

# CMPSCI 250: Introduction to Computation

Lecture #30: Properties of the Regular Languages  
David Mix Barrington  
13 November 2013

# Properties of Regular Languages

- Induction on Regular Expressions
- The One's Complement Operation
- Proving Our Function Correct
- The Pseudo-Java RegExp Class
- The One's Complement Method
- Reversal of Languages
- Testing for the Empty Language

# Induction on Regular Expressions

- Because the regular languages have an inductive definition, we can prove propositions for all of them by induction.
- Let  $P(R)$  be a predicate with one free variable of type “regular expression”. We can prove that  $P(R)$  holds for any regular expression  $R$  by proving *two* base cases and *three* inductive cases.

# Induction on Expressions

- These five cases are:
- $P(\emptyset)$ ,
- $P(a)$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ ,
- $(P(R) \wedge P(S)) \rightarrow P(R + S)$ ,
- $(P(R) \wedge P(S)) \rightarrow P(RS)$ , and
- $P(R) \rightarrow P(R^*)$

# Induction on Expressions

- For example, we will define two operations on languages and show that the regular languages are **closed** under these operations.
- That is, if  $R$  is a regular expression, the result of applying the operation to  $L(R)$  gives us another regular language. We'll demonstrate an algorithm to compute this expression.
- We'll also show that we can test properties of  $R$ , such as whether  $L(R) = \emptyset$ .

# One's Complement

- The **one's complement** of a binary string  $w$ , denoted  $oc(w)$ , is the string of the same length obtained by replacing all 0's with 1's and all 1's with 0's. For example,  $oc(011001) = 100110$ .
- We can define  $oc(w)$  inductively, of course:
- $oc(\lambda) = \lambda$ ,
- $oc(w0) = oc(w)1$ , and
- $oc(w1) = oc(w)0$ .

# One's Complement

- The one's complement of a language  $X$  is the language  $\{oc(w) : w \in X\}$  -- the set of strings whose one's complements are in  $X$ .
- We will prove that for any regular expression  $R$ , the language  $oc(L(R))$  is a regular language.
- It's not hard to see how to convert  $R$  into a regular expression for  $oc(L(R))$ . We just replace 0's with 1's and 1's with 0's in  $R$  itself.

# One's Complement

- Formally this is a recursive algorithm:
- $oc(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,
- $oc(0) = 1$ ,
- $oc(1) = 0$ ,
- $oc(R + S) = oc(R) + oc(S)$ ,
- $oc(RS) = oc(R)oc(S)$ , and
- $oc(R^*) = oc(R)^*$ .



# Proving Our Function Correct

- We will use induction to prove that this function  $f$ , from regular expressions to regular expressions, satisfies the property “ $L(f(R)) = \text{oc}(L(R))$ ”. We write this property as “ $P(R)$ ”.
- $P(\emptyset)$  says that  $L(\emptyset) = \text{oc}(L(\emptyset))$ , which is true because  $\{\text{oc}(w) : w \in \emptyset\} = \emptyset$ .
- $P(0)$  says “ $L(1) = \text{oc}(L(0))$ ” and  $P(1)$  says “ $L(0) = \text{oc}(L(1))$ ”, both of which are true.

# Proving Our Function Correct

- Assume that  $P(R)$  and  $P(S)$  are true, so that  $L(f(R)) = \text{oc}(L(R))$  and  $L(f(S)) = \text{oc}(L(S))$ .
- We must show that  $L(f(R)) \cup L(f(S)) = \text{oc}(L(R+S))$ , that  $L(f(R))L(f(S)) = \text{oc}(L(RS))$ , and that  $L(f(R))^* = \text{oc}(L(R^*))$ .
- Each of these three facts follow pretty directly from the definitions -- details are in the textbook.

# Clicker Question #1

- Suppose I am formally proving the statement “ $\text{oc}(ST) = \text{oc}(S)\text{oc}(T)$ ”. I let  $w$  be an arbitrary string. Which statement about  $w$  will suffice to complete my proof?
- (a)  $\forall u:\forall v: (\text{oc}(uv) \in ST) \leftrightarrow ((\text{oc}(u) \in S) \wedge (\text{oc}(v) \in T))$
- (b)  $\exists u:\exists v: (w = uv) \wedge (\text{oc}(uv) = \text{oc}(w))$
- (c)  $(\text{oc}(w) \in ST) \leftrightarrow \exists u:\exists v: (\text{oc}(u) \in S) \wedge (\text{oc}(v) \in T) \wedge (w = uv)$
- (d)  $\forall u:\forall v:\forall w: \text{oc}(uvw) = \text{oc}(u)\text{oc}(v)\text{oc}(w)$

# Answer #1

- Suppose I am formally proving the statement “ $oc(ST) = oc(S)oc(T)$ ”. I let  $w$  be an arbitrary string. Which statement about  $w$  will suffice to complete my proof?
- (a)  $\forall u:\forall v:(oc(uv) \in ST) \leftrightarrow ((oc(u) \in S) \wedge (oc(v) \in T))$
- (b)  $\exists u:\exists v:(w = uv) \wedge (oc(uv) = oc(w))$
- (c)  $(oc(w) \in ST) \leftrightarrow \exists u:\exists v:(oc(u) \in S) \wedge (oc(v) \in T) \wedge (w = uv)$
- (d)  $\forall u:\forall v:\forall w:oc(uvw) = oc(u)oc(v)oc(w)$

## A Java RegExp Class

- Just as boolean or arithmetic expressions can be implemented by tree structures, we can define a real Java class `RegExp` whose objects are regular expressions.
- We will need methods to **parse** these objects, which means that they must determine their structure and component parts.

# A Java RegExp Class

- ```
public class RegExp {  
    public RegExp( );  
        // returns RegExp equal to emptyset  
    public RegExp(String w);  
        // returns RegExp denoted by w  
    public boolean isEmptySet( );  
        // is it the empty set?  
    public boolean isZero( );  
        // is it "0"?  
    public boolean isOne( );  
        // is it "1"?  
    public boolean isUnion( );  
        // is it "S + T"?
```

# A Java RegExp Class

```
public boolean isCat( );  
    // is it "ST"?  
public boolean isStar( );  
    // is it "S*"?  
public RegExp firstArg( );  
public RegExp secondArg( );  
public static RegExp  
    plus (RegExp r, RegExp s);  
public static RegExp  
    cat (RegExp r, RegExp s);  
public static RegExp  
    star (RegExp r);
```

# Computing One's Complement

- This definition lets us write code for the one's complement algorithm. The next slide has a recursive method that creates a RegExp object with the same structure as the method's argument, but with 0's and 1's switched.
- We've essentially proved this method correct by our usual method for recursive code -- we prove the base cases correct and then prove the rest correct assuming that the recursive calls are correct.



# Computing One's Complement

```
public static RegExp f (RegExp s) {
    if (s.isEmpty( ))
        return new RegExp( );
    if (s.isZero( ))
        return new RegExp("1");
    if (s.isOne( ))
        return new RegExp("0");
    RegExp oct = f (s.firstArg( ));
    if (s.isStar( )) return star(oct);
    RegExp ocu = f (s.secondArg( ));
    is (s.isPlus( ))
        return plus (oct, ocu);
    else return cat (oct, ocu);}
    // s.isCat( ) must be true here
```

# Reversal of Languages

- A similar function from languages to languages is reversal, based on the familiar reversal operation on strings: for any language  $X$ ,  $X^R = \{w^R: w \in X\}$ .
- The regular languages are closed under reversal -- we can easily see that  $\emptyset^R = \emptyset$  and that  $a^R = a$  for any letter  $a$ . The string rule  $(xy)^R = y^R x^R$  yields a language rule  $(TU)^R = U^R T^R$ , and we have  $(T+U)^R = T^R + U^R$  and  $(T^*)^R = (T^R)^*$ .

# Computing Reversal

```
public static RegExp rev (RegExp s) {
    if (s.isEmpty( )) return new RegExp( );
    if (s.isZero( ))
        return new RegExp("0");
    if (s.isOne( ))
        return new RegExp("1");
    RegExp trev = rev (s.firstArg( ));
    if (s.isStar( )) return star (trev);
    RegExp urev = rev (s.secondArg( ));
    if (s.isPlus( ))
        return plus (trev, urev);
    else return cat (urev, trev);}
    // s.isCat( ) is true in this case
```

## Clicker Question #2

- The code for the method `rev` contains the line `return cat (urev, trev);` for the case where `s` is a concatenation. What would happen if we changed this line to `return cat (trev, urev);`?
- (a) `rev` would get caught in an infinite loop
- (b) `rev` would return the same expression it returned before
- (c) `rev` would return the calling expression
- (d) the new code would compile but not run

## Answer #2

- The code for the method `rev` contains the line `return cat (urev, trev);` for the case where `s` is a concatenation. What would happen if we changed this line to `return cat (trev, urev);`?
- (a) `rev` would get caught in an infinite loop
- (b) `rev` would return the same expression it returned before
- (c) *rev would return the calling expression*
- (d) the new code would compile but not run

# Testing for the Empty Language

- The regular expression “ $\emptyset$ ” denotes the empty language, but so do other regular expressions like  $a(b+a)^*(\emptyset + a^*\emptyset)(bb)^*$ .
- Exercise 5.5.4 asks you to write a method that takes a `RegExp` object `R` and returns a boolean that is true if and only if  $L(R) = \emptyset$ .

# Testing for the Empty Language

- We solve the problem recursively.
- For the base cases, we should return `true` on  $\emptyset$  and return `false` on any letter `a`.
- If `R` and `S` are two regular expressions, `L(R + S)` is empty if and only if *both* `L(R)` and `L(S)` are empty, and `L(RS)` is empty if and only if *either* `L(R)` or `L(S)` is empty.
- And of course `L(R*)` is never empty.

# Testing Properties of Expressions

- A similar problem is to tell whether  $L(R) = \{\lambda\}$ , or whether  $\lambda \in L(R)$ . Each of these may be solved by a recursive algorithm, because we know whether the property holds in the base cases, and how it behaves with respect to the three operations.
- But telling whether  $L(R) = \Sigma^*$  is much harder, because  $L(R + S)$  could equal  $\Sigma^*$  in so many *different ways*.



## Clicker Question #3

- Given a regular expression  $R$ , I would like to compute whether  $\lambda \in L(R)$ . Which of these potential steps in an inductive definition of this property is *invalid*?
- (a)  $\lambda \in S^*$
- (b)  $(\lambda \in ST) \leftrightarrow ((\lambda \in S) \vee (\lambda \in T))$
- (c)  $(\lambda \in S + T) \leftrightarrow ((\lambda \in S) \vee (\lambda \in T))$
- (d)  $\neg(\lambda \in a) \wedge \neg(\lambda \in \emptyset)$

## Answer #3

- Given a regular expression  $R$ , I would like to compute whether  $\lambda \in L(R)$ . Which of these potential steps in an inductive definition of this property is *invalid*?
- (a)  $\lambda \in S^*$
- (b)  $(\lambda \in ST) \leftrightarrow ((\lambda \in S) \vee (\lambda \in T))$
- (c)  $(\lambda \in S + T) \leftrightarrow ((\lambda \in S) \vee (\lambda \in T))$
- (d)  $\neg(\lambda \in a) \wedge \neg(\lambda \in \emptyset)$