

CMPSCI 187: Programming With Data Structures

Lecture 5: Analysis of Algorithms Overview
16 September 2011

Analysis of Algorithms Overview

- What is Analysis of Algorithms?
- L&C's Dishwashing Example
- Being Usefully Vague About Functions
- Important Classes of Growth Functions
- Determining Time Complexity From Code

What is Analysis of Algorithms?

- We want to talk about the resources, usually time, used by an algorithm, as a function of the input size.
- The time may be different for different inputs of the same size -- we take the **worst-case** time because we want to make a guarantee to the user.
- The **time complexity** of an algorithm is a function with the number of input bits as its input, and the worst-case running time (in seconds, say, or in clock cycles) as the output.
- But such a function is very hard to work with. We need to develop a better mathematical way of talking about such functions, called **asymptotic analysis** or **big-O notation**.

L&C's Dishwashing Example

- Let $f(n)$ be the time (in seconds) that it takes to wash n dishes.
- Individual dishes may be cleaner or dirtier, but say that the worst take 30 seconds.
- In the Good Method, where the washing of later dishes doesn't affect the earlier ones, we have that $f(n)$ is at most $30n$ seconds. In the worst case where every dish is horribly dirty, we take exactly $30n$ seconds.
- In the Bad Method, washing the i 'th dish soils the first $i-1$ dishes. For fun, let's say that we can rewash a dish in 10 seconds. Now we have $f(1) = 30$, $f(2) = 30 + (30 + 10) = 70$, $f(3) = 30 + (30 + 10) + (30 + 10 + 10) = 120$, and $f(4) = 30 + 40 + 50 + 60 = 180$. We have that $f(n)$ is the sum for i from 1 to n of $(30 + 10(i-1))$, which evaluates to $30n + 10n(n-1)/2 = 5n^2 + 25n$ seconds.

Being Usefully Vague About Functions

- We went through some effort to get this function $5n^2 + 25n$, but the most important thing about this function is that it is **quadratic**, a polynomial in n of degree 2. The first function, $30n$, is **linear**, meaning a polynomial of degree 1.
- The growth behavior of a polynomial in n , as n increases, depends primarily on the degree of the polynomial rather than the leading constant or the low-order terms. On page 16 of L&C is a table showing values of the functions $15n^2$, $45n$, and $15n^2 + 45n$. Their point is that the first gets so much bigger than the second that the first and third are practically identical.
- If we graphed $0.0001n^2$ against $10000n$, the linear function would be larger for a long time, but the quadratic one would eventually catch up (in this case at $n = 10^8$). *Any* quadratic with positive leading coefficient will eventually beat *any* linear. So the linear term in a quadratic eventually does not matter.

Important Classes of Growth Functions

- There are a number of classes of growth functions that often occur in the analysis of algorithms.
- The first and perhaps more important is the class of **constant** functions, also called **$O(1)$** functions. These don't always have the same value, but they are *bounded above* by some constant. For example, washing a dish in our example took $O(1)$ time because it was never more than 30 seconds. It is often much easier to see that a process takes $O(1)$ time than to find the actual constant. We need to know that the time is **independent** of the input size.
- The other functions that L&C list on page 17 are **logarithmic**, **linear**, **$n \log n$** , **quadratic**, **cubic**, and **exponential**. They have some graphs.
- In general " $O(f)$ " means "grows proportionally with $f(n)$ ".

More on Classes of Growth Functions

- Many important behaviors of a function depend only on the growth class.
- Look at how doubling the input size affects the running time in each case. For a constant function, there is no change. For a linear function, the running time doubles. For a quadratic function, it multiplies by four. For an exponential function, it goes way up -- for 2^n it *squares*.
- Similarly, L&C look at how a fixed speedup affects the maximum size you can handle in a given time. A speedup of 10 means that a linear-time algorithm can handle 10 times as much input. A quadratic-time algorithm can handle about 3 times as much. A 2^n time algorithm can handle *three or four more inputs* than it could before -- the speedup matters hardly at all.

Determining Time Complexity From Code

- It's generally not too hard to tell when a piece of code takes $O(1)$ or constant time. You need to be sure that the behavior does not depend on the input size at all.
- If we have a loop like `for (int i=0; i < n; i++) whatever()`, where n is the input size, then we will execute `whatever()` at most n times. We will also have some other steps to control the loop, but only a constant number for each time through.
- Arithmetic with big-O is fun: We have $(O(n) \text{ times } O(1)) + (O(n) \text{ times } O(1))$, which is $O(n) + O(n) = O(n)$. (We'll play with this a bit on HW#1.)

Determining Complexity From Code

- Another code example with nested loops: if again the method call `whatever()` takes $O(1)$ time, then the `j`-loop takes $O(n)$ and the total loop takes $O(n^2)$. You might think that we get an advantage from not always taking `n` times through in the inner loop, but it's only about half the time we would take from saying `j < n` instead.

```
for (int i = 0, i < n, i++)  
    for (int j = 0, j < i, j++)  
        whatever( );
```

Running Time of Searches

- Suppose we have n elements in an array and need to find a particular value if it is there.
- If there are n values and each has its own address, we just check that address. This takes $O(1)$ time because we just need a few indirect addressing steps.
- If the list is unsorted, we do a **linear search** from the beginning until or unless we find it. In the worst case we spend $O(1)$ time on each location for $O(n)$ total.
- If the list is sorted, and we do a **binary search**, we take $O(\log n)$ time, enormously better than $O(1)$.