# CMPSCI 250: Introduction to Computation

Lecture #29: Proving Regular Language Identities David Mix Barrington 8 November 2013

# Regular Language Identities

- Regular Language Identities
- The Semiring Axioms Again
- Identities Involving Union and Concatenation
- Proving the Distributive Law
- The Inductive Definition of Kleene Star
- Identities Involving Kleene Star
- (ST)\*, S\*T\*, and (S + T)\*

## Regular Expression Identities

- In this lecture and the next we'll use our new formal definition of the regular languages to prove things about them.
- In particular, in this lecture we'll prove a number of regular language identities, which are statements about languages where the types of the free variables are "regular expression" and which are true for all possible values of those free variables.

## Regular Expression Identities

- For example, if we view the union operator + as "addition" and the concatenation operator as "multiplication", then the rule S(T + U) = ST + SU is a statement about languages and (as we'll prove today) is a regular language identity. In fact it's a language identity as regularity doesn't matter.
- We can use the inductive definition of regular expressions to prove statements about the whole family of them -- this will be the subject of the next lecture.

## The Semiring Axioms Again

- The set of natural numbers, with the ordinary operations + and ×, forms an algebraic structure called a **semiring**.
- Earlier we proved the semiring axioms for the naturals from the Peano axioms and our inductive definitions of + and x.
- It turns out that the languages form a semiring under union and concatenation, and the regular languages are a **subsemiring** because they are **closed** under + and ·.That is, if R and S are regular, so are R + S and R·S.

## The Semiring Axioms Again

- Both operations of a semiring must be associative and each must have an identity. For languages,  $\varnothing$  is the identity for union and  $\{\lambda\} = \varnothing^*$  is the identity for concatenation, as  $\varnothing + R = R + \varnothing = R$  and  $R\varnothing^* = \varnothing^*R = R$ . We also need the distributive law which we'll prove soon.
- Note that + is commutative but · is not as in general XY and YX are different languages.
   There are other identities like X + X = X that are not true for the natural numbers.

## Clicker Question #1

- Consider the rule "(X + I)<sup>2</sup> = X<sup>2</sup> + 2X + I", where "I" is the identity of the semiring, and "2" is I + I. Which of these statements about this rule is true?
- (a) It is true only if the semiring obeys the rule "XY = YX".
- (b) It is not valid since squaring is not defined.
- (c) It is true in any semiring where X+Y=Y+X.
- (d) It is only true for one-element semirings.

#### Answer #1

- Consider the rule "(X + I)<sup>2</sup> = X<sup>2</sup> + 2X + I", where "I" is the identity of the semiring, and "2" is I + I. Which of these statements about this rule is true?
- (a) It is true only if the semiring obeys the rule "XY = YX".
- (b) It is not valid since squaring is not defined.
- (c) It is true in any semiring where X+Y=Y+X.
- (d) It is only true for one-element semirings.

## Union and Concatenation

- We've already proved everything we need to know about identities that just use + for languages, since they are **set identities** for the union operator.
- We know that S + T = T + S, that S + (T + U)= (S + T) + U, that  $S + \emptyset = \emptyset + S = S$ , that S + S = S, and that  $S + \Sigma^* = \Sigma^*$ .

## Union and Concatenation

- We looked at concatenation of languages back in Chapter 2.
- Statements like S(TU) = (ST)U,  $S\emptyset = \emptyset S = \emptyset$ , and  $S\emptyset^* = \emptyset^*S = S$  may be proved by the equational sequence method.
- To prove "X = Y", for example, we let w be an arbitrary string and prove  $w \in X \leftrightarrow w \in Y$ .

## Union and Concatenation

- For example,  $w \in (ST)U \leftrightarrow$   $\exists u:\exists z: (w = uz) \land (u \in ST) \land (z \in U) \leftrightarrow$   $\exists x:\exists y:\exists z: (w = xyz) \land (x \in S) \land (y \in T) \land (z \in U) \leftrightarrow$   $\exists x:\exists v: (w = xv) \land (x \in S) \land (v \in TU) \leftrightarrow$   $w \in S(TU).$
- At each stage we use the definition of concatenation of languages or the associativity of concatenation of strings, "x(yz) = (xy)z", which we've already proved.

## Proving the Distributive Law

 The equational sequence method also works to prove S(T + U) = ST + SU, using our definitions and some logical rules.

```
w \in S(T+U) \leftrightarrow
\exists u : \exists v : (w = uv) \land u \in S \land v \in (T+U) \leftrightarrow
\exists u : \exists v : w = uv \land u \in S \land (v \in T \lor v \in U) \leftrightarrow
\exists u : \exists v : w = uv \land u \in S \land v \in U) \Rightarrow
(\exists u : \exists v : w = uv \land u \in S \land v \in T) \lor
(\exists u : \exists v : w = uv \land u \in S \land v \in U) \leftrightarrow
w \in ST \lor w \in SU \leftrightarrow
w \in ST \lor w \in SU \leftrightarrow
```

## The Inductive Definition of Star

- To prove identities about the Kleene star operation, we use its inductive definition.
- If A is any language, we define A\* by three rules:
- (I)  $\lambda \in A^*$ ,
- (2) if  $u \in A^*$  and  $v \in A$ , then  $uv \in A^*$ , and
- (3) a string is only in A\* if it can be proved to be so by rules (1) and (2).

#### The Inductive Definition of Star

- The definition we gave earlier, " $w \in A^*$  if and only if w is the concatenation of zero or more strings, each of which is in A" is equivalent.
- By induction on naturals n, we can prove that any concatenation of n strings from A is in A\* according to the second definition.
- And we can prove by induction on all strings w in A\* (according to the second definition) that there exists an n such that w is the concatenation of n strings from A.

## Clicker Question #2

- Let Σ = {a, b, c} and let P(w), for w ∈ Σ\*, be "w has twice as many a's as it has b's". Let X be the language (baac + ccaba + aababa)\*. If I want to prove "∀w: (w ∈ X) → P(w)", what is my inductive step?
- (a)  $P(\lambda) \rightarrow (P(baac) \land P(ccaba) \land P(aababa))$
- (b)  $P(X) \rightarrow (P((baac)^*) \land P((ccaba)^*) \land P((aababa)^*))$
- (c)  $P(v) \rightarrow (P(vaab) \land P(vaba) \land P(vbaa))$
- (d)  $P(v) \rightarrow (P(vbaac) \land P(vccaba) \land P(vaababa))$

#### Answer #2

- Let Σ = {a, b, c} and let P(w), for w ∈ Σ\*, be "w has twice as many a's as it has b's". Let X be the language (baac + ccaba + aababa)\*. If I want to prove "∀w: (w ∈ X) → P(w)", what is my inductive step?
- (a)  $P(\lambda) \rightarrow (P(baac) \land P(ccaba) \land P(aababa))$
- (b)  $P(X) \rightarrow (P((baac)^*) \land P((ccaba)^*) \land P((aababa)^*))$
- (c)  $P(v) \rightarrow (P(vaab) \land P(vaba) \land P(vbaa))$
- (d)  $P(v) \rightarrow (P(vbaac) \land P(vccaba) \land P(vaababa))$

#### Structural Induction

- Rather than proving P(w) for all strings w, for example, we could let Q(n) mean "P(w) for all w of length n" and then prove Q(n) for all naturals n. The proof of Q(n) → Q(n+1) would essentially be the same as the proof of P(w) → P(wa).

#### Identities for Kleene Star

- The statement " $(u \in A^* \land v \in A^*) \rightarrow uv \in A^*$ ", or "A\* is closed under concatenation", is *not* part of the definition of Kleene star.
- It looks very much like our rule (2) which says " $(u \in A^* \land v \in A) \rightarrow uv \in A^*$ ", but it requires a proof.
- Let's prove this closure rule by induction on all strings v in A\*.

## A\* Closed Under Concatenation

- Our statement P(v) is " $u \in A^* \rightarrow uv \in A^*$ ", where we have let u be arbitrary.
- The base case is  $v = \lambda$ , and it is clear that if  $u \in A^*$  and  $v = \lambda$ , then  $uv \in A^*$  since uv = u.
- For the induction, assume that v = wx, that  $w \in A^*$ , that  $x \in A$ , and that we already know P(w), which says that  $u \in A^* \to uw \in A^*$ .

## A\* Closed Under Concatenation

- Now to prove P(v), we assume  $u \in A^*$ , derive  $uw \in A^*$  from the IH, and derive that uv = uwx is in  $A^*$ .
- This follows from rule (2), because uw ∈ A\* and x ∈ A.
- This should remind you of the proof that the path relation on graphs is transitive, using the inductive definition of paths.

$$(ST)^*$$
,  $S^*T^*$ , and  $(S + T)^*$ 

- It is generally much easier to prove subset relationships that set equalities from the Kleene star definition.
- The equality identities that are true, like (S\*)\*
   = S\*, are most easily proved by showing both (S\*)\* ⊆ S\* and S\* ⊆ (S\*)\*.
- These in turn follow from the identities  $T \subseteq T^*$  and  $(S \subseteq T) \to (S^* \subseteq T^*)$ . Both of these in turn follow from  $(S \subseteq T^*) \to (S^* \subseteq T^*)$ .

$$(ST)^*$$
,  $S^*T^*$ , and  $(S + T)^*$ 

- How shall we prove that  $S \subseteq T^* \to S^* \subseteq T^*$ ?
- We'll assume  $S \subseteq T^*$ , let P(w) be " $w \in T^*$ ", and prove P(w) for all w in  $S^*$ .
- For the base case,  $w = \lambda$  and we know  $\lambda \in T^*$ .
- For the induction, assume w = xy with P(x) true and  $y \in S$ . So  $X \in T^*$  by the IH,  $y \in T^*$  because  $S \subseteq T^*$ , and then w = xy is in  $T^*$  by the closure of  $T^*$  under concatenation.

$$(ST)^*$$
,  $S^*T^*$ , and  $(S + T)^*$ 

- We have seen that parentheses matter, so that (ST)\* and S\*T\* are two different languages for most choices of S and T.
- (We saw that  $(ab)^* \neq a^*b^*$ , for example.)
- But we can prove that both (ST)\* and S\*T\* are contained in (S + T)\*, using the identities above.

# Clicker Question #3

- Let S and T be any regular expressions.
   Which of these statements is guaranteed to be true?
- (a)  $S + T^* \subseteq (S + T)^*$
- (b)  $(S^* + T^*)^* \subseteq S^* + T^*$
- (c)  $((S + T)(S + T))^* = (S + T)^*(S + T)^*$
- (d)  $(ST)^* \subseteq S(TS)^*T$

# Clicker Question #3

- Let S and T be any regular expressions.
   Which of these statements is guaranteed to be true?
- (a)  $S + T^* \subseteq (S + T)^*$
- (b)  $(S^* + T^*)^* \subseteq S^* + T^*$
- (c)  $((S + T)(S + T))^* = (S + T)^*(S + T)^*$
- (d)  $(ST)^* \subseteq S(TS)^*T$