CMPSCI 250: Introduction to Computation

Lecture #30: Proving Properties of the Regular Languages David Mix Barrington 9 April 2012

Proving Properties of Regular Languages

- Induction on Regular Expressions
- The One's Complement Operation
- Proving Our Function Correct
- The Pseudo-Java RegExp Class
- The One's Complement Method
- Reversal of Languages
- Testing for the Empty Language

Induction on Regular Expressions

- Because the regular languages have an inductive definition, we can prove propositions for all of them by induction.
- Let P(R) be a predicate with one free variable of type "regular expression". If we prove P(\varnothing), P(a) for all a $\in \Sigma$, (P(R) \wedge P(S)) \to P(R + S), (P(R) \wedge P(S)) \to P(RS), and P(R) \to P(R*), we can conclude that P(R) holds for any regular expression R.
- For example, we will define two operations on languages and show that the regular languages are **closed** under these operations. That is, if R is a regular expression, the result of applying the operation to L(R) gives us a regular language. We will demonstrate an algorithm to compute this expression.
- We'll also show that we can test properties of R, such as whether $L(R) = \emptyset$.

The One's Complement Operation

- The **one's complement** of a binary string w, denoted oc(w), is the string of the same length obtained by replacing all 0's with 1's and all 1's with 0's. For example, oc(011001) = 100110. We can define oc(w) inductively, of course: oc(λ) = λ , oc(w0) = oc(w)1, and oc(w1) = oc(w)0.
- The one's complement of a *language* X is the language $\{oc(w): w \in X\}$ -- the set of strings whose one's complements are in X. We will prove that for any regular expression R, the language oc(L(R)) is a regular language.
- It's not hard to see how to convert R into a regular expression for oc(L(R)). We just replace 0's with 1's and 1's with 0's in R itself.
- Formally this is a recursive algorithm: $oc(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, oc(0) = 1, oc(1) = 0, oc(R + S) = oc(R) + oc(S), oc(RS) = oc(R)oc(S), and $oc(R^*) = oc(R)^*$.

Proving Our Function Correct

- We will use induction to prove that this function f, from regular expressions to regular expressions, satisfies the property "L(f(R)) = oc(L(R))", which we will write as P(R).
- $P(\emptyset)$ says that $L(\emptyset) = oc(L(\emptyset))$, which is true because $\{oc(w): w \in \emptyset\} = \emptyset$.
- P(0) says "L(1) = oc(L(0))" and P(1) says "L(0) = oc(L(1))", both of which are true.
- Assume that P(R) and P(S) are true, so that L(f(R)) = oc(L(R)) and L(f(S)) = oc(L(S)). We must show that $L(f(R)) \cup L(f(S)) = oc(L(R+S))$, that L(f(R))L(f(S)) = oc(L(R+S)), and that $L(f(R))^* = oc(L(R^*))$.
- Each of these three facts follow pretty directly from the definitions -- details are in the textbook.

A Java RegExp Class

• Just as boolean or arithmetic expressions can be implemented by tree structures, we can define a real Java class RegExp whose objects are regular expressions. We will need methods to **parse** these objects, meaning to determine their structure and component parts.

```
public class RegExp {
 public RegExp(); // returns RegExp equal to emptyset
 public RegExp(String w); // returns RegExp denoted by w
 public boolean isEmptySet(); // is it the empty set?
 public boolean isZero(); // is it "0"?
 public boolean isOne(); // is it "1"?
 public boolean isUnion(); // is it "ST"?
 public boolean isCat(); // is it "ST"?
 public boolean isStar(); // is is "S*"?
 public RegExp firstArg();
 public RegExp secondArg();
 public static RegExp plus (RegExp r, RegExp s);
 public static RegExp cat (RegExp r, RegExp s);
 public static RegExp star (RegExp r);
```

The One's Complement Method

- This definition lets us write code for the one's complement algorithm. This is a recursive method that creates a RegExp object with the same structure as the method's argument, but with 0's and 1's switched.
- We've essentially proved this method correct by our usual method for recursive code -- we prove the base cases correct and then prove the rest correct assuming that the recursive calls are correct.

```
public static RegExp f (RegExp s) {
if (s.isEmpty()) return new RegExp();
if (s.isZero()) return new RegExp("1");
if (s.isOne()) return new RegExp("0");
RegExp oct = f (s.firstArg());
if (s.isStar()) return star(oct);
RegExp ocu = f (s.secondArg());
is (s.isPlus()) return plus (oct, ocu);
else return cat (oct, ocu);} // s.isCat() must be true
```

Reversal of Languages

- A similar function from languages to languages is **reversal**, based on the familiar reversal operation on strings: for any language X, $X^R = \{w^R: w \in X\}$.
- The regular languages are closed under reversal -- we can easily see that $\varnothing^R = \varnothing$ and that $a^R = a$ for any letter a. The string rule $(xy)^R = y^R x^R$ yields a language rule $(TU)^R = U^R T^R$, and we have $(T+U)^R = T^R + U^R$ and $(T^*)^R = (T^R)^*$.

```
public static RegExp rev (RegExp s) {
if (s.isEmpty()) return new RegExp();
if (s.isZero()) return new RegExp("0");
if (s.isOne()) return new RegExp("1");
RegExp trev = rev (s.firstArg());
if (s.isStar()) return star (trev);
RegExp urev = rev (s.secondArg());
if (s.isPlus()) return plus (trev, urev);
else return cat (urev, trev);} // s.isCat() is true
```

Testing For the Empty Language

- The regular expression " \varnothing " denotes the empty languages, but so do other regular expressions like $a(b+a)^*(\varnothing + a^*\varnothing)(bb)^*$. Exercise 5.5.4 asks you to write a method that takes a RegExp object R and returns a boolean that is true if and only if $L(R) = \varnothing$.
- We solve the problem recursively. For the base cases, we should return true on \varnothing and return false on any letter a. If R and S are two regular expressions, L(R + S) is empty if and only if both L(R) and L(S) are empty, and L(RS) is empty if and only if either L(R) or L(S) is empty. And of course L(R*) is never empty.
- A similar problem is to tell whether $L(R) = \{\lambda\}$, or whether $\lambda \in L(R)$. But telling whether $L(R) = \Sigma^*$ is much harder, because L(R + S) could equal Σ^* in so many different ways.