

CMPSCI 187: Programming With Data Structures

Lecture 4: Data Structures Overview
14 September 2011

Data Structures Overview

- Containers and Collections
- Ways to Arrange a Collection
- The Stack: Data and Operations
- Separating Specification From Implementation
- Inheritance: Distinguishable Objects

The Container Metaphor

- Imagine a seaport like that on the cover of Lewis and Chase.
- Containers come off of ships, for example, and are put on trucks or trains.
- The containers are identical on the outside, different on the inside, but identifiable by labels.
- Between the unloading from ships and loading onto something else we have a **collection** of containers.
- This is a good metaphor for a collection of pieces of data, such as an array. We often want to move the objects around without looking at their contents.

Collections of Data

- A Collection is a set of objects from the same class.
- We can create Collections, insert elements, and remove elements.
- We might want to remove an element that is “best” in some way, such as the container that needs to be shipped out next.
- How the Collection is arranged internally might affect how quickly or easily we can find and remove that element.
- Different kinds of Collections have different sets of operations.
- We will use **generics** (Java 5.0) so that from type `T` we get type `Collection<T>`, and so forth.

How might we arrange our containers?

- If they come off the ship in the right order, we could put them in a line where we add elements to one end and take them off the other -- a **queue**.
- If they come off the ship in reverse order, we could put them on a train siding where we can take out the last one we put in -- a **stack**, as in the discussion.
- If they might come off in any order, what we do depends on the memory we have.
- Can we keep them in a sorted array? What happens if we get a new one that belongs between two that are already adjacent?
- If we have multiple “buffer areas”, managing each one might be easier as they would on average have fewer elements in them.

A More Formal Definition of a Stack

- A `Stack<T>` object is a set of `T` objects.
- We can create an empty `Stack<T>`, with no elements.
- With an existing `Stack<T>`, we can **push** a new `<T>` element, inserting it.
- If a `Stack<T>` has one or more elements, we can **pop** the last element that was pushed, removing it.
- If a `Stack<T>` has one or more elements, we can **peek** at the last element pushed, looking at it without removing it.
- We can find out how many elements are in a **Stack<T>**, in particular, we can test whether there are any at all.

Separating Specification From Implementation

- Each of our standard data structures is specified by an **abstract data type (ADT)**, a list of the permissible operations as we've given for the Stack.
- We should be able to write code for a Stack that works however it is implemented. But a Java class fixes a particular implementation, with its fields and code for the methods.
- So "Stack" should not be a class! In Java, it ought to be an **interface**, which is a list of methods that specifies ways we can use a class. A class **implements** the interface if all those ways to use the class are enabled.
- Actually in the Collections package in Java there *is* a class called Stack, in fact a generic definition that gives a class `Stack<T>` for every class `T`.

More on Implementations of Stacks

- For teaching purposes, Lewis and Chase define their own interface for stacks, which they call StackADT.
- In Chapters 3 and 4, L&C will describe two classes that implement their interface StackADT: ArrayStack and LinkedStack. Since these are generics, we have classes `ArrayStack<T>` and `LinkedStack<T>` for every class `<T>`.
- In Project 2 we will use a stack to search the Maze objects we are defining in Project 1. We won't care about which way the stacks are implemented -- your code should work for either one.
- Each of our later data structures will have an ADT specification and one or more implementations, along with some application examples.

Inheritance: Distinguishable Objects

- The container metaphor is a good way to think about inheritance.
- Some containers may need refrigeration, some may have hazardous chemicals, some may be empty, etc., but all are still containers and can be offloaded, moved around in the port, and sent out like any other.
- If a Container is actually a RefrigContainer, there may be other operations that we can perform on it. So the class RefrigContainer will **extend** Container, and be a **subclass** of it. A RefrigContainer object can have either Container or RefrigContainer instance methods run from it.
- We can't say too many times that **is-a** and **has-a** relationships are different. In Project 1, a Maze has-a Cell, but in the Lecture 3 example a Terrier is-a Dog.