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## Last Time: Zero-Sum Games

## Definition

A two-player, simultaneous-move, zero-sum game consists of a set of $k$ options for player $A$, a set of options $\ell$ for player $B$, and a $k \times \ell$ payoff matrix $P$. If $A$ is chooses her $i$ th option and $B$ chooses his $j$ th option then $A$ gets $P_{i j}$ and $B$ gets $-P_{i j}$.

For two-finger Morra, the payoff matrix is

|  | 1 B Finger | 2 B Finger |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 A Finger | +2 | -3 |
| 2 A Finger | -3 | +4 |

where best strategy was for players to show 1 finger with probability $7 / 12$ and two fingers with probability $5 / 12$.
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## Prisoner's Dilemma

- Two prisoners are being held pending trial for a crime they are alleged to have committed. The prosecutor offers each a deal:
"Give evidence against your partner and you'll go free, unless your partner also confesses. If both confess, both get 5 year sentences. If neither confess, both get 1 year sentences. If you don't confess but your partner does, you get 10 years!"
- Can represent this as a game but it's not zero-sum:

|  | B Confesses | B Stays Mute |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A Confesses | $-5,-5$ | $0,-10$ |
| A Stays Mute | $-10,0$ | $-1,-1$ |

## Nash Equilibrium

## Definition

A Nash Equilibrium is a set of strategies for each player where no change by one player alone can improve his outcome.

For the prisoners dilemma the unique Nash Equilibrium is that both prisoners confess.

## Theorem (Nash)

Every game where each player has a finite number of options, has at least one Nash equilibrium.

## Hawks and Doves

- Two birds meet over a piece of food and have to decide whether to act aggressive (hawkish) or passive (dovish)
- If a hawk meets a dove, the hawk gets the food worth 50 points
- If two hawks meet they both loose -25 points
- If two doves meet, they both get 15 points
- Can represent this as:

|  | B is a Hawk | $B$ is a Dove |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A is a Hawk | $-25,-25$ | 50,0 |
| A is a Dove | 0,50 | 15,15 |

■ No Nash Equilibrium where both players play same pure strategies:

- If A and B are Hawks, both would prefer to switch to Doves
- If A and B are Doves, both would prefer to switch to Hawks
- A plays Hawk and B plays Dove is a Nash Equilibria and vice versa


## A Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium for Hawks and Doves

- Suppose Alice play Hawk with probability $0<q<1$ and Bob plays Hawk with probability $0<p<1$
- Alice's expected reward is

$$
\begin{aligned}
-25 p q+50 q(1-p)+15(1-p)(1-q) & =-60 p q+35 q+15-15 p \\
& =q(35-60 p)+15-15 p
\end{aligned}
$$

- When can Alice not improve by changing $q$ ? When $p=7 / 12$.
- Bob's expected reward is

$$
-60 p q+35 p+15-15 q=p(35-60 q)+15-15 q
$$

- When can Bob not improve by changing $p$ ? When $q=7 / 12$.
- Hence $p=q=7 / 12$ is only Nash Equilibrium with mixed strategies.
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## Iterated Prisoners Dilemma

Suppose you are playing an prisoner dilemma game multiple times with someone, what should you do?

Recall the sentencing matrix:

|  | B Confesses | B Stays Mute |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Confesses | $-5,-5$ | $0,-10$ |
| A Stays Mute | $-10,0$ | $-1,-1$ |

Suppose after each game, we play again with probability $p$. We can model the number of games played as a geometric random variable. Since the stopping condition is the probability of of not continuing the game, we can say that the expected number of games is $\frac{1}{1-p}$.

## Playing against someone who always confesses

- If you know the other player will always confess, you minimize your losses by always confessing as well.
- Let $X$ be a random variable representing the number of rounds for which the game is played.
- Since you'd lose 5 units every round, your expected payoff is

$$
-5 \times E(X)=-\frac{5}{1-p}
$$

## Playing against someone who retaliates

- Suppose you know your opponent will confess on every turn once you have confessed once, but will stay mute until then. For what values of $p$ should you confess on the first turn?
- Let $X$ be a random variable representing the number of rounds for which the game is played.
- If you confess from the first round onwards your payoff is:

$$
0+(-5) \times(X-1)
$$

which is $-5 /(1-p)+5$.

- If you never confess, your payoff is $-1 /(1-p)$.
- Hence you should confess from the start if

$$
-5 /(1-p)+5 \geq-1 /(1-p)
$$

i.e., $p \leq 1 / 5$.

