

CmpSci 187: Programming with Data Structures

Spring 2015

Lecture #7

John Ridgway

February 19, 2015

1 Generic Collections

Collection Elements

- A stack is an example of a *collection*. Most common data structures are collections.
- A collection usually holds a group of data items of some common type; e.g., `Locomotive`, `Dog`, `String`, or `int`.
- Consider `StringLog` which is a named collection of `Strings`. If we wanted a class `IntegerLog`, we could simply copy `StringLog` and then replace every use of `String` with a use of `Integer`.
- At a different level this is exactly what we don't want you to do with methods — no cutting and pasting.

Collection Elements (continued)

- Alternatively, we could define one class `Log` that holds `Objects`. Pro: we can put any kind of element in such a log. Con: once we've extracted an item we have to *cast* it to the appropriate type before we can use.
- Better, we can use *generics* (introduced in Java 5) to write a single `Log` that can be parameterized to hold elements of a particular type.
- We will be using *generics* throughout the rest of the course.

Generic Interfaces and Classes

Imagine creating a new class `Pair` to return two values from a single method, as shown here:

```
class Pair {
    private String l;
    private Dog r;
    Pair(String l,
        Dog r) {
        this.l = l;
        this.r = r;
    }
    String getL() {
        return l; }
    Dog getR() {
        return r; } }

Pair gen() {
    ...
    return new Pair("", d);
}
...
void user() {
    Pair result = gen();
    result.getL();
    result.getR();
    ...
}
...
```

Generic Interfaces and Classes (continued)

Now we have another place where we want to return two values, one of class `S` and one of class `T` from a method. Copy the `Pair` class? No, make it generic:

```
class Pair<L, R> {
    private L l;
    private R r;
    Pair(L l, R r) {
        this.l = l;
        this.r = r;
    }
    L getL() {
        return l; }
    R getR() {
        return r; } }

Pair<S,T> gen() {
    ...
    return new Pair<S,T>(s,t);
}
...
void user() {
    Pair<S, T> result = gen();
    result.getL(); // An S
    result.getR(); // A T
    ...
}
...
```

Generic Interfaces and Classes (continued)

- When you create a generic interface or class you provide a type variable, or multiple type variables, inside `<` and `>` immediately following the class or interface name.
- When you want to use one you specify actual types (must be a class, not a primitive type).
- Just like formal and actual parameters, but “lifted” a level.

Exceptional Situations

- Suppose a piece of code runs into an exceptional situation. What is it to do?

- Prior to exceptions the code had to return a special value to indicate that there was a problem. (Consider `fopen` in C.)
- Programmers are lazy, and frequently fail to check for those special values.
- Exceptions were invented to mitigate this problem. *Throwing* an exception halts the normal flow of control and transfers control somewhere special. By default Java prints a message and halts the program.

Exceptions

- A piece of code can throw any instance of `Throwable`. It should always be an instance of `Exception` or `Error`. Any exception that you create or throw should be an instance of `Exception` (or a sub-class thereof).
- `throw new Exception("explanation");`
- `Error` is for system problems; there's nothing you can do about them.
- `RuntimeException` is also for things you are unlikely to be able to do anything about, usually programming errors. `NullPointerException` is a sub-class of `RuntimeException`.

Checked Exceptions

- Anything that can be thrown, except `Error` and `RuntimeException` is considered to be a *checked* exception, i.e., it must either be caught in the method that throws it, or the declared in its `throws` clause.
- Similarly, if a method calls another method that declares that it can throw a particular exception, then the caller must either catch the exception or declare that it, in turn, can throw that exception.
- This means that the caller of a method knows exactly what exceptions can be thrown by anything it calls.

Exception Example

```

Reader getFileToRead(BufferedReader input)
    throws IOException
{
    Reader r = null;
    while (r == null) {
        System.out.println("Enter file name: ");
        String fileName = input.readLine();
        try {
            r = new FileReader(fileName);
        } catch (FileNotFoundException e) {
            System.out.println("File not found.");
        }
    }
    return r;
}

```

Programming By Contract

- Programming by contract is the practice of writing methods that don't deal with the cases where their preconditions are false.
- If it's necessary to test some precondition, then it shouldn't be a precondition.
- If I have a method `getInt` that needs to get an `int` from the console, the code that tells the user "Not an int, try again" should be within this method.
- Any other method should be able to assume that `getInt` will return an `int`.

The Two Stack Interfaces

- DJW define three interfaces in order to have both bounded and unbounded stacks.
- `BSI<T>` and `USI<T>` differ in that only `BSI<T>` has an `isFull` method, and they have different `throws` clauses. (Since these exceptions are not checked, these `throws` clauses are actually just advice for the programmer.)
- Note that DJW's `pop` does not return the element popped — you have to get it with `top` first if you want to save it.

The Stack Interfaces

```
public interface SI<T> {
    void pop( )
        throws StackUnderflowException;
    T top( )
        throws StackUnderflowException;
    boolean isEmpty(); }

public interface BSI<T> extends SI<T> {
    void push(T element)
        throws StackOverflowException;
    boolean isFull( ); }

public interface USI<T> extends SI<T> {
    void push(T element); }
```

Stack Interfaces Clicker Question

Consider the three interfaces we have just seen. Suppose I write a generic class `ArrayStack<T>` that implements the interface `BSI<T>`. Which of the methods `pop`, `push`, `top`, `isEmpty`, and `isFull` must be implemented in `ArrayStack<T>`?

- A. `push`, `top`, and `pop` only

- B. all of them
- C. all but `isFull`
- D. only `push` and `isFull`

2 Stacks: Array Implementation

Stacks: Array Implementation

- Data fields and constructors
- Transformers: `pushing` and `Popping`
- Observers: `isEmpty`, `isFull`, `getSize`, `peek`

ArrayStack: Data Fields and Constructors

```
public class ArrayStack<T> {
    private int currentSize = 0;
    private T[] contents;

    public ArrayStack(int size) { // TROUBLE
        contents = (T[])(new Object[size]);
    }
}
```

ArrayStack: Pushing and Popping

```
public void push(T item)
    throws StackOverflowException {
    if (isFull()) {
        throw new StackOverflowException(); }
    contents[currentSize] = item;
    currentSize += 1; }

public T pop()
    throws StackUnderflowException {
    if (isEmpty()) {
        throw new StackUnderflowException(); }
    T top = contents[currentSize];
    contents[currentSize] = null;
    currentSize -= 1;
    return top; }
```

ArrayStack: Observers

```
public int getSize() {
    return currentSize; }

public boolean isEmpty() {
    return currentSize == 0; }

public boolean isFull() {
```

```
        return currentSize >= contents.length; }

public T peek()
    throws StackUnderflowException {
    if (isEmpty()) {
        throw new StackUnderflowException(); }
    return contents[currentSize - 1]; } }
```

ArrayStack Question 1

Which of these statements will **not** remain true as the stack operates normally?

- A. the number of stack elements stored in the array is `size`.
- B. **the top element of the stack is stored in location `size`.**
- C. If `x >= size`, location `x` of the array is `null`.
- D. If the stack is not full, the last location is `null`.

ArrayStack Question 2

```
public void push(T item)
    throws StackOverflowException {
    if (isFull()) {
        throw new StackOverflowException(); }
    contents[currentSize] = item;
    currentSize += 1; }
```

What would happen if we reversed the two statements after the `if` statement?

- A. The code would not compile.
- B. **A subsequent `pop` operation would return the wrong thing.**
- C. The stack would be in reverse order.
- D. There would be an exception the first time we pushed an element onto an empty stack.