# Gradient Descent for Linear Regression

Dan Sheldon

November 18, 2014

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

### Announcements

- Reading / slides posted
- HW0 due before fourth hour tomorrow
- ▶ HW1 posted tomorrow, due next Friday

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Today

- Quick review
- Intuition about partial derivatives
- Gradient descent update rules for linear regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Linear algebra

# Review: Supervised Learning

Observe list of training examples  $(x^{(i)},y^{(i)}),$  want to find a function h such that  $y^{(i)}\approx h(x^{(i)})$  for all i

Variations:

- Type of x (real number, image, etc.)
- Type of y (real number, 0/1,  $\{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$ )
- ► Type of *h*

# Cost function paradigm

Define **parametric** function  $h_{\theta}(x)$  with parameters  $\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_n$ . E.g.:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x$$

Define **cost function**  $J(\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_n)$  to measure quality (lower is better) of different hypotheses. E.g.:

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$

Use a numerical **optimization algorithm** to find  $\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_n$  to minimize  $J(\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_n)$ . E.g., gradient descent.

### Gradient Descent

To minimize a function  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  of two variables

- Intialize  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  arbitrarily
- Repeat until convergence

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$
$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

•  $\alpha$  = step-size or **learning rate** (not too big)

# Partial derivative intuition

Interpretation of partial derivative:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}J(\theta_0,\theta_1)$  is the rate of change along the  $\theta_j$  axis

Example: illustrate funciton with elliptical contours

- Sign of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ ?
- Sign of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$ ?
- Which has larger absolute value?

### Gradient descent intuition

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$
$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$$

- Why does this move in the direction of steepest descent?
- What would we do if we wanted to maximize  $J(\theta_0, \theta_1)$  instead?

Illustration: contours of linear functions, circle around current point

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Gradient descent for linear regression

Algorithm

$$heta_j := heta_j - lpha rac{\partial}{\partial heta_j} J( heta_0, heta_1) \quad ext{ for } j = 0, 1$$

#### Cost function

$$J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We need to calculate partial derivatives.

Let's first do this with a single training example (x, y):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y)^2$$

Let's first do this with a single training example (x, y):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y)^2$$
$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (h_\theta(x) - y)$$

Let's first do this with a single training example (x, y):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y)^2$$
$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (h_\theta(x) - y)$$
$$= (h_\theta(x) - y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x - y)$$

Let's first do this with a single training example (x, y):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y)^2$$
$$= 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(x) - y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (h_\theta(x) - y)$$
$$= (h_\theta(x) - y) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} (\theta_0 + \theta_1 x - y)$$

So we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = (h_\theta(x) - y)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = (h_\theta(x) - y)x$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ 三▶ ★ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

More generally, with many training examples (work this out):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)}$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

More generally, with many training examples (work this out):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} J(\theta_0, \theta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)}$$

So the algorithm is:

$$\theta_0 := \theta_0 - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)$$
  
$$\theta_1 := \theta_1 - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^m \left( h_\theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Demo: parameter space vs. hypotheses

Show MATLAB gradient descent demo

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Gradient descent in higher dimensions

Straightforward generalization to minimize a function  $J(\theta_0, \ldots, \theta_n)$  of many variables:

- Intialize  $\theta_j$  arbitrarily for all j
- Repeat until convergence

$$\theta_j = \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} J(\theta)$$
 for all  $j$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(simultaneuous updates)