1

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

## COMPSCI 501 Formal Language Theory Midterm Spring 2023

## D. A. M. Barrington

## DIRECTIONS:

- Answer the problems on the exam pages.
- There are eight problems on pages 2-8, some with multiple parts, for 100 total points plus 10 extra credit. Final scale will be determined after the exam.
- The supplemental page 9 has definitions for your use and should not be handed in.
- If you need extra space use the back of a page.
- No books, notes, calculators, or collaboration.

| 1     | /20     |
|-------|---------|
| 2     | /30     |
| 3     | /10     |
| 4     | /10     |
| 5     | /10     |
| 6     | /10     |
| 7     | /10     |
| 8     | /+10    |
| Total | /100+10 |
|       |         |

10 April 2023

- Question 1 (20): These are ten true/false statements, with no justification needed or wanted (2 points each):
  - (a, 2) The language  $\{\langle M \rangle : L(M) \in CFL\}$ , where M is a TM, is Turing decidable.
  - (b, 2) The language  $\{\langle M \rangle : L(M) \in CFL\}$ , where M is a TM, is Turing recognizable.
  - (c, 2) If  $A \leq_m B$ , and B is not co-TR, it is possible that A is Turing recognizable.
  - (d, 2) If  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet, then every string in  $\Sigma^*$  is finite.
  - (e, 2) If X and Y are each *nonempty* TD languages, then  $X \leq_m Y$  must be true.
  - (f, 2) If X is a language, and both X and its complement  $\overline{X}$  are both context-free, it is possible X is not a regular language.
  - (g, 2) If A is not a CFL, and  $A \leq_m B$ , then it is possible that B could be a CFL.
  - (h, 2) Let G be a context-free grammar, let p be a pumping length for it in the CFLPL, and assume that L(G) is an infinite language. Then it is possible that L(G) contains no strings w such that  $p \leq |w| \leq 2p$ .
  - (i, 2) Recall that K(x) is the length of the minimal description of a binary string x. It is not the case that for every string, such that x is a member of any regular language, K(x) is strictly less than |x|.
  - (j, 2) There exists a Turing machine M that accepts a string if and only that string is same length as the description of M.

- **Question 2 (30):** These are five true-false questions, with brief justification required. Three points for each correct boolean answer, and up to three points per question for the justification:
  - (a, 6) The following language is undecidable:  $Q = \{\langle G, x \rangle : \exists u : \exists y : uxy \in L(G)\}$ . This language Y is the set of pairs consisting of a context-free grammar G and a string x such that G can generate *some* superstring of x.

• (b, 6) The following language is decidable:  $R = \{\langle G, x \rangle : \forall u : \forall y : uxy \in L(G)\}$ . This language Y is the set of pairs consisting of a context-free grammar G and a string x such that G can generate *every* superstring of x.

• (c, 6) Let  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ . Let f be any function from  $\Sigma$  to  $\Sigma^*$  (from letters to strings). We extend f to a **homomorphism** f from  $\Sigma^*$  to  $\Sigma^*$  by defining  $f(a_1a_2...a_n)$  as  $f(a_1)f(a_2)...f(a_n)$ . Then if S is any regular language, it is possible that the language  $f(S) = \{f(w) : w \in S\}$  is not a regular language.

• (d, 6) Let f be a homomorphism of languages as defined in Question 2(c). Then if T is a regular language, then it is possible that the language  $f^{-1}(T) = \{w : f(w) \in T\}$  is not a regular language.

• (e, 6) The following language is undecidable: U is the set of all pairs  $\langle M, w \rangle$  such that M is a single-tape TM, w is a string, and M accepts w while never modifying the part of the tape containing the input.

- **Definitions:** Some of Questions 3-8 deal with a new definition. If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are any two languages over the same alphabet, the **quotient language**  $L_1/L_2$  is the set of all strings x such that there exists a string w such that  $xw \in L_1$  and  $w \in L_2$ . An important special case is when  $L_2 = \{w\}$ , where w is a specific string, and we write this quotient language as  $L_1/w$ .
- Question 3 (10): Prove that if V is a regular language, and w is any fixed string, then the language V/w is regular.

Question 4 (10): Prove that is L is a context-free language, and w is any fixed string, then the language L/w is context-free. (Hint: It may be easier to solve this by generalizing. If you assume that if L is a context-free language and that B is regular, and you prove L/B is context-free, this suffices – why?)

Question 5 (10): A Turing machine M, with one tape, is defined to cycle on any input w if there exists a configuration C such that if started in w, M reaches C more than once. Prove that if L is a TR language, then there exists a Turing machine M such that L(M) = L and M does not cycle on any input w.

Question 6 (10): Consider the language  $DOUBLE_{TM}$ , the set of Turing machines  $\langle M \rangle$  such that L(M) contains a string of the form ww. Is  $DOUBLE_{TM}$  a TR language? Is it a co-TR language? Prove your answers. Do not use the result of Question 7.

Question 7 (10): Prove that the language  $DOUBLE_{CFG}$ , the set of context-free grammars  $\langle G \rangle$ such that L(G) contains a string of the form ww, is undecidable. (Hint: Make a reduction  $PCP \leq_m DOUBLE_{CFG}$  as follows. Let the set of dominoes for the PCP problem be  $\{[\frac{x_1}{y_1}], \ldots, [\frac{x_k}{y_k}]\}$  and let  $a_i$  be a unique terminal for each domino. Build the grammar G with a rule  $S \to A'B'$  and, for each i with  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , rules  $A' \to x_iAa_i$ ,  $A \to x_iAa_i$ ,  $A \to \epsilon$ ,  $B' \to y_iBa_i$ ,  $B \to y_iBa_i$ , and  $B \to \epsilon$ . Prove that the PCP instance has a match if and only if L(G) contains a string of the form ww.

- Question 8 (10 extra credit): In this problem, we will prove that the language  $REG_{CFG}$ , the set of context-free grammars G (with  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ ) such that L(G) is regular, is undecidable. We will build a reduction  $ALL_{CFG} \leq_m REG_{CFG}$ , as follows. Throughout the problem,  $\Sigma$ denotes  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let Z be the language  $\{0^n 1^n : n \geq 0\}$ , which we know not to be regular. Given a grammar G, with alphabet  $\Sigma$ , we define a grammar G' (over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \#\}$ ) such that  $L(G') = Z \# \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^* \# L(G)$ .
  - 1. Describe how to build the grammar G' from the grammar G.

2. Prove that if  $\langle G \rangle \in ALL_{CFG}$ , then L(G') is a regular language.

3. Suppose that some string  $w \in \Sigma^*$  is not in L(G). Prove that the quotient language L(G')/#w is not regular.

4. Using the result of Question 3, whether you solved it or not, explain how we may now conclude that  $REG_{CFG}$  is undecidable.

## Supplemental Page for COMPSCI Midterm Spring 2023

- **Language**  $A_{TM}$  (similarly  $A_{REG}$ , etc.) Set of pairs (M, w) such that M accepts w
- **Language**  $ALL_{TM}$ : (similarly  $ALL_{REG}$ , etc.) Set of machines that accept all possible strings over their alphabet
- **Computable Function:** Function f from strings to strings such that some Turing machine, on any input w, always halts with f(w) on its tape
- Context-Free Grammar (CFG): Grammar where rules allow single non-terminals to be replaced by strings
- Context-Free Language (CFL): Definable by a context-free grammar or a PDA
- **co-TR:** A language A is co-TR if and only if its complement  $\overline{A}$  is TR.
- **Language**  $E_{TM}$ : (similarly  $E_{REG}$ , etc.) Set of machines with empty languages
- **Mapping Reduction**  $(\leq_m)$ :  $A \leq_m B$  means that there exists a computable function f such that  $\forall w : w \in A \leftrightarrow f(w) \in B$
- **Pushdown Automaton (PDA):** Nondeterministic finite-state machine with an added stack
- **Language**  $REG_{TM}$ : (similarly  $REG_{REG}$ , etc.) Set of machines M such that L(M) is a regular language
- **Regular Language:** Can be defined by a DFA, NFA, or regular expression

Turing Decidable (TD): Is the language of a TM that always halts

Turing Recognizable (TR): Is the language of any TM