sum_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right) & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}
$$

- Size of distribution if n variables with domain sizes d ?
- For all but the smallest distributions, impractical to write out!

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

## Probabilistic Models

- A probabilistic model is a joint distribution over a set of random variables
- Probabilistic models:
- (Random) variables with domains
- Assignments are called outcomes
- Joint distributions: say whether assignments (outcomes) are likely
- Normalized: sum to 1.0
- Ideally: only certain variables directly interact
- Constraint satisfaction problems:
- Variables with domains
- Constraints: state whether assignments are possible
- Ideally: only certain variables directly interact

Distribution over T,W

| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |



Constraint over T,W

| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | $T$ |
| hot | rain | $F$ |
| cold | sun | $F$ |
| cold | rain | T |



## Events

- An event is a set E of outcomes

$$
P(E)=\sum_{\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right) \in E} P\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)
$$

- From a joint distribution, we can calculate the probability of any event

$$
P(T, W)
$$

- Probability that it's hot AND sunny?
- Probability that it's hot?
- Probability that it's hot OR sunny?
- Typically, the events we care about are partial

| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 | assignments, like $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{hot})$

## Quiz: Events

- $P(+x,+y)$ ?
- $P(+x)$ ?

| $X$ | $Y$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+x$ | $+y$ | 0.2 |
| $+x$ | $-y$ | 0.3 |
| $-x$ | $+y$ | 0.4 |
| $-x$ | $-y$ | 0.1 |

- $P(-y O R+x)$ ?


## Marginal Distributions

- Marginal distributions are sub-tables which eliminate variables
- Marginalization (summing out): Combine collapsed rows by adding

$$
P(T)
$$

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

$$
P(t)=\sum_{s} P(t, s)
$$

| T | P |
| :---: | :---: |
| hot | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |

$$
P(W)
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{P(s)=\sum_{t} P(t, s)}
$$

| $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.6 |
| rain | 0.4 |

$$
P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}\right)=\sum_{x_{2}} P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, X_{2}=x_{2}\right)
$$



## Quiz: Marginal Distributions

| $P(X, Y)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Y | P |
| +x | +y | 0.1 |
| +x | -y | 0.5 |
| -x | +y | 0.2 |
| -x | -y | 0.2 |



| X | P |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +x |  |  |
| -x |  |  |
| $P(Y)$ |  |  |


| $Y$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $+y$ |  |
| $-y$ |  |

## Conditional Probabilities

- A simple relation between joint and conditional probabilities
- In fact, this is taken as the definition of a conditional probability

$$
P(a \mid b)=\frac{P(a, b)}{P(b)}
$$

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |



$$
\begin{gathered}
P(W=s \mid T=c)=\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(T=c)}=\frac{0.2}{0.5}=0.4 \\
\\
=P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c) \\
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quiz: Conditional Probabilities

- $P(+x \mid+y)$ ?
$P(X, Y)$

| $X$ | $y$ | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +x | +y | 0.2 |
| +x | -y | 0.3 |
| -x | +y | 0.4 |
| -x | -y | 0.1 |

- $P(-x \mid+y)$ ?
- $P(-y \mid+x)$ ?


## Conditional Distributions

- Conditional distributions are probability distributions over some variables given fixed values of others

Conditional Distributions
Joint Distribution


| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

## Normalization Trick

$$
P(T, W)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W=s \mid T=c) & =\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
& =\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c)} \\
& =\frac{0.2}{0.2+0.3}=0.4 \\
P(W=r \mid T=c) & =\frac{P(W=r, T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
& =\frac{P(W \mid T=c)}{P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c)} \\
& =\frac{0.3}{0.2+0.3}=0.6
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline W & P \\
\hline \text { sun } & 0.4 \\
\hline \text { rain } & 0.6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

| T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

## Normalization Trick

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W=s \mid T=c) & =\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
& =\frac{P(W=s, T=c)}{P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c)} \\
& =\frac{0.2}{0.2+0.3}=0.4
\end{aligned}
$$

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence


| $P(c, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

NORMALIZE the selection (make it sum to one)


$$
P(W \mid T=c)
$$

| $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.4 |
| rain | 0.6 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(W=r \mid T=c) & =\frac{P(W=r, T=c)}{P(T=c)} \\
& =\frac{P(W=r, T=c)}{P(W=s, T=c)+P(W=r, T=c)} \\
& =\frac{0.3}{0.2+0.3}=0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normalization Trick

| $P(T, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | W | P |
| hot | sun | 0.4 |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence


| NORMALIZE the |
| :---: |
| selection |
| (make it sum to one) |


| T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 | | $P(W \mid T=c)$ |
| :---: |
| W |$\quad$| sun | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| rain | 0.6 |

- Why does this work?

$$
P\left(x_{1} \mid x_{2}\right)=\frac{P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{P\left(x_{2}\right)}=\frac{P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\sum_{x_{1}} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}
$$

## Quiz: Normalization Trick

- $P(X \mid Y=-y)$ ?

| $P(X, Y)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $X$ $Y$ $P$ <br> $+x$ $+y$ 0.3 <br> $+x$ $-y$ 0.1 <br> $-x$ $+y$ 0.5 <br> $-x$ $-y$ 0.1 |  |

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence


NORMALIZE the selection (make it sum to one)

## To Normalize

- (Dictionary) To bring or restore to a normal condition

- Procedure:
- Step 1: Compute Z = sum over all entries
- Step 2: Divide every entry by Z
- Example 1

| W | P | Normalize | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sun | 0.2 |  | sun | 0.4 |
| rain | 0.3 | $\mathrm{Z}=0.5$ | rain | 0.6 |

- Example 2

| $T$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | sun | 20 |
| hot | rain | 5 |
| cold | sun | 10 |
| cold | rain | 15 |

Normalize

| Normalize |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T$ | $W$ | | hot | sun | 0.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hot | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

## Probabilistic Inference

- Probabilistic inference: compute a desired probability from other known probabilities (e.g. conditional from joint)
- We generally compute conditional probabilities
- $\mathrm{P}($ on time | no reported accidents) $=0.90$
- These represent the agent's beliefs given the evidence
- Probabilities change with new evidence:
- P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m.) $=0.95$
- P (on time | no accidents, 5 a.m., raining) $=0.80$

- Observing new evidence causes beliefs to be updated


## Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
- Evidence variables:
- Query* variable:
- Hidden variables: $H_{1} \ldots H_{r}$
- We want:
* Works fine with multiple query
variables, too

$$
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)
$$

- Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence

- Step 2: Sum out H to get joint of Query and evidence


$$
P\left(Q, e_{1} \ldots e_{k}\right)=\sum_{h_{1} \ldots h_{r}} P(\underbrace{Q, h_{1} \ldots h_{r}, c_{1} \ldots e_{k}}_{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}})
$$

- Step 3: Normalize

$$
\begin{gathered}
\times \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{Z}} \\
Z=\sum_{q} P\left(Q, e_{1} \cdots \epsilon_{k}\right) \\
P\left(Q \mid e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} P\left(Q, e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Inference by Enumeration

- $P(W)$ ?
$p(W=$ sun $)=0.3+0.1+0.1+0.15=0.65$
$p(W=$ rain $)=0.05+0.05+0.05+0.2=0.35$
- $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W} \mid$ winter $)$ ?
$p(W=$ sun , winter $)=0.1+0.15=0.25$
$p(W=$ rain, winter $)=0.05+0.2=0.25$
$p(\mathrm{~W}=$ sun $\mid$ winter $)=0.25 / 0.25+0.25=0.5$
$p(W=$ rain | winter $)=0.25 / 0.25+0.25=0.5$
- P(W | winter, hot)?
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{W}=$ sun, winter, hot $)=0.1$
$p(W=$ rain , winter, hot $)=0.05$
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{W}=$ sun $\mid$ winter, hot $)=0.1 / 0.1+0.05=2 / 3$
$p(W=$ rain | winter, hot $)=0.05 / 0.1+0.05=1 / 3$

| S | T | W | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| summer | hot | sun | 0.30 |
| summer | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot | sun | 0.10 |
| winter | hot | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

## Inference by Enumeration

- Obvious problems:
- Worst-case time complexity $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
- Space complexity $O\left(d^{n}\right)$ to store the joint distribution
- What about continuous distributions?


## The Product Rule

- Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint

$$
P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(y)}
$$

## The Product Rule

## $P(y) P(x \mid y)=P(x, y)$

- Example:

| $P(W)$ |  | $P(D \mid W)$ |  |  |  | $P(D, W)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D | W | P |  | D | W | P |
| R | P | wet | sun | 0.1 |  | wet | sun |  |
| sun | 0.8 | dry | sun | 0.9 |  | dry | sun |  |
| rain | 0.2 | wet | rain | 0.7 |  | wet | rain |  |
|  |  | dry | rain | 0.3 |  | dry | rain | - - |

## The Chain Rule

- More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=P\left(x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) P\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i} P\left(x_{i} \mid x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Why is this always true?


## Bayes' Rule

- Two ways to factor a joint distribution over two variables:

$$
P(x, y)=P(x \mid y) P(y)=P(y \mid x) P(x)
$$

- Dividing, we get:

$$
P(x \mid y)=\frac{P(y \mid x)}{P(y)} P(x)
$$

- Why is this at all helpful?
- Lets us build one conditional from its reverse
- Often one conditional is tricky but the other one is simple
- Foundation of many systems we'll see later

- In the running for most important Al equation!


## Inference with Bayes' Rule

- Example: Diagnostic probability from causal probability:

$$
P(\text { cause } \mid \text { effect })=\frac{P(\text { effect } \mid \text { cause }) P(\text { cause })}{P(\text { effect })}
$$

- Example:
- M: meningitis, S: stiff neck

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P(+m)=0.0001 \\
P(+s \mid+m)=0.8 \\
P(+s \mid-m)=0.01
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Example } \\
& \text { givens }
\end{aligned}
$$

$P(+m \mid+s)=\frac{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)}{P(+s)}=\frac{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)}{P(+s \mid+m) P(+m)+P(+s \mid-m) P(-m)}=\frac{0.8 \times 0.0001}{0.8 \times 0.0001+0.01 \times 0.999}$

- Note: posterior probability of meningitis still very small
$=0.0008$
- Note: you should still get stiff necks checked out! Why?


## Quiz: Bayes' Rule

- Given:

| $P(W)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| R | P |
| sun | 0.8 |
| rain | 0.2 |

$P(D \mid W)$

| $D$ | $W$ | $P$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wet | sun | 0.1 |
| dry | sun | 0.9 |
| wet | rain | 0.7 |
| dry | rain | 0.3 |

- What is $P(W \mid d r y)$ ?
$p($ sun $\mid$ dry $)=p($ dry $\mid$ sun $) p($ sun $) / p(d r y)=0.9 * 0.8 / Z=.72 / Z$
$p($ rain $\mid$ dry $)=p($ dry $\mid$ rain $) p($ rain $) / p($ dry $)=0.3^{*} 0.2 / Z=0.06 / Z$
$Z=.72+.06=.78$


## Ghostbusters, Revisited

- Let's say we have two distributions:

- We can calculate the posterior distribution $P(G \mid r)$ over ghost locations given a reading using Bayes' rule:

$$
P(g \mid r) \propto P(r \mid g) P(g)
$$



## Ghostbusters with Probability

Ghosthusters, Revisited

| 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.04 | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 |
| 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 0.04 | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |


|  |
| :---: |
| NRS64 ITRS: <br> x-nant at. (3, 4) \|GRKTX] |
| SUST |
| TMME + 1 |

