

COMPSCI 311: Introduction to Algorithms

Lecture 12: Dynamic Programming

Marius Minea

University of Massachusetts Amherst

slides credit: Dan Sheldon

Algorithm Design Techniques

- ▶ Greedy
- ▶ Divide and Conquer
- ▶ **Dynamic Programming**
- ▶ Network Flows

Dynamic Programming Recipe

- ▶ **Devise recursive form for solution**
- ▶ Observe that recursive implementation involves redundant computation. (Often exponential time)
- ▶ Design **iterative algorithm** that solves all subproblems without redundancy.

Recall: Fibonacci sequence, $F(n) = F(n-1) + F(n-2)$

$$F(4) = F(3) + F(2) = (F(2) + F(1)) + (F(1) + F(0)) \\ = ((F(1) + F(0))) + F(1) + (F(1) + F(0))$$

Clicker Question 1

Consider computing the Fibonacci numbers by calling the function

fib(n):
if $n < 2$ return n ;
return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2);

The complexity of fib(n) is

- A: $\Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$
- B: $\Theta(1.618^n)$
- C: $\Theta(2^n)$
- D: $\Theta(n!)$

Comparison

	Greedy	Divide and Conquer	Dynamic Programming
Formulate problem	?	?	?
Design algorithm	easy	hard	hard
Prove correctness	hard	easy	easy
Analyze running time	easy	hard	easy

Weighted Interval Scheduling

- ▶ TV scheduling problem: Given n shows with start time s_i and finish time f_i , watch as many shows as possible, with no overlap.
- ▶ A Twist: Each show has a value v_i . We want a set of shows S , with no overlap and maximum value $\sum_{i \in S} v_i$.
- ▶ Greedy? It worked for case without values
- ▶ Notation:
 - ▶ s_j, f_j : start and finish time of show (job) j
 - ▶ v_j = value of show j
 - ▶ Assume shows sorted by finishing time $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n$
 - ▶ Shows i and j are **compatible** if they don't overlap

Weighted Interval Scheduling: Recursive Algorithm

- ▶ **Observation:** Let O be the optimal solution. Either $n \in O$ or $n \notin O$. In either case, we can reduce the problem to a *smaller instance* of the same problem.
- ▶ Recursive algorithm: **value** of optimal subset of first j shows (going backwards from j)

Compute-Value(j)

Base case: if $j = 0$ return 0

Case 1: $j \in O$

Let $i < j$ be highest-numbered show compatible with j
 $\text{val1} = v_j + \text{Compute-Value}(i)$

Case 2: $j \notin O$

$\text{val2} = \text{Compute-Value}(j - 1)$

return $\max(\text{val1}, \text{val2})$

Extracting the Solution

- ▶ Finding the solution itself is a simple modification of the same algorithm

Compute-Solution(j)

Base case: if $j = 0$ return \emptyset

Case 1: $j \in O$

Let $i < j$ be highest-numbered show compatible with j
 $O_1 = \{j\} \cup \text{Compute-Solution}(i)$

Case 2: $j \notin O$

$O_2 = \text{Compute-Solution}(j - 1)$

return the solution O_1 or O_2 that has higher value

- ▶ **Advice:** first develop algorithm to compute optimal value; usually easy to modify it to compute the actual solution

Recurrence

- ▶ We've seen recurrences for running times (and various sequences in math)
- ▶ Here: recurrence expresses the **value** of an optimal solution.
- ▶ **Definitions**
 - ▶ $\text{OPT}(j)$ = value of optimal solution on first j shows
 - ▶ p_j : highest-numbered show that is compatible with j
- ▶ Recurrence

$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max\{\underbrace{v_j + \text{OPT}(p_j)}_{\text{Case 1}}, \underbrace{\text{OPT}(j - 1)}_{\text{Case 2}}\}$$

Recursive Algorithm vs. Recurrence

- ▶ Compute-Value(j)
 - If $j = 0$ return 0
 - $\text{val1} = v_j + \text{Compute-Value}(p_j)$
 - $\text{val2} = \text{Compute-Value}(j - 1)$
 - return $\max(\text{val1}, \text{val2})$
- ▶ Recurrence
$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max\{v_j + \text{OPT}(p_j), \text{OPT}(j - 1)\}$$
$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$
- ▶ Direct correspondence between the algorithm and recurrence
 - ▶ **Tip:** start by writing the recursive algorithm and translating it to a recurrence (replace method name by "OPT")
 - ▶ After some practice, skip straight to the recurrence

Clicker Question 2

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max\{v_j + \text{OPT}(p_j), \text{OPT}(j - 1)\}$$
$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

The running time of this recursive solution is

- A: $O(n \log n)$
- B: $O(n^2)$
- C: $O(1.618^n)$
- D: $O(2^n)$

Running Time?

- ▶ Recursion tree
- ▶ $\approx 2^n$ subproblems \Rightarrow exponential time
- ▶ Only n *unique* subproblems.
Save work by ordering computation to solve each problem once.

Iterative “Bottom-Up” Algorithm

WeightedIS

Initialize array M of size n to hold optimal values

$M[0] = 0$ ▷ Value of empty set

for $j = 1$ to n **do**

$M[j] = \max(v_j + M[p_j], M[j - 1])$

end for

Usually we directly convert recurrence to appropriate for loop.

Pay attention to dependence on previously-computed array entries to know in which direction to iterate.

Memoization

Intermediate approach: keep recursive function structure, but store value in array on first computation, and reuse it

Initialize array M of size n to *empty*, $M[0] = 0$

function Mfun(j)

if $M[j] = \text{empty}$

$M[j] = \max(v_j + \text{Mfun}(p_j), \text{Mfun}(j-1))$

return $M[j]$

Can help if we have recursive structure but unsure of iteration order
Or as intermediate step in converting to iteration

Clicker Question 3

The asymptotic complexity of the memoized algorithm is

A: Same as the initial recurrence

B: Between the initial recurrence and the iterated version

C: Same as the iterated version

Review

- ▶ Recursive algorithm → recurrence → iterative algorithm
- ▶ Three ways of expressing value of optimal solution for smaller problems
 - ▶ **Compute-Value(j)**. Recursive algorithm—arguments identify subproblems.
 - ▶ **OPT(j)**. Mathematical expression. Write a recurrence for that matches recursive algorithm.
 - ▶ **$M[j]$** . Array to hold optimal values. Entries filled in during iterative algorithm.

Dynamic Programming Recipe

- ▶ **Devise recursive form for solution**. **Flavor**: make “first choice”, then recursively solve a smaller instance of same problem.
- ▶ Observe that recursive implementation involves redundant computation. (Often exponential time)
- ▶ Design **iterative algorithm** that solves all subproblems without redundancy.

Dividing into Problems

- ▶ First example: Weighted Interval Scheduling
 - ▶ Binary first choice: $j \in O$ or $j \notin O$?
- ▶ Next example: rod cutting
 - ▶ First choice has n options

Rod Cutting

- ▶ **Problem Input:**
 - ▶ Steel rod of length n , can be cut into integer lengths
 - ▶ Price based on length, $p(i)$ for a rod of length i
- ▶ **Goal**
 - ▶ Cut rods into lengths i_1, \dots, i_k such that $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = n$.
 - ▶ Maximize value $p(i_1) + p(i_2) + \dots + p(i_k)$

First choice?

- ▶ Greedy? Cut length with maximum price
Or: cut piece with maximum price *per length* ?
- ▶ Divide and Conquer: Break rod at some (integer) point. Recurse for pieces.
- ▶ Dynamic Programming:
Choose length i of first piece, then recurse on rest

Steps 1 and 2

Step 1: Recursive Algorithm.

```
CutRod( $j$ )
  if  $j = 0$  then return 0
   $v = 0$ 
  for  $i = 1$  to  $j$  do
     $v = \max(v, p[i] + \text{CutRod}(j - i))$ 
  end for
  return  $v$ 
```

- ▶ Running time for CutRod(n)? $\Theta(2^n)$

Step 2: Recurrence

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} \{p_i + \text{OPT}(j - i)\}$$
$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

Step 3: Iterative Algorithm

- ▶ Array $M[0..n]$ where $M[i]$ holds value of $\text{OPT}(i)$.
Order to fill M ? From 0 to n .

CutRod-Iterative

```
Initialize array  $M[0..n]$ 
Set  $M[0] = 0$ 
for  $j = 1$  to  $n$  do
   $v = 0$ 
  for  $i = 1$  to  $j$  do
     $v = \max(v, p[i] + M[j - i])$ 
  end for
  Set  $M[j] = v$ 
end for
```

- ▶ Running time? $\Theta(n^2)$ Note: body of for loop identical to recursive algorithm, directly implements recurrence

Epilogue: Recover Optimal Solution

Run previous algorithm to fill in M array

$\text{cuts} = \{\}$

$j = n$

while $j > 0$ do

$i^* = \text{null}, v = 0$ $\triangleright i^*$ is the selected cut, v is its value

for $i = 1$ to j do

if $p[i] + M[j - i] > v$ then

$i^* = i$

$v = p[i] + M[j - i]$

end if

end for

$j = j - i^*$

$\text{cuts} = \text{cuts} \cup \{i^*\}$

end while