

Note: I will hand out solutions the morning of Dec. 11, so I cannot accept late hw5's after that.

Problems

1. Given that SAT is NPC, show that CNF-SAT is also NPC. Hint: we already know that CNF-SAT is in NP. Show that $\text{SAT} \leq \text{CNF-SAT}$ by giving an easy-to-compute polynomial-time reduction, f such that for all $\varphi \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{fmla}}$, $(\varphi \in \text{SAT}) \Leftrightarrow (f(\varphi) \in \text{CNF-SAT})$.

[Hint: first transform φ to φ' in negation normal form, i.e. using only logical connectives \wedge, \vee, \neg , with the \neg 's pushed all the way inside.]

2. We have seen that FO-VALID is r.e. complete and FO-SAT is co-r.e. complete. Let FINITE-FO-VALID be the set of FO formulas that are true in all appropriate finite structures and FINITE-FO-SAT be the set of FO formulas that are true in some finite structure. Show that FINITE-FO-SAT is r.e. complete, and thus FINITE-FO-VALID is co-r.e. complete.

[Hint: start with the fact that Post's Correspondence Problem (PCP) is equivalent to the Halting problem, i.e., it is r.e. complete.]

3. Show that the operators we used in the proof of the $O(|\mathcal{M}| \cdot |\varphi|)$ time CTL model checking algorithm, i.e., $\neg, \wedge, \mathbf{EX}, \mathbf{EU}$, and \mathbf{EG} , suffice to express all of CTL.
4. Recall that we showed in class that $\mathbf{EF}p \equiv \mu Z.(p \vee \mathbf{EX}Z)$. Show the following about the μ calculus:

(a) $\mathbf{AF}p \equiv \mu Z.(p \vee \mathbf{AX}Z)$

(b) $\mathbf{E}(p\mathbf{U}q) \equiv \mu Z.(q \vee (p \wedge \mathbf{EX}Z))$

(c) Prove that μ and ν are duals, i.e., $\neg \mu Y . \varphi(Y) \equiv \nu Z . \neg \varphi(\neg Z)$

[Hint: you might want to use the Tarski Knaster Theorem which says that when φ is monotone, $\mu Y . \varphi(Y) = \varphi^\infty(\perp)$, and, $\nu Y . \varphi(Y) = \varphi^\infty(\top)$. Here $\varphi^\infty(\perp)$ means $\varphi^t(\perp)$ with t minimal such that $\varphi^t(\perp) = \varphi^{t+1}(\perp)$.]