CMPSCI 250: Introduction to Computation

Lecture #14:The Chinese Remainder Theorem David Mix Barrington 4 October 2013

The Chinese Remainder Theorem

- Infinitely Many Primes
- Reviewing Inverses and the Inverse Theorem
- Systems of Congruences, Examples
- The Simple (Two Modulus) Version
- Proving the Simple Version
- The Full (Many Modulus) Version
- Working With Really Big Numbers

Infinitely Many Primes

- There is one argument I want to squeeze in at least briefly, although its section (3.4) is not on the syllabus. How do we know that there are always more prime numbers, no matter how high in the naturals we look? We now know enough to prove this, as did the ancient Greeks.
- Let z be arbitrary -- we will prove that there exists a prime number greater than z. The factorial of z, written "z!", is the product of all the numbers from 1 through z (so for example 7! = 1×2×3×4×5×6×7 = 5040).

Infinitely Many Primes

- Look at the number z! + I. It is not divisible by any number k in the range from 2 through z, because k must divide z! and thus z! + I ≡ I (mod k).
- But z! + I must have a prime factorization because every positive natural does. It is either prime itself or is divisible by some smaller prime, and that prime cannot be less than or equal to z. So we know that some prime greater than z must exist, though we haven't explicitly computed it.

Clicker Question #1

- If we let z = 5 in the previous argument, we compute that 5! + I = I·2·3·4·5 + I = I2I.
 Which of these conclusions is false?
- (a) 121 is divisible by some prime greater than 5.
- (b) There exists a prime greater than 5.
- (c) If x is a natural and $2 \le x \le 5$, then 121 is congruent to 1 modulo x.
- (d) 121 is a prime that is greater than 5.

Answer #1

- If we let z = 5 in the previous argument, we compute that 5! + I = I·2·3·4·5 + I = I2I.
 Which of these conclusions is false?
- (a) 121 is divisible by some prime greater than 5.
- (b) There exists a prime greater than 5.
- (c) If x is a natural and $2 \le x \le 5$, then 121 is congruent to 1 modulo x.
- (d) 121 is a prime that is greater than 5.

Reviewing Inverses

- We have been working with arithmetic where the "numbers" are congruence classes modulo m.
- A class [x] (the set {n: n ≡ x}) has a
 multiplicative inverse if there is another class [y] such that [x][y] = [1], or xy ≡ 1 (mod m).
- The **Inverse Theorem** says that a number z has a multiplicative inverse modulo m if and only if z and m are relatively prime, or gcd(z, m) = 1.

The Inverse Algorithm

- It's fairly clear that if z and m have a common factor g > I, then a multiplicative inverse for z modulo m is impossible.
- The Euclidean Algorithm is our method to compute gcd's and thus test for relative primality.
- The **Extended Euclidean Algorithm** takes z and m as inputs and uses the arithmetic from the Euclidean Algorithm, but gets an additional result at each step.

The Inverse Algorithm

- We write each number that occurs as an integer linear combination of z and m.
- If z and m are relatively prime, we compute numbers a and b such that az + bm = 1.
- Then a is an inverse of z modulo m and b is an inverse of m modulo z.

```
119 \% 65 = 54
65 \% 54 = 11
54 \% 11 = 10
11 \% 10 = 1
10 \% 1 = 0
119 = 1 \times 65 + 54
65 = 1 \times 54 + 11
54 = 4 \times 11 + 10
11 = 1 \times 10 + 1
10 = 10 \times 1 + 0
119 = 1 \times 119 + 0 \times 65
65 = 0 \times 119 + 1 \times 65
54 = 1 \times 119 - 1 \times 65
```

 $11 = -1 \times 119 + 2 \times 65$

 $10 = 5 \times 119 - 9 \times 65$

 $1 = -6 \times 119 + 11 \times 65$

Systems of Congruences

- Modular arithmetic was invented to deal with periodic processes. We've seen how to work with multiple congruences that have the same period -- for example, we know that if a ≡ b (mod m) and c ≡ d (mod m), then ac ≡ bd (mod m).
- But we sometimes have interacting periodic processes with different moduli. For example, days of the week have period 7. Suppose you have to take a pill every five days. How often do you take a pill on a Wednesday? Every 35 days, as it turns out.

Systems of Congruences

- A mod-5 process and a mod-7 process interact to give a mod-35 process, and something similar happens whenever the moduli are relatively prime.
- If two moduli are not relatively prime, the two congruences may not have any common solution -- consider x = 1 (mod 4) and x = 4 (mod 6).

Examples of Congruence Systems

- Suppose we have around a thousand soldiers marching along the road and we would like to know exactly how many there are.
- We tell them to line up in rows of 7 and determine how many are left over. Then we do the same for rows of 8, then again for rows of 9.
- The full form of the Chinese Remainder
 Theorem lets us use these three remainders to
 find the number of soldiers modulo 7×8×9 =
 504. It might say, for example, that the number is
 either 806 or 1310, and then we can tell which.

Examples of Congruence Systems

- The pseudoscientific (i.e. "wrong") theory of biorhythms says that a person has three cycles started at birth, of 23, 28, and 33 days.
- According to the full form of the Chinese Remainder Theorem, a person would be at the initial position of all three cycles again exactly 23×28×33 = 21252 days, or about 58.2 years, after birth.

The Simple (Two-Modulus) Version

- How can we find a common solution to the two congruences x = a (mod m) and x = b (mod n)?
- The Simple Version of the Chinese Remainder Theorem says that if m and n are relatively prime, this pair of congruences is equivalent to the single congruence x = c (mod mn), where c is a number that we can calculate from a, b, m, and n.

Clicker Question #2

- Suppose that x is a natural satisfying the congruences x ≡ 5 (mod 9) and x ≡ 2 (mod 15). What does the Chinese Remainder Theorem tell us?
- (a) $x \equiv 32 \pmod{45}$
- (b) It tells us nothing, because 9 and 15 are not relatively prime.
- (c) There can be no such x, because 9 and 15 are not relatively prime.
- (d) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

Answer #2

- Suppose that x is a natural satisfying the congruences x ≡ 5 (mod 9) and x ≡ 2 (mod 15). What does the Chinese Remainder Theorem tell us?
- (a) $x \equiv 32 \pmod{45}$
- (b) It tells us nothing, because 9 and 15 are not relatively prime.
- (c) There can be no such x, because 9 and 15 are not relatively prime.
- (d) $x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

The Simple Version

- Note first that if x is a solution to the two congruences, so is any y that satisfies x ≡ y (mod mn).
- This is because in this case y = x + kmn for some integer k. When we divide y by m, for example, we get the remainder for x plus the remainder for kmn, and the latter is 0 because m divides kmn.
- We need a c that gives us a solution to both congruences, and we must show that any solution x to both congruences must satisfy x = c (mod mn).

Proving the Simple Version

- Since m and n are assumed to be relatively prime, the Inverse Algorithm gives us integers y and z such that ym + zn = 1.
- Our number c will be bym + azn.
- Let's verify that this works. When we divide bym + azn by m, the first term gives remainder 0 and the second gives [azn] = [a] [zn] = [a][1] = [a].

Proving the Simple Version

- Dividing bym + azn by n, the first term gives
 [b][ym] = [b][1] = [b], and the second term gives 0.
- A good way to think of this is that the original equation ym + zn = I tells us how to get a number whose remainders are I (mod m) and I (mod n).
- To get arbitrary a and b we can adjust either term without affecting the remainder for the other modulus.

Proving the Simple Version

- Let x be any solution to x = a (mod m) and x
 = b (mod n), and let d be x c. Then d is divisible by both m and n.
- Use the Euclidean Algorithm to find the gcd of d and mn (or -d and mn, if d is negative) -call this q. But q is a **common multiple** of m and n, and the least common multiple of two relatively prime numbers is their product.

The Full (Many-Modulus) Version

- More generally, as in our examples, suppose we have several congruences x = a₁ (mod m₁), x = a₂ (mod m₂),... x = a_k (mod m_k), and that the moduli are **pairwise relatively prime**. (This means that any two of them are relatively prime to each other.)
- Then the Full Form of the Chinese Remainder Theorem says that this system of congruences is equivalent to a single congruence x ≡ c (mod M).

Clicker Question #3

- Suppose that x is a natural satisfying x ≡ I (mod 3), x ≡ 2 (mod 4), and x ≡ 3 (mod 5).
 What conclusion can we draw from the Chinese Remainder Theorem?
- (a) None, because 3, 4, and 5 are not pairwise relatively prime.
- (b) $x \equiv 6 \pmod{60}$
- (c) $x \equiv 58 \pmod{60}$
- (d) $x \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$

Answer #3

- Suppose that x is a natural satisfying x ≡ I (mod 3), x ≡ 2 (mod 4), and x ≡ 3 (mod 5).
 What conclusion can we draw from the Chinese Remainder Theorem?
- (a) None, because 3, 4, and 5 are not pairwise relatively prime.
- (b) $x \equiv 6 \pmod{60}$
- (c) $x \equiv 58 \pmod{60}$
- (d) $x \equiv 43 \pmod{60}$

The Full Version

- Specifically, M is the product of the m_i's and c is a number that can be calculated from the a_i's and the m_i's.
- We can prove the Full Version from the Simple Version. If k = 3, for example, we first use the Simple Version to find a c such that the first two congruences are equivalent to x = c (mod m₁m₂). Then we have two congruences, that and x = a₃ (mod m₃).

The Full Version

- We now just use the Simple Version again to get a common solution to these two congruences. (The pairwise relatively prime property guarantees that m₁m₂ will be relatively prime to m₃.)
- This clearly extends to larger k.
- In the book, it is shown how we can calculate the single c directly.

Working With Very Big Numbers

- If I have some very very big integers, each too big to store in a single computer word, the Chinese Remainder Theorem gives me an alternate way to calculate with them.
- Say I want to multiply n of these numbers together.
- I pick a bunch of different prime numbers, so many that their product is bigger than the product of my big numbers.

Working With Very Big Numbers

- How do we know that such primes exist?
- A more sophisticated analysis shows that there are lots of primes that fit in a single machine word, so I can get to very very big numbers by multiplying them together.)
- I then find the remainder of each big number modulo each prime.

Working With Very Big Numbers

- If I multiply together all the remainders for a given prime p, and take the result modulo p, I have my product's remainder modulo p.
- And this can be done with calculations on reasonably-sized numbers, because I can do this in parallel for each prime.
- Then running the Chinese Remainder calculation once, I can get my product in the regular notation.