

Use the grammar on the next page (and if you need sensible modifications or to introduce additional rules, note them). Assume there are reasonable POS tags (not included in the grammar). With them:

1. Draw a parse tree for:

I fly between Philadelphia and Atlanta

2. Invent a new sentence that has a syntactic ambiguity. Draw it and two legitimate parse trees for it.

Sentences

The most common production rule for sentences is,

$$S \rightarrow NP VP \quad (8.27)$$

which accounts for simple sentences like *Abigail ate the kimchi* — as we will see, the direct object *the kimchi* is part of the verb phrase. But there are more complex forms of sentences as well:

$$S \rightarrow ADVP NP VP \quad \text{Unfortunately Abigail ate the kimchi.} \quad (8.28)$$

$$S \rightarrow S Cc S \quad \text{Abigail ate the kimchi and Max had a burger.} \quad (8.29)$$

$$S \rightarrow VP \quad \text{Eat the kimchi.} \quad (8.30)$$

where ADVP is an adverbial phrase (e.g., *unfortunately, very unfortunately*) and Cc is a coordinating conjunction (e.g., *and, but*).⁷

Noun phrases

Noun phrases refer to entities, real or imaginary, physical or abstract: *Asha, the steamed dumpling, parts and labor, nobody, and the rise of revolutionary syndicalism in the early twentieth century*. Noun phrase productions include “bare” nouns, which may optionally follow determiners, as well as pronouns:

$$NP \rightarrow NN | NNS | NNP | PRP \quad (8.31)$$

$$NP \rightarrow DET NN | DET NNS | DET NNP \quad (8.32)$$

The part-of-speech tags NN, NNS, and NNP refer to singular, plural, and proper nouns; PRP refers to personal pronouns, and DET refers to determiners. The grammar also contains terminal productions from each of these tags, e.g., $PRP \rightarrow I | you | we | \dots$

Noun phrases may be modified by adjectival phrases (ADJP; e.g., *the small Russian dog*) and numbers (CD; e.g., *the five pastries*), each of which may optionally follow a determiner:

$$NP \rightarrow ADJP NN | ADJP NNS | DET ADJP NN | DET ADJP NNS \quad (8.33)$$

$$NP \rightarrow CD NNS | DET CD NNS | \dots \quad (8.34)$$

⁷Notice that the grammar does not include the recursive production $S \rightarrow ADVP S$. It may be helpful to think about why this production would cause the grammar to overgenerate.

Some noun phrases include multiple nouns, such as *the liberation movement and an antelope horn*, necessitating additional productions:

$$NP \rightarrow NN NN | NN NNS | DET NN NN | \dots \quad (8.35)$$

These multiple noun constructions can be combined with adjectival phrases and cardinal numbers, leading to a large number of additional productions.

Recursive noun phrase productions include coordination, prepositional phrase attachment, subordinate clauses, and verb phrase adjuncts:

$$NP \rightarrow NP Cc NP \quad \text{e.g., the red and the black} \quad (8.36)$$

$$NP \rightarrow NP PP \quad \text{e.g., the President of the Georgia Institute of Technology} \quad (8.37)$$

$$NP \rightarrow NP SBAR \quad \text{e.g., the bicycle that I found outside} \quad (8.38)$$

$$NP \rightarrow NP VP \quad \text{e.g., a bicycle made of titanium} \quad (8.39)$$

These recursive productions are a major source of ambiguity, because the VP and NP non-terminals can also generate NP children. Thus, the *the President of the Georgia Institute of Technology* can be derived in two ways, as can *a bicycle made of titanium found outside*.

Other constituents

The remaining constituents require far fewer productions. **Prepositional phrases** almost always consist of a preposition and a noun phrase,

$$PP \rightarrow IN NP \quad \text{United States of America} \quad (8.59)$$

$$PP \rightarrow TO NP \quad \text{He gave his kimchi to Abigail} \quad (8.60)$$

Similarly, complement clauses consist of a complementizer (usually a preposition, possibly null) and a sentence,

$$SBAR \rightarrow IN S \quad \text{She said that it was spicy} \quad (8.61)$$

$$SBAR \rightarrow S \quad \text{She said it was spicy} \quad (8.62)$$

Adverbial phrases are usually bare adverbs (ADVP \rightarrow RB), with a few exceptions:

$$ADVP \rightarrow RB RBR \quad \text{They went considerably further} \quad (8.63)$$

$$ADVP \rightarrow ADVP PP \quad \text{They went considerably further than before} \quad (8.64)$$

The tag RBR is a comparative adverb.

Adjectival phrases extend beyond bare adjectives (ADJP \rightarrow JJ) in a number of ways:

$$ADJP \rightarrow RB JJ \quad \text{very hungry} \quad (8.65)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow RBR JJ \quad \text{more hungry} \quad (8.66)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow JJS JJ \quad \text{best possible} \quad (8.67)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow RB JJR \quad \text{even bigger} \quad (8.68)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow JJ Cc JJ \quad \text{high and mighty} \quad (8.69)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow JJ JJ \quad \text{West German} \quad (8.70)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow RB VBN \quad \text{previously reported} \quad (8.71)$$

The tags JJR and JJS refer to comparative and superlative adjectives respectively. All of these phrase types can be coordinated:

$$PP \rightarrow PP Cc PP \quad \text{on time and under budget} \quad (8.72)$$

$$ADVP \rightarrow ADVP Cc ADVP \quad \text{now and two years ago} \quad (8.73)$$

$$ADJP \rightarrow ADJP Cc ADJP \quad \text{quaint and rather deceptive} \quad (8.74)$$

$$SBAR \rightarrow SBAR Cc SBAR \quad \text{whether they want control or whether they want exports} \quad (8.75)$$

Verb phrases

Verb phrases describe actions, events, and states of being. The PTB tagset distinguishes several classes of verb inflections: base form (VB; *she likes to snack*), present-tense third-person singular (VBZ; *she snacks*), present tense but not third-person singular (VBP; *they snack*), past tense (VBD; *they snacked*), present participle (VBG; *they are snacking*), and past participle (VBN; *they had snacked*).⁹ Each of these forms can constitute a verb phrase on its own:

$$VP \rightarrow VB | VBZ | VBD | VBN | VBG | VBP \quad [9.41]$$

More complex verb phrases can be formed by a number of recursive productions, including the use of coordination, modal verbs (MD; *she should snack*), and the infinitival (TO):

$$VP \rightarrow MD VP \quad \text{She will snack} \quad [9.42]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBD VP \quad \text{She had snacked} \quad [9.43]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ VP \quad \text{She has been snacking} \quad [9.44]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBN VP \quad \text{She has been snacking} \quad [9.45]$$

$$VP \rightarrow TO VP \quad \text{She wants to snack} \quad [9.46]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VP Cc VP \quad \text{She buys and eats many snacks} \quad [9.47]$$

Each of these productions uses recursion, with the VP non-terminal appearing in both the LHS and RHS. This enables the creation of complex verb phrases, such as *She will have wanted to have been snacking*.

Transitive verbs take noun phrases as direct objects, and ditransitive verbs take two direct objects:

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ NP \quad \text{She teaches algebra} \quad [9.48]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBG NP \quad \text{She has been teaching algebra} \quad [9.49]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBD NP NP \quad \text{She taught her brother algebra} \quad [9.50]$$

These productions are *not* recursive, so a unique production is required for each verb part-of-speech. They also do not distinguish transitive from intransitive verbs, so the resulting grammar overgenerates examples like **She sleeps sushi* and **She learns Boyang algebra*. Sentences can also be direct objects:

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ S \quad \text{Hunter wants to eat the kimchi} \quad [9.51]$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ SBAR \quad \text{Hunter knows that Tristan ate the kimchi} \quad [9.52]$$

The first production overgenerates, licensing sentences like **Hunter sees Tristan eats the kimchi*. This problem could be addressed by designing a more specific set of sentence non-terminals, indicating whether the main verb can be conjugated.

The first production overgenerates, licensing sentences like **Asha sees Boyang eats the kimchi*. This problem could be addressed by designing a more specific set of sentence non-terminals, indicating whether the main verb can be conjugated.

⁹It bears emphasis the principles governing this tagset design are entirely English-specific: VBP is a meaningful category only because English morphology distinguishes third-person singular from all person-number combinations.

Verbs can also be modified by prepositional phrases and adverbial phrases:

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ PP \quad \text{She studies at night} \quad (8.52)$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ ADVP \quad \text{She studies intensively} \quad (8.53)$$

$$VP \rightarrow ADVP VBG \quad \text{She is not studying} \quad (8.54)$$

Again, because these productions are not recursive, the grammar must include productions for every verb part-of-speech.

A special set of verbs, known as **copula**, can take **predicative adjectives** as direct objects:

$$VP \rightarrow VBZ ADJP \quad \text{She is hungry} \quad (8.55)$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBP ADJP \quad \text{Success seems increasingly unlikely} \quad (8.56)$$

The PTB does not have a special non-terminal for copular verbs, so this production generates non-grammatical examples such as **She eats tall*.

Particles (PRT as a phrase; RP as a part-of-speech) work to create phrasal verbs:

$$VP \rightarrow VB PRT \quad \text{She told them to fuck off} \quad (8.57)$$

$$VP \rightarrow VBD PRT NP \quad \text{They gave up their ill-gotten gains} \quad (8.58)$$

As the second production shows, particle productions are required for all configurations of verb parts-of-speech and direct objects.