## Sequence Labeling/Modeling (II)

### CS 685, Spring 2021

Advanced Topics in Natural Language Processing http://brenocon.com/cs685 https://people.cs.umass.edu/~brenocon/cs685\_s21/

#### Brendan O'Connor

College of Information and Computer Sciences University of Massachusetts Amherst

## **Feedback**

- Comment complaining non-neural content is "outdated"
	- Sorry I disagree! As you know, this course takes an integrative approach to NLP.

# **Today**

- Hidden Markov model, continued
	- HMM as LM: forward algo, neural HMM
	- HMMs vs RNNs
- Viterbi decoding HMM as sequence labeler<br>• Conditional random fields discriminative HN
- Conditional random fields discriminative HMM

# HMM forward inference



update offer lecture online fud dlgo for rext-nord predictor  $p(w_{t}|w_{1}...w_{t-1})$ yt  $= \int_{0}^{\infty} P(w_{t} | y_{t} w_{t}, w_{t-1}) P(y_{t} | w_{t} ... w_{t-1})$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ Pface(Je) recursively apply by Guel, Melpo And algo  $=\alpha_{t}[\gamma_{t}]$  $E_{max}$ 



#### Unsup. HMM for LM i inclin l <u>Consup. Filming</u> Compute grad wrt parameters of log *p*(x) 3 Background: HMMs served tokens x = h*x*1*,...,x<sup>T</sup>* i, with each token  $\mathbf{b}$  precisely  $\mathbf{b}$  is useful values which is useful values which is useful values of  $\mathbf{b}$ of  $E$ M $E$

- First-order HMM with thousands of states, and neural nets for I had bruch in mort with a louseries of states, and ricural ricts for<br>transition & emission probs; block structure for inference efficiency acture for information completing
- Inference: forward algo for next-word prediction bution of children property stoods • Interence: torward algo tor next-word prediction
- Learning: gradient of log marginal probability, log p(x) (alternative or related to EM; see their EMNLP 2018 tutorial, sec 5) (alternative or related to EM; see their EMNLP 2018 tutorial, sec 5)  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a

$$
P(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}; \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} p(x_t | z_t) p(z_t | z_{t-1}).
$$
 (1)  
Our parameterization uses an embedding for  
each state in 7 (F<sub>1</sub>  $\in$  m $|\mathcal{Z}| \times h$ ) and each tellen

each state in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{E}_z \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{Z}| \times h})$  and each token in  $\mathcal{X}$  (**E**<sub>x</sub>  $\in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times h}$ ). From these we can create representations for leaving and entering a state, as well as emitting a word:

$$
\mathbf{H}_{out}, \mathbf{H}_{in}, \mathbf{H}_{emit} = \underbrace{\text{MLP}(\mathbf{E}_z)}_{\text{max}}
$$

with all in  $\mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{Z}| \times h}$ . The HMM distributional parameters are then computed as, $4$ 

 $\mathbf{O} \propto \exp(\mathbf{H}_{\text{emit}} \mathbf{E}_{x/}^{\top}) \quad \mathbf{\Lambda} \propto \exp(\mathbf{H}_{\text{in}} \mathbf{H}_{\text{out}}^{\top})$  $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$  $\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$  $\sum_{\text{cap}(1\text{-}\text{mft }n_{\text{out}})}$ eterization sets the model parameters equal to the



Figure 1: The emission matrix as a set of blocks columns of each block may vary, as there is no consuality of the number of words a state can emit. Each word  $\mathbf{E}_x$  and state  $\mathbf{E}_z$  embeddings.  $\mathbf{O}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{O}_4$  with fixed number of states *k*. The straint on the number of words a state can emit. Each





Table 1: Perplexities on PTB / WIKITEXT-2. The  $HMM+RNN$  and  $HMM$  of Buys et al. (2018) reported validation perplexity only for PTB.

AWD-LSTM 33M 68.6 65.8



Figure 3: Perplexity on PTB by state size  $|\mathcal{Z}| (\lambda = 0.5)$ and  $M = 128$ ).  $\lim_{M} m = 120$ 

Are they related? **HMM**  $\bigcup_{\alpha}$  is a series of **RNN** Kuda Complete the complete state of the complete st  $\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P}_{11} & \mathcal{P}_{22} & \mathcal{P}_{12} & \mathcal{P}_{13} & \mathcal{P}_{14} & \mathcal{P}_{15} & \mathcal{P}_{16} & \mathcal{P}_{17} & \mathcal{P}_{18} & \mathcal{P}_{19} & \mathcal{P}_{18} & \mathcal{P}_{19} & \mathcal{P}_{19} & \mathcal{P}_{19} & \mathcal{P}_{10} & \mathcal{P}_{11} & \mathcal{P}_{12} & \mathcal{P}_{13} & \mathcal{P}_{14} & \mathcal{P}_{15} & \mathcal{P}_{16} & \math$  $3$ tate<br>Repr: y  $\in \Sigma$ 1.1 $\times$ 3 peer:  $y \in \Sigma$ l- $K\overline{3}$  Beer:  $K^{\bullet\bullet}$ Insane vneertanty over when are in the line forma whented  $P(y_t | N_1 ... N_{t-1})$   $\in S(K)$   $\subset$   $\mathbb{R}$  rhesame  $P(\gamma\epsilon[\gamma_1...\gamma_T])$ Tr B Sedemontez PC http detum

# Viterbi algorithm

Goal: given entire input sequence  $w_1..w_T$ , jointly predict best output sequence y<sub>1</sub>..y<sub>T</sub> A

• Why can't you do simply do this left-to-right?

fairfax PCFlw KPE.SI  $A = \bigcirc Q$  and  $\bigcirc Q$  and  $\begin{matrix} \gamma & \gamma \ \gamma & \gamma \end{matrix}$  $W$  deader  $y = \sqrt{22}$  $w_2 = a$  $epk$  $w = Atx$   $w<sub>2</sub> = at$ 

## How to build a POS tagger?

- Sources of information:
	- POS tags of surrounding words: syntactic context
	- The word itself
	- Features, etc.!
		- Word-internal information
		- Features from surrounding words
		- **External lexicons**
		- Embeddings, NN states

HMM **Classifier CRF** 

[BERT/ELMO may be sufficient alternatives to sharing contextual information?]

- Seq. labeling as log-linear structured prediction  $\hat{\bm{y}}_{1:M} = \text{argmax} \quad \bm{\theta}^{\top} \bm{f}(\bm{w}_{1:M}, \bm{y}_{1:M}),$  $y_{1:M} \in \mathcal{Y}(w_{1:M})$
- **•** Example: the Hidden Markov model

$$
p(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{y}) = \prod_t p(y_t \mid y_{t-1}) p(w_t \mid y_t)
$$

- w: Text Data
- y: Proposed class or sequence
- $\bullet$  θ: Feature weights (model parameters)
- $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \end{array}$  intervalse  $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \end{array}$ • f(x,y): Feature extractor, produces feature vector



**HMM as log-linear**

\n
$$
p(y, w) = \prod_{t} p(w_y | y_t) \ p(y_t | y_{t-1})
$$
\n
$$
\underbrace{\log p(y, w)}_{\text{goodness}} = \sum_{t}^{t} \underbrace{\log p(w_t | y_t)}_{\text{Poisson factor score}} + \underbrace{\log p(y_t | y_{t-1})}_{\text{transition factor score}}
$$
\n
$$
\log p(y, w) = \sum_{t} \phi_t(y_{t-1}, y_t)
$$
\n
$$
p(\text{error score})
$$
\n
$$
p(\text{error score})
$$
\npair factor score

Decoding problem arg max (Viterbi algorithm)  $y^*$  $\in$ *outputs* $(x)$  $G(y^*)$ 

## HMM as log-linear

• HMM as a joint log-linear model

$$
P(y, w) = \prod_{t} P(y_t | y_{t-1}) P(w_t | y_t)
$$

$$
P(y, w) = \exp(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} f(y, w))
$$

$$
f(y, w) = \sum_{t} f(y_{t-1}, y_t, w_t) \cdot \lim_{t \to 0} \text{Isom of the}
$$

$$
f(y, w) = \sum_{t} f(y_{t-1}, y_t, w_t)
$$
  
 e.g. {(N,V):I, (V,dog):I}  
 What are the weights?

eatures only! (Allows efficient inference)

 $P(y \mid w) \propto \exp(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} f(y, w))$ • This implies the conditional is also log-linear

## From HMMs to CRFs

- **1. Discriminative learning**: take HMM features, but set weights to maximize *conditional LL* of labels
- **2. More features**: affix, positional, feature templates, embeddings, etc.
	- For efficient inference: make sure to **preserve Markovian structure** within the feature function (e.g. first-order CRF)



Let's use three feature templates: Tags: "**V**"erb and pr"**O**"noun (and **[S]**tart)



(Global features have to be COUNTS: the reason why is further below.) For 3 word vocabulary and 2 tag types, that's J=14 total features. Assume we have fixed model weights θ and would like to score the goodness of the above tag sequence.



#### **Global feature vector is from the sum of local feature vectors**

$$
f(x,y) = \sum_t f_t(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_t)
$$

 $f_t(y_{t-1}, y_t, x_t)$  = local feature vector including the transition between these two tags, and the observation of word at position t.

The local features are, for example:

 $f_{\bigvee\!\bigvee}$ (yprev, ycur, curword) = {1 if yprev=V and ycur=V, else 0}

 $f_{V,$ dog<sup>(yprev,</sup> ycur, curword) = {1 if ycur=V and curword="dog", else 0}

f V,-s(yprev, ycur, curword) = {1 if ycur=V and curword ends in "s", else 0}

And so on, repeated for different tags and words.



Local feature decomposition implies that the scoring function decomposes, too.

$$
G(y) = \theta' f(x, y) = \theta' \sum_{t} f_{t}(y_{t-1}, y_{t}, x_{t}) = \sum_{t} \theta' f_{t}(y_{t-1}, y_{t}, x_{t})
$$
  
\n=  $\theta'$  f(START, V, finna) +  $\theta'$  f(V, V, bless) +  $\theta'$  f(V, V, us)  
\n= dotprod \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} -0.2 & -0.8 & +0.1 & +0.5 & +4.3 & -0.3 & -1.2 & -0.1 & +0.1 & +5.3 & -4.1 & -0.3 & +1.1 & +2.2 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)  
\n+ dotprod \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} -0.2 & -0.8 & +0.1 & +0.5 & +4.3 & -0.3 & -1.2 & -0.1 & +0.1 & +5.3 & -4.1 & -0.3 & +1.1 & +2.2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)

## Learning a CRF

- Gradient descent on negative **conditional LL**
	- Log-linear gradient: sum over all possible predicted structures (Forward-Backward for marginalization)
- Non-probabilistic losses: compare gold structure to only one predicted structure
	- Structured perceptron algorithm: Collins, 2002 (recent Test of Time award)
	- Structured SVM (hinge loss)
	- (Viterbi for best-structure)

## Learning a CRF: max CLL

$$
\log p_{\theta}(y \mid w) = \theta^{\mathsf{T}} f(y, w) - \log \sum_{y'} \exp(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} f(y, w))
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \log p_{\theta}(\ldots)}{\partial \theta_j} = f_j(y, w) - \sum_{y'} p_{\theta}(y' \mid w) f_j(y', w)
$$

• Apply local decomposition  
= 
$$
\left(\sum_t f_j(y_{t-1}, y_t, w_t)\right) - \sum_{y'} p_{\theta}(y' \mid w) \sum_t f_j(y'_{t-1}, y'_t, w_t)
$$

 $=$  $\sum$ *t*  $\sqrt{ }$  $\int f_j(y_{t-1}, y_t, w_t) - \sum$  $y'_t, y'_{t-1}$  $p_{\theta}(y'_{t-1}, y'_{t} | w) f_j(y'_{t-1}, y'_{t}, w_t)$  $\setminus$ A Real feature value Expected feature value

Tag marginals (to compute: forward-backward)

## Seq. Labeling inference

- P(w): Likelihood (generative model)
	- Forward algorithm. Each step: *sum* over all possible prefixes
- P(y | w): Predicted sequence ("decoding")
	- Viterbi algorithm. Each step: consider each best possible prefix
	- Need for **supervised struct perceptron / SSVM** learning
- $P(y_m | w)$  and  $P(y_m, y_{m-1} | w)$ : Predicted tag (and tag pair) marginals
	- Forward-Backward algorithm
	- Need for **supervised CRF** learning
	- Need for **unsupervised HMM** learning

### Forward-Backward

#### $\sum_{\mathbf{y}'} \prod_{n=1}^{n} c_n \mathbf{y}_n \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}$  $Pr(Y_{m-1} = k', Y_m = k | \bm{w}) =$  $\sum$  $y:Y_m = k, Y_{m-1} = k$  $\prod_{n=1}^{M} \exp s_n(y_n, y_{n-1})$  $\frac{P_{n,m-1}-P_{n+1}}{\sum_{\mathbf{y}'}\prod_{n=1}^{M}\exp s_{n}(y'_{n},y'_{n-1})}$ . Want: a pair marginal



Figure 7.3: A schematic illustration of the computation of the marginal probability  $Pr(Y_{m-1} = k', Y_m = k)$ , using the forward score  $\alpha_{m-1}(k')$  and the backward score  $\beta_m(k)$ .

#### can also be computed through a recurrence: Forward recurrence

$$
\alpha_m(y_m) = \sum_{\mathbf{y}_{1:m-1}} \prod_{n=1}^m \exp s_n(y_n, y_{n-1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{y_{m-1}} (\exp s_m(y_m, y_{m-1})) \sum_{\mathbf{y}_{1:m-2}} \prod_{n=1}^{m-1} \exp s_n(y_n, y_{n-1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{y_{m-1}} (\exp s_m(y_m, y_{m-1})) \times \alpha_{m-1}(y_{m-1}).
$$

=↵*M*+1(⌥)*.* [7.83]

23 Backward recurrence  $M+1$  $\beta$  (1)  $\sum_{x}$ *y*1:*<sup>M</sup>*  $(k', k) \times \beta$ <sub>1(k</sub>') *m*=1 exp *sm*(*ym, ym*1) [7.82]  $\beta_m(k) \triangleq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp s_n(y_n, y_{n-1})$  $y_{m:M}:Y_m = k \ n = m$  $=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp s_{m+1}(k',k) \times \beta_{m+1}(k')$  $k' \in Y$ )*.* [7.90] *M* +1 *M*

## Baum-Welch: EM for HMMs

- When complete LL is easy to maximize, as in the simple count-based HMM
	- It's an optimization method for the marginal LL
	- For linear or NN parameterizations, backprop implicitly does an E-step for you; no need for explicit E/M alternation
- **E-step**: calculate marginals with forward-backward
	- $p(y_{t-1}, y_t | w_1..w_T)$
	- $p(y_t | w_1..w_T)$
- **M-step**: re-estimate parameters from expected counts
	- Transitions: will use pair marginals
	- Emissions: will use tag marginals
- Learns soft clusters kind-of-like POS tags

## Structured Perceptron

- Viterbi is very common for decoding. Inconvenient that you also need forwardbackward for CRF learning
- Collins 2002: actually you can directly train only using Viterbi: **structured perceptron**
	- Theoretical results hold from the usual perceptron...
- Important extension in NLP: **Structured SVM**
	- a.k.a. **Structured large-margin/hinge-loss** energy network a.k.a. **Cost-augmented perceptron**
- SP, SSVM, CRF training are variants of highly related objective functions and SSGD updates

### Structured/multiclass Perceptron (for any log-linear model)

- For ~10 iterations
	- For each  $(x,y)$  in dataset
		- PREDICT

e.g.  $r=1$ 

$$
y^* = \arg\max_{y'} \theta^\mathsf{T} f(x, y')
$$

• IF  $y=y^*$ , do nothing



*Does this look similar to the CRF CLL gradient?*

### Perceptron notes/issues

- Issue: does it converge? (generally no)
	- Solution: the *averaged* perceptron
- Can you regularize it? No... use SSVM instead (cost-augmented perceptron)
- StructPerc and CRF perform similarly in practice

## CRF extensions

- Not just chains
	- 2nd-order, 3rd-order Markov assumptions…
	- Trees…
	- Grids, social networks, etc… any situation where you want interdependence of the latent (predicted) variables
	- Best: a low-treewidth DGM (why?)

## Structured Pred. and NNs

- Aos structure **Tradeoffs** • Complex output model + simple input model?<br>
(CRF and linear features) (CRF and linear features) vs. Simple output model  $\neq$  complex input model? (Indiv. classifier with LSTM "features")
- Can combine both! (e.g. BiLSTM-CRF)
- RNNs as *alternative* to probabilistic model-based message passing
	- Success of BERT representation + indep classifier suggests BERT (or similar) is already combining significant information
- Other work (e.g. VAEs): NNs for inference