

## Shortest Paths

- We know how to find minimum sum, not maximum product,but
- logarithm of product is sum of logs
- maximize $\times$ means minimize $-x$
- Let $c_{e}=-\log r_{e}$ be the cost of edge $e$
- Let the path cost be the negative log of the path exchange rate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cost}(P) & =-\log \prod_{e \in P} r_{e} \\
& =\sum_{e \in P}\left(-\log r_{e}\right) \\
& =\sum_{e \in P} c_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalent problem: find the $s \rightarrow t$ path of minimum cost

## Dijkstra's Algorithm: Negative Edge Behavior



What is the shortest path value the algorithm finds for $d(s, v)$ ?

## Currency Trading



- Problem:
given directed graph with exchange rate $r_{e}$ on edge $e$, find best exchange rate $s \rightarrow t$, i.e., path $P$ with maximum product $\prod_{e \in P} r_{e}$ over edges
- Assumption (no arbitrage): no cycles $C$ with $\prod_{e \in C} r_{e}>1$.


## Currency Trading



- Negative edge weights!
- Problem: given a graph with possibly negative edge weights, find shortest $s \rightarrow t$ path
- Assumption: no cycle $C$ with $\sum_{e \in C} c_{e}<0$. Why?

When run on a graph with negative edges, Dijkstra's algorithm:

A: Does not give the right value if shortest path has negative edge.
B: May give the right value even if the shortest path has a negative edge.

C : Does not give the right value if the target node is first reached through a positive edge.

D: Gives the right value if the target node is first reached through a negative edge.

Choose the most precise answer!

## Clicker Question 2

In the following graph, which is the value of the shortest $s \rightarrow t$ path found by Dijkstra's algorithm?

A: 26
B: 20
C: 12
D: 11

## Clicker Question 3

For shortest paths from any $v$ to a fixed $t$, we'd like to compute $\mathrm{OPT}(i+1, v)$ from $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$, by incrementing the edge count $i$.

If we find a better path starting with edge $(v, w)$, we want to update
$\operatorname{OPT}(i+1, v)=c_{v, w}+\operatorname{OPT}(i, w)$
Should $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$ mean the optimal cost from $v$ to $t$

A: on a path with $i$ edges
B: on a path with at most $i$ edges

## Bellman-Ford Algorithm

$\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)=\min \left\{\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, v), \min _{w \in V}\left\{c_{v, w}+\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, w)\right\}\right\}$

Shortest-Path $(G, s, t)$
$n=$ number of nodes in $G$
Create array $M$ of size $n \times n$
Set $M[0, t]=0$ and $M[0, v]=\infty$ for all other $v$
for $i=1$ to $n-1$ do
for all nodes $v$ in any order do
Compute $M[i, v]$ using the recurrence above
end for
end for
Running time? $O\left(n^{3}\right)$. Better analysis: $O(m n)$.

## Bellman-Ford Algorithm: Setup

Consider shortest paths from any node to a given target node $t$ (single-destination shortest paths)
Like single-source, but destination more relevant e.g., in routing
Consider paths with increasing number of edges to target

Fact. If no negative cycles, shortest path has at most $n-1$ edges. Why?

Path with $\geq n$ edges has $\geq n+1$ nodes: would repeat some node, thus cycle!

## Bellman-Ford Recurrence

- Let $\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$ be cost of shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path with at most $i$ edges.
- Recursive principle: let $P$ be the optimal $v \rightarrow t$ path using at most $i+1$ edges
- If $P$ uses at most $i$ edges, then $\operatorname{OPT}(i+1, v)=\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)$.
- Else $P=v \rightarrow w \rightarrow t$ where $w \rightarrow t$ path uses at most $i$ edges.

$$
\mathrm{OPT}(i+1, v)=c_{v, w}+\operatorname{OPT}(i, w)
$$

$\operatorname{OPT}(i, v)=\min \left\{\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, v), \min _{w \in V}\left\{c_{v, w}+\operatorname{OPT}(i-1, w)\right\}\right\}$

## Shortest paths with negative weights: practical improvements

Space optimization. Maintain two 1D arrays (instead of 2D array)

- $d[v]=$ length of a shortest $v \rightarrow t$ path that we have found so far.
- successor $[v]=$ next node on a $v \rightarrow t$ path.

Performance optimization. If $d[w]$ was not updated in iteration $i-1$, then no reason to consider edges entering $w$ in iteration $i$.


## Bellman-Ford-Moore: analysis

Claim. Throughout Bellman-Ford-Moore, following the stecessorly] pointers gives a directed path from $v$ to $t$ of length $d[v]$.

Counterexample. Claim is false!

- Length of successor $v \rightarrow t$ path may be strictly shorter than $d[v]$.
- If negative cycle, successor graph may have directed cycles.
consider nodes in order: $\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{1 , 2 , 3 , 4}$
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## Detecting negative cycles

Theorem 4. Can find a negative cycle in $\Theta(m n)$ time and $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ space. Pf.

- Add new sink node $t$ and connect all nodes to $t$ with 0 -length edge.
- $G$ has a negative cycle iff $G^{\prime}$ has a negative cycle.
- Case 1. [ $O P T(n, v)=O P T(n-1, v)$ for every node $v$ ] By Lemma 7, no negative cycles.
- Case 2. [ $O P T(n, v)<O P T(n-1, v)$ for some node $v$ ] Using proof of Lemma 8, can extract negative cycle from $v \rightarrow t$ path. (cycle cannot contain $t$ since no edge leaves $t$ ) -



## Clicker Question 4

Consider a directed graph with arbitrary edge weights.
Then, at every step of running Bellman-Ford

A: Following successor[v] pointers gives a $v \rightarrow t$ path
$B$ : The length of the successor[v] path is $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}$ ]
C: Both A and B
D: Neither A nor B
A: No, $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{v}]$ can be one iteration behind, if successor $[\mathrm{v}]=\mathrm{w}$ same, but $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{w}]$ just got updated.
B: No, for negative-weight cycles (next slide)

## Detecting Negative-Weight Cycles

We've seen that absent negative-weight cycles, a shortest path has at most $n-1$ edges.

Run for one extra iteration (n). If OPT( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{v}$ ) decreases for some v , we have a negative-weight cycle! (why?)

But this is only over paths to a fixed target node $t$. How to cover the entire graph?

Add dummy sink node with zero-cost edges from all nodes. Use this as target (all nodes are predecessors, will be covered).

## Detecting negative cycles

Theorem 5. Can find a negative cycle in $O(m n)$ time and $O(n)$ extra space. Pf.

- Run Bellman-Ford-Moore on $G^{\prime}$ for $n^{\prime}=n+1$ passes (instead of $n^{\prime}-1$ ).
- If no $d[v]$ values updated in pass $n^{\prime}$, then no negative cycles.
- Otherwise, suppose $d[s]$ updated in pass $n^{\prime}$.
- Define pass $(v)=$ last pass in which $d[v]$ was updated.
- Observe $\operatorname{pass}(s)=n^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{pass}(\operatorname{successor}[v]) \geq \operatorname{pass}(v)-1$ for each $v$.
- Following successor pointers, we must eventually repeat a node.
- Lemma $6 \Rightarrow$ the corresponding cycle is a negative cycle. -

Remark. See p. 304 for improved version and early termination rule. (Tarjan's subtree disassembly trick)

