

Course updates

- Project plan assignment due April 11
- Midterm next week, April 13
- We'll start review today, finish next Tuesday
- Homework 4 extended until April 20, 9 AM EDT

Today's plan

- Brief description of midterm + topics covered
- Lecture on speculative analysis (last lecture covered by midterm)

What's the midterm like?

- Some true/false questions
- Some multiple choice questions
- Some reasoning questions

On Tuesday

- we'll do some sample questions
- I'll let you ask questions about midterm topics
- if (more questions) answer questions else

talk about software architecture

Topics to be covered

- Dynamic analysis

 Daikon and Purify
- Software development lifecycle
 - ad hoc, code and fix, waterfall, spiral, staged, scrum
- Testing and automated test generation
 - revealing domains, Korat, Chronicler and BugRedux (field failures), SPLat, mobile testing and recovery, mutation testing, delta debugging

Topics to be covered

- Software privacy and reliability

 sTile and smart redundancy
- Automated Bug Fixing

 redundant methods, GenProg, Par, staged repair, SemFix, DirectFix, Angelix, ClearView, app method substitutions, program boosting (crowd) quality of repair
- Speculative Analysis – Quick fix scout, Crystal, CodeHint, CodebaseReplication
- Refactoring

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
000			

DECISION MAKING

Implement a new feature?

Incorporate another developer's changes?

Fix a bug? DECISION MAKING

Upgrade a library?

Refactor for code reuse?

Run tests?

Implement a new feature?

Incorporate another developer's changes?

Fix a bug? DECISION MAKING

Developers often make decisions based on experience and intuition.

Upgrade a library?

Refactor for code reuse?

Run tests?

Can we predict the future

to help make decisions?



Speculative analysis: predict the future and analyze it



current program





current program









inform developer # of resulting test failures





Can speculative analysis help, and not overwhelm, developers?

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
	00000		

Quick Fix Scout

Collaborators: Kıvanç Muşlu, Reid Holmes, Michael D. Ernst, and David Notkin

Decision mal	cing Quick Fix Scout ○●○○○	Crystal 0000000000000	Future: understanding behavior
pub	lic class UnresolvableType	e {	
N a	<pre>private string name;</pre>		
€ •	<pre>public void setName(Strin name = arg; }</pre>	ng arg) {	

Eclipse provides Quick Fixes to resolve compilation errors.



But Eclipse can't tell which fix is best.



We can speculatively apply each fix to find out how many errors remain.



Sometimes, local fixes cannot resolve an error.



Speculation can discover remote fixes that resolve errors.



http://quick-fix-scout.googlecode.com



http://quick-fix-scout.googlecode.com



http://quick-fix-scout.googlecode.com

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
	00000		

Speculative analysis for Quick Fix



current program

analyze compile



inform developer # of resulting compilation errors

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout 0000●	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior 0 000
Exploring	the future		
	past version f the program	present version of the program	future version of the program
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	of the program	Su _{dojino}

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal		nderstanding behavior
Explorin	g the future			
	past version of the program	present version of the program	future version of the program	
	nining software tes	ta continuous testing	iu _{eoino}	-



#### Continuous development

- compilation [Childers et al. 2003; Eclipse 2011]
- execution [Henderson and Weiser 1985; Karinthi and Weiser 1987]
- testing [Saff and Ernst 2003, 2004]
- version control integration [Guimarães and Rito-Silva 2010]





#### Continuous development

- compilation [Childers et al. 2003; Eclipse 2011]
- execution [Henderson and Weiser 1985; Karinthi and Weiser 1987]
- testing [Saff and Ernst 2003, 2004]
- version control integration [Guimarães and Rito-Silva 2010]

Speculative analysis is predictive.

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
		000000000000	000

#### Proactive detection of collaboration conflicts

#### Collaborators: Reid Holmes, Michael D. Ernst, and David Notkin

Version-control terminology

Proactive conflict detection applies to both centralized and distributed version control.

	distributed (hg, git)	centralized (cvs, svn)
local commit:	commit	save
incorporate:	pull and push	update and commit

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal ००●०००००००००	Future: understanding behavior
The Gates c	onflict		
	М		



Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal 00●000000000	<b>Future: understanding behavior</b>







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal oo●ooooooooo	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal oo●ooooooooo	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	<b>Crystal</b> 00●0000000000	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal ○○●○○○○○○○○○	Future: understanding behavior






Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal ○○●○○○○○○○○○	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal 00●000000000	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior







Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	<b>Crystal</b> ○○●○○○○○○○○○○	Future: understanding behavior
_	<b>6</b> 12		







The information was all there, but the developers didn't know it.

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
		00000000000	

What could well-informed developers do?



avoid conflicts



### What could well-informed developers do?



avoid conflicts

# • become aware of conflicts earlier

# Introducing Crystal: a proactive conflict detector

# DEMO

# Introducing Crystal: a proactive conflict detector DEMO



http://crystalvc.googlecode.com



# current program

analyze merge compile test



# inform developer collaborative relationships

# Reducing false positives in conflict prediction

#### Collaborative awareness

- Palantír [Sarma et al. 2003]
- FASTDash [Biehl et al. 2007]
- Syde [Hattori and Lanza 2010]

- CollabVS [Dewan and Hegde 2007]
- Safe-commit [Wloka et al. 2009]
- SourceTree [Streeting 2010]

# Reducing false positives in conflict prediction

#### Collaborative awareness

- Palantír [Sarma et al. 2003]
- FASTDash [Biehl et al. 2007]
- Syde [Hattori and Lanza 2010]

- CollabVS [Dewan and Hegde 2007]
- Safe-commit [Wloka et al. 2009]
- SourceTree [Streeting 2010]

Crystal analyzes **concrete artifacts**, eliminating false positives and false negatives.

### Utility of conflict detection

• Are textual collaborative conflicts a real problem?

• Can textual conflicts be prevented?

• Do build and test collaborative conflicts exist?

histories of 9 open-source projects:		
size: developers: versions:	26K–1.4MSLoC 298 140,000	
	jQuery, Voldemort, ⁄3, Samba, Insoshi	

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
		000000000000	



histories of 9 op	en-source projects:
size:	26K-1.4MSLoC
developers:	298
versions:	140,000
Dorla Doile Cit	iQuary Valdamart

Perl5, Rails, Git, jQuery, Voldemort, MaNGOS, Gallery3, Samba, Insoshi





### How frequent are textual conflicts?

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
		0000000000000	



### How frequent are textual conflicts?

16% of the merges have textual conflicts.





### How frequent are textual conflicts?

16% of the merges have textual conflicts.

### How long do textual conflicts persist?





### How frequent are textual conflicts?

16% of the merges have textual conflicts.

### How long do textual conflicts persist?

Conflicts live a mean of 9.8 and median of 1.6 days. The worst case was over a year.





### How frequent are textual conflicts?

16% of the merges have textual conflicts.

### How long do textual conflicts persist?

Conflicts live a mean of 9.8 and median of 1.6 days. The worst case was over a year.

### How long do textually-safe merges persist?





### How frequent are textual conflicts?

16% of the merges have textual conflicts.

### How long do textual conflicts persist?

Conflicts live a mean of 9.8 and median of 1.6 days. The worst case was over a year.

### How long do textually-safe merges persist?

Textually-safe merges live a mean of 11.0 and median of 1.9 days.

Can textual conflicts be prevented?

Where do textual conflicts come from?

### Can textual conflicts be prevented?

Where do textual conflicts come from?

93% of textual conflicts developed from safe merges.



### Can textual conflicts be prevented?



### The information Crystal computes can help prevent conflicts.

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
		000000000000	

Do build and test collaborative conflicts exist?

program	conflicts			safe
program	textual	build	test	merges
Git	17%	<1%	4%	79%
Perl5	8%	4%	28%	61%
Voldemort	17%	10%	3%	69%

Does merged code fail to build or fail tests?

One in three conflicts are build or test conflicts.

Microsoft Beacon

- A centralized version control-based tool.
- Microsoft product groups are using Beacon to help identify conflicts earlier in the development process.



Additional collaborators: Kıvanç Muşlu, Christian Bird, Thomas Zimmermann



### Contributions of speculative analysis



### Improving developer awareness when making decisions

- compute precise, accurate information
- convert a pull mechanism to a push one

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer intent

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Adobe Acrobat Updater	0	83
	Adobe Acrobat is installing new update Time remaining:	25

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Adobe Acrobat Updater	
	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 20 seconds

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Adobe Acrobat Updater	
	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 10 seconds

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

🔀 Adobe Acrobat Updater	
	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 40 seconds

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Mobe Acrobat Updater			
1 Contraction	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 2 hours		

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Adobe Acrobat Updater	
SS 2 €	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 5 seconds

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer inter-

Adobe Acrobat Updater				
	Adobe Acrobat is installing new updates Time remaining: 0 seconds			

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer intent

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses



- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation

Identify a domain with:

- likely, automatable developer actions
- informative, efficient analyses
- inferable developer intent

- automated fault removal
- code parallelization
- test generation and augmentation







24 / 25



24 / 25

Decision making	Quick Fix Scout	Crystal	Future: understanding behavior
			000

### Future research: automation



- O Automating decision making: removing the developer
- ② Using new automation to enrich speculative analysis
- **③** Bridging requirement specification and behavioral model inference

- Jacob T. Biehl, Mary Czerwinski, Greg Smith, and George G. Robertson. FASTDash: A visual dashboard for fostering awareness in software teams. In CHI, pages 1313–1322, San Jose, CA, USA, Apr. 2007. ISBN 978-1-59593-593-9. doi: 10.1145/1240624.1240823.
- Bruce Childers, Jack W. Davidson, and Mary Lou Soffa. Continuous compilation: A new approach to aggressive and adaptive code transformation. In *IPDPS*, 2003.
- Prasun Dewan and Rajesh Hegde. Semi-synchronous conflict detection and resolution in asynchronous software development. In ECSCW, pages 159–178, Limerick, Ireland, 2007.
- Eclipse. The Eclipse foundation. http://www.eclipse.org, 2011.
- Mário Luís Guimarães and António Rito-Silva. Towards real-time integration. In CHASE, pages 56–63, Cape Town, South Africa, May 2010.
- Lile Hattori and Michele Lanza. Syde: A tool for collaborative software development. In ICSE Tool Demo, pages 235–238, Cape Town, South Africa, May 2010. ISBN 978-1-60558-719-6. doi: 10.1145/1810295.1810339.
- Peter Henderson and Mark Weiser. Continuous execution: The VisiProg environment. In *ICSE*, pages 68–74, London, England, UK, Aug. 1985.
- R. R. Karinthi and M. Weiser. Incremental re-execution of programs. In SIIT, pages 38–44, St. Paul, MN, USA, June 1987. ISBN 0-89791-235-7. doi: 10.1145/29650.29654.
- David Saff and Michael D. Ernst. Reducing wasted development time via continuous testing. In ISSRE, pages 281–292, Denver, CO, USA, Nov. 2003. ISBN 0-7695-2007-3.
- David Saff and Michael D. Ernst. An experimental evaluation of continuous testing during development. In ISSTA, pages 76–85, Boston, MA, USA, July 2004. doi: 10.1145/1007512.1007523.
- Anita Sarma, Zahra Noroozi, and André van der Hoek. Palantír: Raising awareness among configuration management workspaces. In ICSE, pages 444–454, Portland, OR, May 2003. ISBN 0-7695-1877-X.
- Steve Streeting. Sourcetree. http://www.sourcetreeapp.com, 2010.
- Jan Wloka, Barbara Ryder, Frank Tip, and Xiaoxia Ren. Safe-commit analysis to facilitate team software development. In ICSE, pages 507–517, Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 2009. ISBN 978-1-4244-3453-4. doi: 10.1109/ICSE.2009.5070549.