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Dynamic Programming

• (Not much to do with “programming” in the CS sense.)

• Dynamic programming is efficient in finding optimal solutions for cases with lots of overlapping sub-problems.

• It solves problems by recombining solutions to sub-problems, when the sub-problems themselves may share sub-sub-problems.
Fibonacci Numbers

1 1 2 3 5 8 13 21 34 ...
Fibonacci Numbers, $F_n = F_{n-2} + F_{n-1}$

Golden Ratio

$g = F_{n+1}/F_n = 1.62$

and the Beauty of Life
Calculating Fibonacci Numbers

\[ F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2), \]
where \( F(0)=0, F(1)=1. \)

Non-Dynamic Programming implementation

```python
def fib(n):
    if n == 0 or n == 1:
        return n
    else:
        return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
```

For \texttt{fib(8)}, how many calls to function \texttt{fib(n)}?
**DP Example:**

**Calculating Fibonacci Numbers**

Dynamic Programming: avoid repeated calls by remembering function values already calculated.

```python
table = {}
def fib(n):
    global table
    if table.has_key(n):
        return table[n]
    if n == 0 or n == 1:
        table[n] = n
        return n
    else:
        value = fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)
        table[n] = value
        return value
```
DP Example: Calculating Fibonacci Numbers

...or alternately, in a list instead of a dictionary...

```python
def fib(n):
    table = [0] * (n+1)
    table[0] = 0
    table[1] = 1
    for i in range(2,n+1):
        table[i] = table[i-2] + table[i-1]
    return table[n]
```

We will see this pattern many more times in this course:
1. Create a table (of the right dimensions to describe our problem.
2. Fill the table, re-using solutions to previous sub-problems.
String Edit Distance

Given two strings (sequences) return the “distance” between the two strings as measured by...

...the \textit{minimum} number of “character edit operations” needed to turn one sequence into the other.

Andrew

Amdrewz

1. substitute \textit{m} to \textit{n}

2. delete the \textit{z}

Distance = 2
String distance metrics: *Levenshtein*

• Given strings s and t
  – Distance is **shortest sequence of edit commands** that transform s to t, (or equivalently s to t).

  – Simple set of operations:
    • Copy character from s over to t (cost 0)
    • Delete a character in s (cost 1)
    • Insert a character in t (cost 1)
    • Substitute one character for another (cost 1)

• This is “Levenshtein distance”
Levenshtein distance - example

- distance(“William Cohen”, “Willliam Cohon”)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccc}
  & s & W & I & L & L & \text{gap} & I & A & M & _ & C & O & H & E & N \\
  & t & W & I & L & L & L & I & A & M & _ & C & O & H & O & N \\
  cost \ so \ far & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

Alignment is a little bit like a parse.
Finding the Minimum

What is the minimum number of operations for....?

Another fine day in the park

Anybody can see him pick the ball

Not so easy.... not so clear.

Not only are the strings, longer, but isn’t immediately obvious where the alignments should happen.

What if we consider all possible alignments by brute force? How many alignments are there?
### Dynamic Program Table for String Edit

Measure distance between strings **PARK** and **SPA**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ c_{ij} = \text{the number of edit operations needed to align PA with SPA.} \]
Dynamic Programming to the Rescue!
How to take our big problem and chop it into building-block pieces.

- Given some partial solution, it isn’t hard to figure out what a good next immediate step is.

- **Partial solution** =
  “This is the cost for aligning *s* up to position *i* with *t* up to position *j*.

- **Next step** =
  “In order to align up to positions *x* in *s* and *y* in *t*, should the last operation be a substitute, insert, or delete?”
Dynamic Program Table for String Edit

Measure distance between strings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Edit operations for turning SPAKE into PARK

- **Delete**: S
- **Insert**: K
- **Substitute**: E
## Dynamic Program Table for String Edit

**Measure distance between strings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>c₁₀</td>
<td>c₁₁</td>
<td>c₁₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>c₂₀</td>
<td>c₂₁</td>
<td>c₂₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>c₃₀</td>
<td>c₃₁</td>
<td>???</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamic Program Table for String Edit

\[
\begin{array}{c|cccc}
  & P & A & R & K \\
\hline
S & c_{00} & c_{02} & c_{03} & c_{04} & c_{05} \\
  & c_{10} & c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} \\
P & c_{20} & c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} & c_{24} \\
  & c_{30} & c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} & c_{34} \\
\end{array}
\]

\[D(i,j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s_{1..i} \text{ to } t_{1..j}\]

\[= \min \left\{ 
\begin{align*}
D(i-1,j-1), \text{ if } s_i &= t_j & \text{ //copy} \\
D(i-1,j-1)+1, \text{ if } s_i &\neq t_j & \text{ //substitute} \\
D(i-1,j), \text{ +1} & \text{ //insert} \\
D(i,j-1), \text{ +1} & \text{ //delete} \\
\end{align*}
\right\} \]
Computing Levenshtein distance - 2

D(i,j) = score of best alignment from s1..si to t1..tj

= \min \begin{cases} 
D(i-1,j-1) + d(si,tj) & // subst/copy \\
D(i-1,j) + 1 & // insert \\
D(i,j-1) + 1 & // delete 
\end{cases}

(simplify by letting d(c,d)=0 if c=d, 1 else)

also let D(i,0)=i (for i inserts) and D(0,j)=j
**Dynamic Program Table Initialized**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$D(i,j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s1..si \text{ to } t1..tj$$

$$= \min \begin{cases} 
D(i-1,j-1)+d(si,tj) & \text{//substitute} \\
D(i-1,j)+1 & \text{//insert} \\
D(i,j-1)+1 & \text{//delete} 
\end{cases}$$
Dynamic Program Table ... filling in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
D(i,j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s1..si \text{ to } t1..tj
\]

\[
= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
D(i-1,j-1)+d(si,tj) & \text{//substitute} \\
D(i-1,j)+1 & \text{//insert} \\
D(i,j-1)+1 & \text{//delete}
\end{array} \right.
\]
### Dynamic Program Table ... filling in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
D(i,j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s1..si \text{ to } t1..tj
\]

\[
= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
D(i-1,j-1)+d(s_i,t_j) \quad \text{//substitute} \\
D(i-1,j)+1 \quad \text{//insert} \\
D(i,j-1)+1 \quad \text{//delete}
\end{array} \right.
\]
Dynamic Program Table ... filling in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
D(i, j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s1..si \text{ to } t1..tj
\]

\[
= \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
D(i-1, j-1) + d(si, tj) \quad \text{//substitute} \\
D(i-1, j) + 1 \quad \text{//insert} \\
D(i, j-1) + 1 \quad \text{//delete}
\end{array} \right\}
\]
Dynamic Program Table ... filling in

\[
D(i, j) = \text{score of best alignment from } s1..si \text{ to } t1..tj
\]

\[
= \min \begin{cases} 
D(i-1, j-1) + d(si,tj) & \text{//substitute} \\
D(i-1, j) + 1 & \text{//insert} \\
D(i, j-1) + 1 & \text{//delete}
\end{cases}
\]

Final cost of aligning all of both strings.
def stredit(s1, s2):
    "Calculate Levenstein edit distance for strings s1 and s2."
    len1 = len(s1)  # vertically
    len2 = len(s2)  # horizontally
    # Allocate the table
    table = [None]*(len2+1)
    for i in range(len2+1): table[i] = [0]*(len1+1)
    # Initialize the table
    for i in range(1, len2+1): table[i][0] = i
    for i in range(1, len1+1): table[0][i] = i
    # Do dynamic programming
    for i in range(1,len2+1):
        for j in range(1,len1+1):
            if s1[j-1] == s2[i-1]:
                d = 0
            else:
                d = 1
            table[i][j] = min(table[i-1][j-1] + d,
                               table[i-1][j]+1,
                               table[i][j-1]+1)
Remebering the Alignment (trace)

\[ D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} 
D(i-1,j-1) + d(s_i,t_j) & \text{//subst/copy} \\
D(i-1,j)+1 & \text{//insert} \\
D(i,j-1)+1 & \text{//delete} 
\end{cases} \]

A *trace* indicates where the min value came from, and can be used to find edit operations and/or a best *alignment* (may be more than 1).
Three Enhanced Variants

• Needleman-Munch
  – Variable costs

• Smith-Waterman
  – Find longest “soft matching” subsequence

• Affine Gap Distance
  – Make repeated deletions (insertions) cheaper

• (Implement one for homework?)
Needleman-Wunch distance

\[ D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} 
D(i-1,j-1) + d(si,tj) \quad /\text{subst/copy} \\
D(i-1,j) + G \quad /\text{insert} \\
D(i,j-1) + G \quad /\text{delete} 
\end{cases} \]

\( d(c,d) \) is an arbitrary distance function on characters (e.g. related to typo frequencies, amino acid substitutibility, etc)

\( G = \text{“gap cost”} \)
Smith-Waterman distance

• Instead of looking at each sequence in its entirety, this compares segments of all possible lengths and chooses whichever maximize the similarity measure.

• For every cell the algorithm calculates all possible paths leading to it. These paths can be of any length and can contain insertions and deletions.
Smith-Waterman distance

\[ D(i,j) = \min \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{//start over} \\
D(i-1,j-1) + d(si,tj) & \text{//subst/copy} \\
D(i-1,j) + G & \text{//insert} \\
D(i,j-1) + G & \text{//delete} 
\end{cases} \]

\[ G = 1 \]

\[ d(c,c) = -2 \]

\[ d(c,d) = +1 \]
Example output from Python

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>o</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(My implementation of HW#2, task choice #2. -McCallum)
Affine gap distances

- Smith-Waterman fails on some pairs that seem quite similar:

  William W. Cohen

  William W. ‘Don’t call me Dubya’ Cohen

  Intuitively, single long insertions are “cheaper” than a lot of short insertions
Affine gap distances - 2

• Idea:
  – Current cost of a “gap” of $n$ characters: $nG$
  – Make this cost: $A + (n-1)B$, where $A$ is cost of “opening” a gap, and $B$ is cost of “continuing” a gap.
Affine gap distances - 3

\[ D(i,j) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
D(i-1,j-1) + d(si,tj) \\
IS(I-1,j-1) + d(si,tj) \\
IT(I-1,j-1) + d(si,tj)
\end{array} \right. \]

\[ IS(i,j) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
D(i-1,j) - A \\
IS(i-1,j) - B
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{Best score in which } si \text{ is aligned with a ‘gap’} \]

\[ IT(i,j) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
D(i,j-1) - A \\
IT(i,j-1) - B
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{Best score in which } tj \text{ is aligned with a ‘gap’} \]
Affine gap distances as automata

\[ D \rightarrow IS, -A \]

\[ D \rightarrow IT, -A \]

\[ IS \rightarrow -B \]

\[ IT \rightarrow -B \]

\[ D \rightarrow -d(si,tj) \]

\[ IS \rightarrow -d(si,tj) \]

\[ IT \rightarrow -d(si,tj) \]
Generative version of affine gap automata (Bilenko & Mooney, TechReport 02)

HMM emits pairs: \((c,d)\) in state \(M\), pairs \((c,-)\) in state \(D\), and pairs \((-d)\) in state \(I\).

For each state there is a multinomial distribution on pairs.

The HMM can be trained with EM from a sample of pairs of matched strings \((s,t)\)

E-step is forward-backward; M-step uses some ad hoc smoothing
Affine gap edit-distance learning: experiments results (Bilenko & Mooney)

Table 2: Sample duplicate records from the RESTAURANT database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>address</th>
<th>city</th>
<th>phone</th>
<th>cuisine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Second Avenue Deli</td>
<td>156 2nd Ave. at 10th St.</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>212/677-0606</td>
<td>Delicatessen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Avenue Deli</td>
<td>156 Second Ave.</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>212-677-0606</td>
<td>Delis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Sample duplicate records from the MAILING database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>first</th>
<th>last</th>
<th>street address</th>
<th>city</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tsy C</td>
<td>Dodgson</td>
<td>18 Lilammal Ave 3k1</td>
<td>Christina MT 59423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tessy</td>
<td>Dodgeson</td>
<td>PO Box 3879</td>
<td>Christina MT 59428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental method: parse records into fields; append a few key fields together; sort by similarity; pick a threshold $T$ and call all pairs with distance $(s,t) < T$ “duplicates”; picking $T$ to maximize F-measure.
Affine gap edit-distance learning: experiments results (Bilenko & Mooney)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance metric</th>
<th>CORA title</th>
<th>RESTAURANT name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levenshtein</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned Levenshtein</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td><strong>0.886</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affine</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned Affine</td>
<td><strong>0.971</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.967</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance metric</th>
<th>RESTAURANT address</th>
<th>MAILING name</th>
<th>MAILING address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levenshtein</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned Levenshtein</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td><strong>0.899</strong></td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affine</td>
<td>0.870</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learned Affine</td>
<td><strong>0.929</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.959</strong></td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Affine gap edit-distance learning: experiments results (Bilenko & Mooney)

Precision/recall for MAILING dataset duplicate detection
Affine gap distances – experiments
(from McCallum, Nigam, Ungar KDD2000)

• Goal is to match data like this:


Figure 2: Three sample citations to the same paper.
Homework #2

• The assignment
  – Start with my stredit.py code
  – Make some modifications
  – Write a little about your experiences

• Some possible modifications
  – Implement Needleman-Wunch, Smith-Waterman, or Affine Gap Distance.
  – Create a little spell-checker: if entered word isn’t in the dictionary, return the dictionary word that is closest.
  – Change implementation to operate on sequences of words rather than characters... get an online translation dictionary, and find alignments between English & French or English & Russian!
  – Try to learn the parameters of the function from data. (Tough.)