

COMPSCI 501: Formal Language Theory
Lecture 27: The classes L and NL. NL-completeness

Marius Minea
marius@cs.umass.edu
University of Massachusetts Amherst

1 April 2019

Why Logarithmic Space?

PSPACE is already a large class
in general, may be EXPTIME

Less than linear space would imply not reading all input
e.g., binary search: but implies indexing, will not discuss now

What about space *additional* to input?

(Even stronger: Online or streaming algorithms don't know all input at once, can't revisit)

Can we implement with little *extra* memory?
need a change in our computation model

The classes L and NL

Consider Turing machine with

input tape: *read-only*

can detect both left and right ends

work tape: size determines space complexity

For sublinear space, will only consider this model
(for space $\geq n$, they are equivalent)

Def. L = SPACE($\log n$): decidable in log space on deterministic TM

NL = SPACE($\log n$): decidable in log space on nondeterministic TM

$\log n$ bits: enough for index/pointer into input string
 \Rightarrow class L = maintaining a *constant number of pointers*

Example: $0^k 1^k$

Our solution so far: zig-zag and cross out, time $O(n^2)$ or $O(n \log n)$

We also discussed converting number to binary
this only needs $O(\log n)$ extra space!

Compute number of zeroes on work tape (in binary).
Subtract when counting ones.

Time complexity? still $O(n \log n)$

PATH: the prototypical NL problem

$PATH = \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is directed graph that has an } s \rightsquigarrow t \text{ path} \}$

We've seen PATH is in P, using linear space (BFS, DFS, etc.)

Nondeterministic solution in log space:

- ▶ store current node u on work tape $O(\log n)$ bits
- ▶ nondeterministically guess next node from successors, replace u
- ▶ until reaching t or running for $|V|$ steps.

Configurations on an input word

To derive bounds, must redefine configuration structure.

Def. Let M be a TM with separate read-only input tape.

A *configuration* of M on w is formed of state, work tape, and the position of the two tape heads.

Input is not part of configuration (it does not change).

In M runs in $f(n)$ space, number of work tape strings is $|\Gamma|^{f(n)}$.
We have n positions for the input head, $f(n)$ positions for work tape head.

Number of configurations: $|Q|n f(n) |\Gamma|^{f(n)}$ which is $n 2^{O(f(n))}$

If $f(n) \geq \log n$, then $n \leq 2^{f(n)}$, so $n 2^{O(f(n))}$ is $2^{O(f(n))}$

Same argument extends condition in proof of Savitch's theorem:

Configuration needs space $\log n 2^{O(f(n))} = \log n + O(f(n))$.

If $f(n) \geq \log n$, this is $O(f(n))$

Log space reductions

We want to define the same pattern of reductions.
But a polynomial-time reduction could use polynomial space!
 \Rightarrow to reduce in log space.

Def. A **log space transducer** M is a TM with

- ▶ a read-only input tape
- ▶ a read-write work tape of $O(\log n)$ symbols
- ▶ a write-only output tape (output stream)

A **log space computable function** is a function $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$
where $f(w)$ is the output tape contents after M halts on input w .

$A \leq_L B$: Language A is **log-space reducible** to language B if A is mapping reducible to B by a log space computable function.

Many reductions can be done in log space.
(e.g., PSPACE to TQBF)

NL-completeness

Def. A language B is **NL-complete** if

1. $B \in \text{NL}$, and
2. every $A \in \text{NL}$ is log space reducible to B

Theorem: If $A \leq_L B$ and $B \in \text{L}$, then $A \in \text{L}$.

Can't just map w to $f(w)$, since $|f(w)|$ may be $\geq \log |w|$
 \Rightarrow must produce $f(w)$ on demand:

M_A will simulate M_B .

Every time machine M_B needs k^{th} symbol of $f(w)$, M_A restarts computation of f , up to that symbol.

Time-space tradeoff !

Corollary If any NL-complete language is in L, then $\text{L} = \text{NL}$.

PATH is NL-complete

We already know *PATH* is in NL. Take arbitrary language $A \in \text{NL}$.

Idea: construct graph G expressing acceptance of w by NTM N_A .

Graph nodes are configurations on input w .

Edge (c_1, c_2) in G iff can move from c_1 to c_2 .

Start and (unique) accept configurations become s and t .

Clearly N_A accepts w iff there is an $s \rightsquigarrow t$ path in G .

Can we do the reduction in log space?

Configurations take $c \log n$ space.

List nodes: generate all $c \log n$ strings, output good encodings.

Likewise, generate all possible pairs for edges.

Test that (c_1, c_2) is a transition is in log space (only examine tapes at head positions in c_1).

NL \subseteq P

Proof: We can reduce any language in NL to *PATH*, and *PATH* \in P.

Space $f(n) \Rightarrow$ time $n2^{O(f(n))}$

Thus, log space is polynomial time.

Any language $A \in \text{NL}$ is reducible to a language in P (*PATH*), thus A is also in P.

$$\text{L} \subseteq \text{NL} \subseteq \text{P} \subseteq \text{NP} \subseteq \text{PSPACE} \subseteq \text{EXPTIME}$$