This is the offline review form for Technical Papers of PLDI 2004,
submission XXX, titled
"XXXX"
#
Authors will receive responses to questions 4 and 5 only;
responses to questions 1-3 and 6 will NOT be sent to the authors.
#
REVIEWER-NAME: ***YOUR NAME GOES HERE***
PAPER-NUMBER: YYYY
#
1 - How do you classify this paper? (NOT sent to the authors)
A: I will champion this paper at the PC meeting
(advocate/accept).
B: I can accept this paper, but I will not champion it
(accept, but could reject).
C: This paper should be rejected, though I will not fight
strongly against it (reject, but could accept).
D: Serious problems. I will argue to reject this paper
(detractor).
(Fill in after the keyword: A, B, C or D)
CLASSIFICATION:
#
2 - What is your overall expertise concerning the subject areas
of this paper? (NOT sent to the authors)
X: I am an expert;
Y: I am knowledgable in the area, though not an expert;
Z: I am not an expert. My evaluation is that of an informed outsider.
(Fill in after the keyword: X, Y or Z)
REVIEWER-EXPERTISE:
#
3 - Comments for the program committee (NOT sent to the authors)
(Type after and/or below the keyword)
COMMITTEE-COMMENTS:
#
4 - Summary of the paper (sent to the authors)
(Type after and/or below the keyword)
SUMMARY:
#
5 - Evaluation of the paper, including points in favour
and against, and comments for improvement (sent to the authors)
(Type after and/or below the keyword)
EVALUATION:
#
6 - Name of the co-reviewer for this paper (included in the
proceedings, one per line, no affiliation)
(Type after and/or below the keyword, leave blank if not applicable)
CO-REVIEWERS:
#
%%%% END OF FORM %%%%