CS601:	Homework 2	Due in class Thursday, Feb. 14, 2019
--------	------------	--------------------------------------

Please do all the assigned reading and read all the lecture notes posted on the syllabus page.

## Problems

1. Recall that HALT =  $\{(i, j) \mid M_i(j) \downarrow\}$ . Show that HALT is r.e. complete by building reductions,  $f_1 : \text{HALT} \le A_{0,17}$ , and  $f_2 : A_{0,17} \le \text{HALT}$ . You should argue simply and clearly why your  $f_i$ 's are total easy-to-compute functions and why they satisfy the defining conditions of reductions, e.g.,

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{N} \quad (x \in A_{0.17}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (f_2(x) \in \mathrm{HALT}) \;.$$

[Note that  $f_1$  is a map from inputs to HALT to inputs to  $A_{0,17}$  and  $f_2$  is a map from inputs to  $A_{0,17}$  to inputs to HALT. Thus,  $f_1$  is given a pair (i, j) and outputs a natural; whereas  $f_2$  is given a natural and outputs a pair of naturals.]

2. In a Tiling problem, we are given an integer in unary  $1^n$ , a set of square tile types,  $T = \{t_0, \ldots, t_k\}$ , together with two relations  $H, V \subseteq T \times T$ , where  $H(t_1, t_2)$  means that  $t_2$  can be placed immediately to the right of  $t_1$  and  $V(t_1, t_2)$  means that  $t_2$  can be placed immediately below  $t_1$ . TILING is the problem of deciding whether there exists an  $n \times n$  grid of tiles satisfying the horizontal and vertical constraints and with  $t_0$  in the upper left position.

Show that TILING is NP complete.

[Hint: you can encode a Turing machine computation via such tiles. Let N be a nondeterministic TM. Consider a set of tiles that are labeled with the tape symbols from  $\Sigma$  plus an additional set of tiles that are labeled with triples  $\langle q, a, \delta \rangle$  where q is a state,  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$  indicates which nondeterministic choice the machine will make at this step, plus some additional tiles.

$\triangleright$	$q_0, a, \delta_0$	b;q	С	
$\triangleright$	d	$q, b, \delta$	c;q'	
$\triangleright$	d	e	$q', c, \delta'$	

Suppose for example that choice  $\delta$  of N in state q looking at a b is to write e, and go to the right into state q'. Then the tile marked  $\langle q, b, \delta \rangle$  would have a signal at its bottom indicating that the next tile should contain symbol e and it could have a signal on its right indicating that the cell on its right should have a signal on its bottom indicating that the cell below should be in state q'.]

3. Define the following series of sets in the Arithmetic Hierarchy:

$$T_{1} = \left\{ n \mid \exists c \operatorname{COMP}(n, n, c, 1) \right\}$$

$$T_{2} = \left\{ n \mid \exists x_{1} \forall c \neg \operatorname{COMP}(n, \langle n, x_{1} \rangle, c, 1) \right\}$$

$$T_{3} = \left\{ n \mid \exists x_{1} \forall x_{2} \exists c \operatorname{COMP}(n, \langle n, x_{1}, x_{2} \rangle, c, 1) \right\}$$

$$T_{2k+1} = \left\{ n \mid \exists x_{1} \forall x_{2} \exists x_{3} \cdots \forall x_{2k} \exists c \operatorname{COMP}(n, \langle n, x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{2k} \rangle, c, 1) \right\}$$

$$T_{2k+2} = \left\{ n \mid \exists x_{1} \forall x_{2} \exists x_{3} \cdots \exists x_{2k+1} \forall c \neg \operatorname{COMP}(n, \langle n, x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{2k+1} \rangle, c, 1) \right\}$$

[Here we are using notation for k-tuples:  $\langle x, y, z, \cdots \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle x, \langle y, z, \cdots \rangle \rangle$ , where  $\langle x, y \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(x, y)$ . The details of the encoding are not important; just that it is computable to encode and decode tuples.

COMP(n, w, c, y) means that  $M_n(w) = y$  and c is a complete encoding of this computation.]

Prove that for all k,  $T_k$  is complete for  $\Sigma_k$ .

[Hint: don't panic. Prove this first for  $T_1$ . Then prove it for  $T_2$ . If you want to quit there, you will get two thirds credit for this problem. The other third involves just keeping your cool and dealing with the notation. Let

 $S = \{n \mid (\exists x_1)(\forall x_2)\cdots(Q_k x_k)\varphi(n, x_1, \dots, x_k)\},\$ 

be an arbitrary  $\Sigma_k$  set, where  $\varphi$  is a computable predicate.

Your job is to show that  $S_k \leq T_k$ .]