neural semantic parsing

CS685, Fall 2020 Advanced Natural Language Processing

Mohit Iyyer College of Information and Computer Sciences University of Massachusetts Amherst

many slides from Matt Gardner

Last week of class!

- Quiz due Friday
- Pass / fail deadline Dec 4
- Exams to be graded by end of November
- Guest lecture Wednesday

histogram of last year's 585 grades:



Letter grade





Semantic Parsing: Instructions



[Artzi & Zettlemoyer 2013] [Mei et.al. 2015][Andreas et al, 2015] [Fried at al, 2018]

Semantic Parsing: Complex Structure



CCG Semantic Parsing



[Zettlemoyer & Collins 2005, 2007]

CCG Semantic Parsing



 $\lambda a.move(a) \wedge to(a, \iota x.chair(x))$

[Zettlemoyer & Collins 2005, 2007]

CCG Semantic Parsing



 $\lambda a.move(a) \land \iota o(a, \iota x.cnair(x))$

[Zettlemoyer & Collins 2005, 2007]

Enter seq2seq... (Dong & Lapata, 2016)

- Treat meaning as a string...
- Apply NMT
- Close to SOTA
 performance!!!
- Much easier to build (with toolkits)



Figure 1: Input utterances and their logical forms are encoded and decoded with neural networks. An attention layer is used to learn soft alignments.

issues with vanilla seq2seq?

Example from WikiTableQuestions

Athlete	Nation	Olympics	Medals
Gillis Grafström	Sweden (SWE)	1920–1932	4
Evgeni Plushenko	Russia (RUS)	2002–2014	4
Karl Schäfer	Austria (AUT)	1928–1936	2
Katarina Witt	East Germany (GDR)	1984–1988	2
Tenley Albright	United States (USA)	1952-1956	2
Kim Yu-na	South Korea (KOR)	2010–2014	2
Patrick Chan	Canada (CAN)	2014	2

Question:

Which athlete was from South Korea after 2010?

((reverse athlete)
(and
(nation south_korea)
(year ((reverse date)
 (>= 2010-mm-dd)))

Seq2Seq Output Space





Seq2Seq Output Space





Seq2Seq Output Space





Constrained Decoding

- Constrain the output space to selections that matter
- Inference: Avoid invalid parses
- Training: Do not waste modeling power in distinguishing invalid parses from valid ones!

Token-based Decoding:

The output space is tokens, but they are constrained to be relevant at each time step.

Grammar-based Decoding:

The output space is production rules, and a grammar defines the constraints.

Constrained Decoding

- Constrain the output space to selections that matter
- Inference: Avoid invalid parses
- Training: Do not waste modeling power in distinguishing invalid parses from valid ones!

Token-based Decoding

Dong and Lapata. 2016. <u>Language</u> to Logical Form with Neural <u>Attention</u>. In ACL.

Dong and Lapata. 2018. <u>Coarse-to-</u> <u>Fine Decoding for Neural Semantic</u> <u>Parsing</u>. In ACL.

Goldman, Latcinnik, Naveh, Globerson and Berant. 2018. <u>Weakly-supervised Semantic Parsing</u> with Abstract Examples. In ACL.

Grammar-based Decoding:

Xiao, Dymetman, and Gardent. 2016. Sequence-based Structured Prediction for Semantic Parsing. In ACL.

Yin and Neubig. 2017. <u>A Syntactic</u> <u>Neural Model for General Purpose</u> <u>Code Generation</u>. In ACL.

Krishnamurthy, Dasigi, and Gardner. 2017. <u>Neural Semantic Parsing with</u> <u>Type Constraints for Semi-Structured</u> <u>Tables</u>. In EMNLP.

Token-based Constrained Decoding

Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

(lambda \$0 e
 (and
 (> (departure_time \$0) 1600:ti)
 (from \$0 dallas:ci)))



Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

(lambda \$0 e **<n>**)



Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

(lambda \$0 e (and **<n>** <n>))



Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

(lambda \$0 e (and (> <n> 1600:ti) <n>))



Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

```
(lambda $0 e
(and
(> (departure_time $0) 1600:ti)
<n>))
```



Flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

(lambda \$0 e
 (and
 (> (departure_time \$0) 1600:ti)
 (from \$0 dallas:ci)))



How do I train a semantic parser?

Got Supervision?

x_i: flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

y_i: (lambda \$0 e (and (>(departure_time \$0) 1600:ti) (from \$0 dallas:ci)))

$$D = \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

Task: Given x_{N+k} find y_{N+k}

Fully supervised

Got Supervision?

x_i: flights from Dallas leaving after 4 in the afternoon

y_i: (lambda \$0 e (and (>(departure_time \$0) 1600:ti) (from \$0 dallas:ci))) x₁: Which athlete was from South Korea after 2010?

zi: Kim Yu-Na

	Athlete	Nation	Olympics	Medals
/ V].	Kim Yu-na	South Korea	2010–2014	2
	Tenley Albright	United States	1952-1956	2

$$D = \{x_i, w_i, z_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

Task: Given x_{N+k}, w_{N+k} find y_{N+k} such that $[\![y_{N+k}]\!]^{w_{N+k}} = z_{N+k}$

Weakly supervised

 $D = \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$

Task: Given x_{N+k} find y_{N+k}

Fully supervised

Three common training methods

- Maximum Marginal Likelihood
- Structured Learning Methods
- Reinforcement Learning Methods

And some hybrid approaches..

Maximum Marginal Likelihood

• Given
$$D = \{x_i, w_i, z_i\}_{i=1}^N$$

- We want to optimize $\max_{\theta} \prod_{x_i, z_i \in D} p(z_i | x_i; \theta)$
- But the semantic parser defines a distribution over logical forms.
- So we marginalize over logical forms that yield z_i

$$\max_{\theta} \prod_{x_i, w_i, z_i \in D} \sum_{y_i \in Y \mid \llbracket y_i \rrbracket^{w_i} = z_i} p(y_i | x_i; \theta)$$

- Y could be the set of all valid logical forms, if we are using constrained decoding during training
- Even then, the summation could be intractable!

Structured Learning Methods

- More commonly used with traditional semantic parsers
 - Eg. Margin based models and Latent variable structured perceptron (Zettlemoyer and Collins 2007)
- Typically involve heuristic search over the state space like MML methods
- Unlike MML, can use arbitrary cost function
- Training typically maximizes margins or minimizes expected risks

MML: Approximating Y

- Perform heuristic search
- Search may be bounded, by length or otherwise
- Y is approximated as a subset of retrieved logical forms

Two options for search:

Online Search	Offline Search
Search for consistent logical forms during training, as per model scores	Search for consistent logical forms before training
Candidate set changes as training progresses	Candidate set is static
Less efficient	More efficient

Reinforcement Learning Methods

- Comparison with MML:
 - Like MML Y is approximated
 - Unlike MML, the approximation is done using sampling techniques
- Comparison with structured learning methods
 - Like structured learning methods, the reward function can be arbitrary
 - Unlike structured learning methods, reward is directly maximized
- Training typically uses policy gradient methods

Example from Liang et al., 2017, using REINFORCE

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{x} \mathbb{E}_{P_{\theta}(a_{0:T}|x)} [R(x, a_{0:T})]$$

What you need on top of seq2seq

- 1. Convert programs to action sequences
- 2. What actions are valid at every timestep?
- 3. Convert action sequences back to programs
- 4. (sometimes) A way to execute programs

5. If you don't have labeled logical forms: a different way to train

let's look at a method for sequential semantic parsing that combines structured learning and RL!

lyyer et al., ACL 2017

conversational contexts are hard!

How much protein is in an egg? And how many carbohydrates?

Are eggs on my shopping list? What about butter?

Do I need an umbrella today? Where can I buy one?

What's 42 plus 8 minus 13? Is the answer divisible by 4?

conversational contexts are hard!

How much protein is in an egg? And how many carbohydrates?

Are eggs on my shopping list? What about butter?

Do I need an umbrella today? Where can I buy one?

What's 42 plus 8 minus 13? Is the answer divisible by 4? the follow-up question can only be answered by resolving either an *explicit* or *implied* reference to the previous question

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

FINA Women's	Water	Polo	World	Cup
--------------	-------	------	-------	-----

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

1. Which nations competed in the FINA women's water polo cup?

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

1. Which nations competed in the FINA women's water polo cup?

SELECT Nation

semantic parse: a logical form executed on table to yield answer

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

1. Which nations competed in the FINA women's water polo cup?



2. Of these nations, which ones took home at least one gold medal?

SUBSEQUENT WHERE Gold != 0

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

1. Which nations competed in the FINA women's water polo cup?



2. Of these nations, which ones took home at least one gold medal?

SUBSEQUENT WHERE Gold != 0

SUBSEQUENT: handles references between questions

Rank	Nation	Gold	Silver
1	Netherlands	8	3
2	Australia	3	3
3	USA	2	5
4	Hungary	1	1
5	Canada	0	0

1. Which nations competed in the FINA women's water polo cup?



2. Of these nations, which ones took home at least one gold medal?



3. Of those, which ranked in the top 2 positions?

SUBSEQUENT WHERE Rank <= 2

- We collect SQA, a dataset of ~6000 question/ answer sequences
- Since we only know the answer to a question and *not* its ground-truth logical form, this problem is only weakly supervised.
- To solve it, we use reward-guided structuredoutput learning

Q: which nations won exactly one gold medal? A: Hungary

- 1. select-column Nation
- 2. cond-column Gold
- 3. op-equal **1**



Q: which nations won exactly one gold medal? A: Hungary



- neural network modules output scalar values which we use in the value function π(current parse, next operation)
- end-to-end training algorithm: approximate a reference parse and train the value function to favor that parse
- discourse-level information incorporated with SUBSEQUENT statements, which have their own action semantics

ex: module implementation

