Midterm Review and Software Architecture
Course updates

• Homework 4 was due today
• Homework 5 will be due Nov 27
• Final report due Dec 6
  – final presentations Dec 2, in class
• Midterm next Monday, Nov 18, in class
Today’s plan

• Midterm review
  – What kinds of questions to expect
  – Examples of questions
  – How to attack the hard questions
  – Topics to be covered
  – Your questions

• Software architecture
What’s the midterm like?

• Some true/false questions

• Some multiple choice questions

• Some reasoning questions
True / False Example

Automated debugging techniques are robust enough today to eliminate the need for writing software tests, although some human effort is still needed to verify the quality (and correctness) of the automatically generated fixes.
When working on a project in a team, new tests should be written:

A. By no more than 10% of the team
B. Only during a special testing phase
C. Only after a good portion of the code has been written
D. Both manually and with automated tools
E. Whenever a bug is discovered
Reasoning

• Reasoning are the harder questions that require abstraction and application of what you learnt.

• Reasoning questions will largely cover the papers presented in class, and the homework assignments
Consider this simple concurrent program.

... 

Does it have any races?

Why does CheckSync, from homework 4, report the following race?

...
When Solving Reasoning Problems

- Important to pause for a moment to think about how to proceed.
- Plan your attack and evidence you will use to support your answer.
- You will have scratch paper to use to organize your thoughts (scratch will not be graded).

Come up with an answer, and its support, and write it clearly, concisely in the provided space.
Topics to be covered

• Dynamic analysis
  – Daikon and Purify

• Automated Bug Fixing
  – PAR, GenProg, redundant methods, contracts, SemFix

• Test generation
  – Korat, Chronicler (field failures), web security testing, invariants to localize bugs, bias in bug prediction, broad test sequence generation
Topics to be covered

• Pair Programming
• Speculative Analysis
  – Quick fix scout
  – Crystal
• Performance debugging
  – gprof
  – trend profiling
  – empirical complexity
Topics to be covered

• Innovative software tools
  – Using naturalness of language to predict software
  – RefaFlex, drag-and-drop, and synthesis for refactoring
  – sTile and smart redundancy for privacy and reliability
  – Data clone detection

• Architecture
  – Differences, drift, and decay between planned and implemented architecture
Now your chance

...to ask me questions about these topics

- dynamic analysis
- automated bug fixing
- test generation
- pair programming

- speculative analysis
- performance debugging
- innovating software tools
- architecture
Software Architecture
Why architecture?

“Good software architecture makes the rest of the project easy.”

Steve McConnell, Survival Guide
The basic problem

How do you bridge the gap between requirements and code?
One answer

Requirements

a miracle happens

Code
A better answer

Requirements

Software Architecture

Code

Provides a high-level framework to build and evolve the system
What does an architecture look like?
Box-and-arrow diagrams

Very common and hugely valuable. But, what does a box represent? an arrow? a layer? adjacent boxes?
An architecture: components and connectors

• *Components* define the basic computations comprising the system and their behaviors
  – abstract data types, filters, etc.

• *Connectors* define the interconnections between components
  – procedure call, event announcement, asynchronous message sends, etc.

• The line between them may be fuzzy at times
  – Ex: A connector might (de)serialize data, but can it perform other, richer computations?
A good architecture

• Satisfies functional and performance requirements
• Manages complexity
• Accommodates future change
• Is concerned with
  – reliability, safety, understandability, compatibility, robustness, ...
Divide and conquer

- Benefits of decomposition:
  - Decrease size of tasks
  - Support independent testing and analysis
  - Separate work assignments
  - Ease understanding
- Use of abstraction leads to modularity
  - Implementation techniques: information hiding, interfaces
- To achieve modularity, you need:
  - Strong cohesion within a component
  - Loose coupling between components
  - And these properties should be true at each level
Qualities of modular software

- **decomposable**
  - can be broken down into pieces

- **composable**
  - pieces are useful and can be combined

- **understandable**
  - one piece can be examined in isolation

- **has continuity**
  - change in reqs affects few modules

- **protected / safe**
  - an error affects few other modules
**Interface and implementation**

- **public interface**: data and behavior of the object that can be seen and executed externally by "client" code
- **private implementation**: internal data and methods in the object, used to help implement the public interface, but cannot be directly accessed
- **client**: code that uses your class/subsystem

Example: *radio*
- **public interface**: the speaker, volume buttons, station dial
- **private implementation**: the guts of the radio; the transistors, capacitors, voltage readings, frequencies, etc. that user should not see
UML diagrams

• UML = universal modeling language

• A standardized way to describe (draw) architecture

• Widely used in industry
Properties of architecture

- Coupling
- Cohesion
- Style conformity
- Matching
- Errosion
Loose coupling

- *coupling* assesses the kind and quantity of interconnections among modules

- Modules that are loosely coupled (or uncoupled) are better than those that are tightly coupled

- The more tightly coupled two modules are, the harder it is to work with them separately
Tightly or loosely coupled?
Tightly or loosely coupled?
Strong cohesion

- *cohesion* refers to how closely the operations in a module are related

- Tight relationships improve clarity and understanding

- Classes with good abstraction usually have strong cohesion

- No schizophrenic classes!
Strong or weak cohesion?

class Employee {

public:

    ...
    FullName GetName() const;
    Address GetAddress() const;
    PhoneNumber GetWorkPhoneNumber() const;
    ...
    bool IsJobClassificationValid(JobClassification jobClass);
    bool IsZipCodeValid(Address address);
    bool IsPhoneNumberValid(PhoneNumber phoneNumber);
    ...
    SqlQuery GetQueryToCreateNewEmployee() const;
    SqlQuery GetQueryToModifyEmployee() const;
    SqlQuery GetQueryToRetrieveEmployee() const;
    ...
};
An architecture helps with

- System understanding: interactions between modules
- Reuse: high-level view shows opportunity for reuse
- Construction: breaks development down into work items; provides a path from requirements to code
- Evolution: high-level view shows evolution path
- Management: helps understand work items and track progress
- Communication: provides vocabulary; pictures say $10^3$ words
Architectural style

• Defines the vocabulary of components and connectors for a family (style)
• Constraints on the elements and their combination
  – Topological constraints (no cycles, register/announce relationships, etc.)
  – Execution constraints (timing, etc.)
• By choosing a style, one gets all the known properties of that style (for any architecture in that style)
  – Ex: performance, lack of deadlock, ease of making particular classes of changes, etc.
Styles are not just boxes and arrows

- Consider pipes & filters, for example (Garlan and Shaw)
  - Pipes must compute local transformations
  - Filters must not share state with other filters
  - There must be no cycles
- If these constraints are violated, it’s not a pipe & filter system
  - One can’t tell this from a picture
  - One can formalize these constraints

- Diagram: scan → parse → optimize → generate
The design and the reality

- The code is often less clean than the design

- The design is still useful
  - communication among team members
  - selected deviations can be explained more concisely and with clearer reasoning
Architectural mismatch

• Mars orbiter loss

NASA lost a 125 million Mars orbiter because one engineering team used metric units while another used English units for a key spacecraft operation.
Architectural mismatch

• Garlan, Allen, Ockerbloom tried to build a toolset to support software architecture definition from existing components
  – OODB (OBST)
  – graphical user interface toolkit (Interviews)
  – RPC mechanism (MIG/Mach RPC)
  – Event-based tool integration mechanism (Softbench)
• It went to hell in a handbasket, not because the pieces didn’t work, but because they didn’t fit together
  – Excessive code size
  – Poor performance
  – Needed to modify out-of-the-box components (e.g., memory allocation)
  – Error-prone construction process
• Architecture should warn about such problems (& identify problems)
A **view** illuminates a set of top-level design decisions

- how the system is **composed** of interacting parts
- where are the **main pathways** of interaction
- **key properties** of the parts
- information to allow high-level **analysis and appraisal**
Importance of views

Multiple views are needed to understand the different dimensions of systems
Web application (client-server)
Model-View-Controller

User

View

Controller

Model

Application

Seperates the application object (model) from the way it is represented to the user (view) from the way in which the user controls it (controller).
Pipe and filter

Pipe – passes the data

Filter - computes on the data

top | grep $USER | grep acrobat

Each stage of the pipeline acts independently of the others.
Can you think of a system based on this architecture?
Blackboard architectures

• The knowledge sources: separate, independent units of application dependent knowledge. No direct interaction among knowledge sources.

• The blackboard data structure: problem-solving state data. Knowledge sources make changes to the blackboard that lead incrementally to a solution to the problem.

• Control: driven entirely by state of blackboard. Knowledge sources respond opportunistically to changes in the blackboard.

Blackboard systems have traditionally been used for applications requiring complex interpretations of signal processing, such as speech and pattern recognition.
Hearsay-II: blackboard