

Advanced Compilers
 CMPSCI 710
 Spring 2003
 Basic Loop Optimizations

Emery Berger
 University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Topics

- Last time
 - Optimizations using SSA form
 - Constant propagation & dead code elimination
 - Loop invariant code motion
- This time
 - Loop optimizations
 - Induction variable
 - Linear test replacement
 - Loop unrolling
 - Scalar replacement

Easy Detection of Loop Induction Variables

- Pattern match & check:
 - Search for “ $i = i + b$ ” in loop
 - i is induction variable if no other assignment to i in loop
- Pros & Cons:
 - + Easy!
 - Does not catch all loop induction variables
 e.g., “ $i = a * c + 2$ ”

Taxonomy of Induction Variables

- *basic* induction variable:
 - only definition in loop is assignment $j = j \pm c$, where c is loop invariant
- *mutual* induction variable:
 - definition is linear function of other induction variable i :
 - $i = c1 * i \pm c2$
 - $i = i / c1 \pm c2$
- *family* of basic induction variable j :
 - set of induction variables i such that i is always assigned linear function of j

Strength Reduction

- Replace “expensive” op by “cheaper” one
 - E.g., replace multiply by addition
- Apply to induction variable families
 - Especially: array indexing

```

j = 0;
L2: if (j >= 100) goto L1;
  a = 2a + 4 * j;
  *i = 0;
  j = j + 1;
  goto L2;
L1:

```

→

```

for (j = 0; j < 100; j++)
  a[j] = 0;

```

Strength Reduction Algorithm

- Let i be induction variable in the family of basic induction variable j :
 - $i = c1 * j + c2$
- Create new variable i'
- Initialize in pre-header: $i' = c1 * j + c2$
- Track value of j : after $j = j + c3$, add $i' = i' + (c1 * c3)$

Strength Reduction Example

```

j = 0;
L2: if (j >= 100) goto L1;
i = 2a + 4 * j;
*1 = 0;
j = j + 1;
goto L2;
L1:

```

→

```

j = 0;
i2 = 2a + 4 * j;
L2: if (j >= 100) goto L1;
i = i2;
*1 = 0;
j = j + 1;
i2 = i2 + (4 * 1);
goto L2;
L1:

```

Candidates for Strength Reduction

- Induction variable IV multiplied by invariant

```

i = 2;
i = i + 1;
a[i] = i * 50;

```

→

```

i = 2;
i_50 = i * 50;
i = i + 1;
a[i] = i_50 + 50;

```

- Recursively:
 - IV * IV, IV mod constant, IV + IV

Strength Reduction Algorithm

```

insert all basic induction variables
into candidates list
while candidates not empty
  remove 1 from candidates
  if s is "c = b * e + d"
    replace with "c = b * e + d"
  else
    let i.c = s.e, i = b if in rhs
    for each reaching def pointing to c
      if i.c assigned at def point, candidate
        elseif def is "i = j"
          insert "c = j * e"
          add "c = j * e" to candidates
        elseif def is "i = i + e"
          insert "c = i.c - b * e + e"
          add "i = i + e" with b.c = i.c to candidates
        elseif def is "i = j * e + d"
          insert "c = j * e + d * e"
          add "c = j * e + d * e" to candidates

```

Strength Reduction Examples

```

i = 2;
while i < k
  i = i + 1;
  i = i * 50;

```

→

```

i = 2;
i_50 = i * 50;
while i < k
  i = i + 1;
  i_50 = i_50 + 50;
  i = i_50;

```

```

j = 2;
while j < k
  * = j * 3;
  i = j + 1;
  i = i * 50;

```

→

```

j = 2;
while j < k
  i = j + 1;

```

- basic induction variable:
 - only definition in loop is assignment $j = j \pm c$, where c is loop invariant
- mutual induction variable:
 - definition is linear function of other induction variable i :
 - $i = c1 * i \pm c2$
 - $i = i / c1 \pm c2$
- family of basic induction variable j :
 - set of induction variables i such that i is always assigned linear function of j

Linear Test Replacement

- Eliminates induction variable!
 - After strength reduction, loop test is often last use of induction variable
- Algorithm:
 - If only use of IV is loop test and its own increment, and test is always computed
 - i.e., only one exit from loop
 - Replace test with equivalent one:
 - E.g., " $i \text{ comp } k$ " \Rightarrow " $i_50 \text{ comp } k * 50$ "

Linear Test Replacement Example

```

i = 2;
i_50 = i * 50;
while i < k
  i = i + 1;
  i_50 = i_50 + 50;
  ... i_50

```

→

```

i = 2;
i_50 = i * 50;
while i_50 < k * 50
  i_50 = i_50 + 50;
  ... i_50

```

Loop Unrolling

- To reduce loop overhead, we can *unroll* loops

```
for (i = 1; i < 100; i++) {  
  a[i] = a[i+1] + b[i];  
}  
→  
for (i = 1; i < 100; i += 4) {  
  a[i] = a[i+1] + b[i];  
  a[i+1] = a[i+2] + b[i+1];  
  a[i+2] = a[i+3] + b[i+2];  
  a[i+3] = a[i+4] + b[i+3];  
}
```

- Advantages:
 - Execute fewer total instructions
 - More fodder for common subexpression elimination, strength reduction, etc.
 - Move consecutive access closer together
- Disadvantages:
 - Code bloat
 - Still updating through memory

Scalar Replacement

- Problem: register allocators never keep $a[i]$ in register
- Idea: trick allocator
 - Locate patterns of consistent reuse
 - Replace load with copy into temporary
 - Replace store with copy from temporary
 - May need copies at end of loop
 - E.g., when reuse spans > 1 iteration
- Advantages:
 - Decreases number of loads and stores
 - Keeps reused values in registers
 - Big performance impact (2x, 3x!)

Scalar Replacement Example

```
for (i = 1; i < n; i++) {  
  for (j = 1; j < n; j++) {  
    a[i] = a[i] + b[j];  
  }  
}  
→  
for (i = 1; i < 100; i++) {  
  t = a[i];  
  for (j = 1; j < 100; j++) {  
    t = t + b[j];  
  }  
  a[i] = t;  
}
```

- Scalar replacement exposes the reuse of $a[i]$
 - Traditional scalar analysis – inadequate
 - Use *dependence analysis* to understand array references (later)

Next Time

- Common Subexpression Elimination
- Read ACIDI:
 - Ch. 12, pp. 343-355
 - Ch. 13, pp. 378-396