



Proof of Correctness	Traveling Salesman
 Given a satisfying assignment, construct Hamiltonian Cycle If x_i = 1 traverse P_i from L → R, else R → L. Each C_ℓ is satisfied, so one path P_i is traversed in the correct direction to "splice" c_ℓ into our cycle The result is a Hamiltonian Cycle Given Hamiltonian cycle, construct satisfying assignment: If cycle visits c_ℓ from row i, it will also leave to row i because of "buffer" nodes Therefore, ignoring clause nodes, cycle traverses each row completely from L → R or R → L Set x_i = 1 if P_i traversed L → R, else x_i = 0 Every node c_j visited ⇒ every clause C_j is satisfied 	 TSP. Given n cities and distance function d(i, j), is there a tour that visits all cities with total distance less than D? Theorem. TSP is NP-Complete Clearly in NP. Reduction? From HAM-CYCLE
Reduction from HAM-CYCLE to TSP	Нам-Ратн
 Given HAMCYCLE instance G = (V, E) make TSP instance One city per vertex d(v_i, v_j) = 1 if (v_i, v_j) ∈ E, else 2 Claim: there is a tour of distance ≤ n if and only if G has a Hamiltonian cycle A Hamiltonian cycle clearly gives a tour of length n A tour of length n must travel n hops of length 1, which corresponds to a Hamiltonian cycle 	Similar to Hamiltonian Cycle, visit every vertex exactly once. Theorem. HAM-PATH is NP-Complete. Two proofs. Modify 3-SAT to HAM-CYCLE reduction. Reduce from HAM-CYCLE directly.
Clicker Question 2	NP-Complete Problems
Suppose now I want to reduce HAM-PATH to HAM-CYCLE. Which of the following statements is true? A: Trivial: any cycle with all nodes is also a path with all nodes B: HAM-PATH \leq_P HAM-CYCLE since not all paths are cycles C: HAM-PATH \leq_P HAM-CYCLE since there are some graphs that have a Hamiltonian path but no Hamiltonian cycle D: None of the above	Circuit-SAT 3-SAT Indept-Set Vertex-Cover Set-Cover