

CMPSCI 311: Introduction to Algorithms

Dan Sheldon

University of Massachusetts

Last Compiled: March 1, 2017

Greedy Algorithms

We are moving on to our study of algorithm design techniques:

- ▶ Greedy
- ▶ Divide-and-conquer
- ▶ Dynamic programming
- ▶ Network flow

Let's jump right in, then characterize later what it means to be "greedy".

Interval Scheduling

- ▶ In the 80s, your only opportunity to watch a specific TV show was the time it was broadcast. Unfortunately, on a given night there might be multiple shows that you want to watch and some of the broadcast times overlap.

Example on board

- ▶ You want to watch the highest number of shows. Which subset of shows do you pick?
- ▶ Fine print: assume you like all shows equally, you only have one TV, and you need to watch shows in their entirety.

Interval Scheduling

Let's formalize the problem

- ▶ Shows $1, 2, \dots, n$ (more generally: "requests" to be fulfilled with a given resource)
- ▶ s_j : start time of show j
- ▶ f_j (sometimes $f(j)$): finish time of show j
- ▶ Shows i and j are **compatible** if they don't overlap.
- ▶ Set A of shows is **compatible** all pairs in A are compatible.
- ▶ Set A of shows is **optimal**... if it is compatible and no other compatible set is larger.

Greedy Algorithms

- ▶ Main idea in greedy algorithms is to make one choice at a time in a "greedy" fashion. (Choose the thing that looks best, never look back...)
- ▶ For shows, we will sort in some "natural order" and add shows to list one by one if they are compatible with the shows already chosen. Concretely:

```
 $R \leftarrow$  be the set of all shows sorted by some property  
 $A \leftarrow \{\}$  ▷ selected shows  
while  $R$  is not empty do  
  Take first show  $i$  from  $R$   
  Add  $i$  to  $A$   
  Delete  $i$  and all overlapping shows from  $R$   
end while
```

Greedy Algorithm for Interval Scheduling

- ▶ What's a "natural order"?
 - ▶ *Start Time*: Consider shows in ascending order of s_j .
 - ▶ *Finish Time*: Consider shows in ascending order of f_j .
 - ▶ *Shortest Time*: Consider shows in ascending order of $f_j - s_j$.
 - ▶ *Fewest Conflicts*: Let c_j be number of shows which overlap with show j . Consider shows in ascending order of c_j .
- ▶ Sorting shows by finish time gives an optimal solution in examples. Let's try to prove that it will always be optimal.

Analysis

Let A be the set of shows returned by the algorithm when shows are sorted by finish time. What do we need to prove?

- ▶ A is compatible (obvious property of algorithm)
- ▶ A is optimal

We will prove A is optimal by a “greedy stays ahead” argument
[Proof on board.](#)

Ordering by Finish Time is Optimal: “Greedy Stays Ahead”

- ▶ Let $A = i_1, \dots, i_k$ be the intervals selected by the greedy algorithm
- ▶ Let $O = j_1, \dots, j_m$ be the intervals of some optimal solution O
- ▶ Assume both are sorted by finish time
 $A: | \text{---}i_1\text{---} | | \text{---}i_2\text{---} | \dots | \text{---}i_k\text{---} |$
 $O: | \text{---}j_1\text{---} | | \text{---}j_2\text{---} | \dots | \text{---}j_m\text{---} |$
- ▶ Could it be the case that $m > k$?
- ▶ Observation: $f(i_1) \leq f(j_1)$. The first show in A finishes no later than the first show in O .
- ▶ **Claim** (“greedy stays ahead”): $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$ for all $r = 1, 2, \dots$. The r th show in A finishes no later than the r th show in O .

“Greedy Stays Ahead”

- ▶ **Claim:** $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$ for all $r = 1, 2, \dots$
- ▶ **Proof** by induction on r
- ▶ **Base case** ($r = 1$): i_1 is the first choice of the greedy algorithm, which has the earliest overall finish time, so $f(i_1) \leq f(j_1)$

Induction step:

- ▶ Assume inductively that $f(i_{r-1}) \leq f(j_{r-1})$
- ▶ Assume for sake of contradiction that $f(i_r) \geq f(j_r)$
 $A: | \text{---}i_1\text{---} | \dots | \text{---}i_{r-1}\text{---} | | \text{---}i_r\text{---} |$
 $O: | \text{---}j_1\text{---} | \dots | \text{---}j_{r-1}\text{---} | | \text{---}j_r\text{---} |$
- ▶ But it must be the case that j_r is compatible with the first $r - 1$ shows in A , because (using induction hypothesis)

$$s(j_r) \geq f(j_{r-1}) \geq f(i_{r-1})$$
- ▶ Therefore, the greedy algorithm could have selected j_r instead of i_r . But j_r finishes sooner than i_r , which contradicts the algorithm.
- ▶ Therefore, it must be the case that $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$

Running Time?

$R \leftarrow$ be the set of all shows **sorted by some property**
 $A \leftarrow \{ \}$ ▶ selected shows
while R is not empty **do**
 Take first show i from R
 Add i to A
 Delete i and all overlapping shows from R
end while

$\Theta(n \log n)$ — dominated by sort

Running time analysis is usually easy for greedy algorithms

Algorithm Design—Greedy

Greedy: make a single “greedy” choice at a time, don’t look back.

	Greedy
Formulate problem	?
Design algorithm	easy
Prove correctness	hard
Analyze running time	easy

Focus is on proof techniques. Next time: another proof technique.

Problem 2: Interval Partitioning

- ▶ Suppose you are in charge of UMass classrooms.
- ▶ There are n classes to be scheduled on a Monday where class j starts at time s_j and finishes at time f_j
- ▶ Your goal is to schedule *all* the classes such that the minimum number of classrooms get used throughout the day. Obviously two classes that overlap can't use the same room.

Possible Greedy Approaches

- ▶ Suppose the available classrooms are numbered $1, 2, 3, \dots$
- ▶ We could run a greedy algorithm... consider the lectures in some natural order, and assign the lecture to the classroom with the smallest numbered that is available.
- ▶ Continued next time...