**Def of PropCalc Formulas (Pfmla)**  $\mathbf{P_{var}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p, q, r, s, p_0, q_0, r_0, s_0, p_1, q_1, r_1, s_1, \ldots\}$ 

**base:**  $\top, \bot \in \mathbf{P_{fmla}}$ ; if  $a \in \mathbf{P_{var}}$  then  $a \in \mathbf{P_{fmla}}$ ; ind: If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P_{fmla}}$  then  $\neg \alpha, (\alpha \lor \beta) \in \mathbf{P_{fmla}}$ 

**Completeness Thms for PropCalc and FO:** For  $\Gamma$  a set of formulas and  $\varphi$  a formula,  $(\Gamma \models \varphi) \Leftrightarrow (\Gamma \vdash \varphi)$ .

**Compactness Thms:** For  $\Gamma$  a set of formulas,  $(\Gamma \text{ is satisfiable}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{Every finite subset of } \Gamma \text{ is satisfiable}).$ 

**FO Syntax:** term( $\Sigma$ ): VAR  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x, y, z, u, v, w, x_0, y_0, \dots, x_1, y_1, \dots \}$ 

**base:** If  $v \in VAR$  then  $v \in term(\Sigma)$ ;

**ind:** If  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r \in \text{term}(\Sigma)$ ;  $f \in \Sigma$ , ar(f) = r then  $f(t_1, \dots, t_r) \in \text{term}(\Sigma)$ 

**FO formulas:**  $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$  base: If  $t_1, \ldots, t_a \in \text{term}(\Sigma)$ ,  $P \in \Sigma$ , ar(f) = a then  $P(t_1, \ldots, t_a)$ ,  $t_1 = t_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ .

ind: If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$  and  $v \in VAR$  then  $\neg \alpha$ ,  $(\alpha \lor \beta)$ ,  $\exists v(\alpha) \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ 

Tarski's Definition of Truth:  $A \in STRUC[\Sigma]$ 

**terms:** base: If  $v \in \text{VAR}$  then  $v^{\mathcal{A}} \in |\mathcal{A}|$  ind:  $t_1, \ldots, t_r \in \text{term}(\Sigma); f \in \Sigma, f(t_1, \ldots, t_r)^{\mathcal{A}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f^{\mathcal{A}}(t_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \ldots, t_r^{\mathcal{A}})$ 

**atomic fmla:**  $\mathcal{A} \models P(t_1, \dots, t_a)$  iff  $(t_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \dots, t_{a_i}^{\mathcal{A}}) \in P^{\mathcal{A}}$ ;  $\mathcal{A} \models t_1 = t_2$  iff  $t_1^{\mathcal{A}} = t_2^{\mathcal{A}}$ 

ind:  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \alpha \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \not\models \alpha; \ \mathcal{A} \models (\alpha \lor \beta) \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models \alpha \text{ or } \mathcal{A} \models \beta; \ \mathcal{A} \models \exists v(\alpha) \text{ iff exists } a \in |\mathcal{A}|, \mathcal{A}[v/a] \models \alpha$ 

**Truth Game:**  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$  iff Dumbledore wins on  $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ ;  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \varphi$  iff Gandalf wins on  $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ 

 $\varphi = \forall x(\alpha)$  **G** picks  $a \in |\mathcal{A}|$ ; proceed on  $(\mathcal{A}[a/x], \alpha)$ .  $\varphi = \exists x(\alpha)$  **D** picks  $a \in |\mathcal{A}|$ ; proceed on  $(\mathcal{A}[a/x], \alpha)$ .  $\varphi = (\alpha \land \beta)$  **G** picks  $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ ; proceed on  $(\mathcal{A}, \gamma)$ .  $\varphi$  is a literal **D** wins iff  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$ .  $\varphi$  is a literal **G** wins iff  $\mathcal{A} \models \neg \varphi$ .

## Convert FO Fmla to Equivalent Fmla in Rectified Prenex Normal (RPF) Form; then Skolemize

- 1. Remove all " $\rightarrow$ "s using the fact that  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \equiv \neg \alpha \vee \beta$ .
- 2. Push all "¬"s all the way inside using de Morgan and quantifier rules:

$$\neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv \neg\alpha \lor \neg\beta; \ \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv \neg\alpha \land \neg\beta; \ \neg\forall x(\varphi) \equiv \exists x(\neg\varphi); \ \neg\exists x(\varphi) \equiv \forall x(\neg\varphi)$$

- 3. **Rectify** by renaming bound variables so each occurs only once and no bound variable also occurs free.
- 4. Pull quantifiers out using the following rules, assuming that x does not occur in  $\alpha$ :

$$\alpha \wedge \forall x(\beta) \ \equiv \ \forall x(\alpha \wedge \beta); \ \alpha \vee \forall x(\beta) \ \equiv \ \forall x(\alpha \vee \beta); \ \alpha \wedge \exists x(\beta) \ \equiv \ \exists x(\alpha \wedge \beta); \ \alpha \vee \exists x(\beta) \ \equiv \ \exists x(\alpha \vee \beta)$$

5. **Skolemize:** remove existential quantifier  $\exists x$  and replace x by  $f(u_1, \ldots, u_r)$  where  $\forall u_1 \ldots u_r$  are to the left of  $\exists x$  and f a new function symbol.

In the EF Game,  $\mathcal{G}_m^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ , **Delilah wins** if after every step,  $j \leq m$  the function,  $\eta_j$  that maps  $c^{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto c^{\mathcal{B}}$  for constant symbols  $c \in \Sigma$  and  $x_i^{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto x_i^{\mathcal{B}}$  for all pebbles  $x_i$  that have been placed so far, is an isomorphism of the induced substructures. **Samson wins** if at some step,  $\eta_j$  is not an isomorphism.

 $\mathcal{A} \sim_m^k \mathcal{B}$  means **Delilah has a winning strategy** for  $\mathcal{G}_m^k(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .  $(\mathcal{A} \equiv_m^k \mathcal{B})$  means that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  agree on all formulas in  $\mathcal{L}_m^k$ , i.e., having at most k variables and quantifier rank  $\leq m$ .

**Fund. Thm of EF Games:** Finite relational,  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \in STRUC[\Sigma]$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \equiv_m^k \mathcal{B}) \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \sim_m^k \mathcal{B})$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are **isomorphic** ( $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ ) iff exists  $\eta : |\mathcal{A}| \stackrel{\text{1:1}}{\underset{\text{onto}}{\longrightarrow}} |\mathcal{B}|$  such that

forall 
$$P \in \Sigma, e_1, \dots, e_a \in |\mathcal{A}| \quad ((e_1, \dots, e_a) \in P^{\mathcal{A}} \iff (\eta(e_1), \dots, \eta(e_a)) \in P^{\mathcal{B}})$$
 & forall  $f \in \Sigma, e_1, \dots, e_r \in |\mathcal{A}| \quad (\eta(f^{\mathcal{A}}(e_1, \dots, e_r))) = f^{\mathcal{B}}(\eta(e_1), \dots, \eta(e_r)))$