Part Annotations via Pairwise Correspondence

Subhransu Maji, Greg Shakhnarovich



TTI–Chicago

July 2012

Part annotation

- Many modern vision methods rely on "parts"
- Typical annotation paradigm: mark a set of parts, identified by name and description





Diverse categories



- Appearance variability
- Structural flexibility
- Unnameable or unnamed landmarks
- But: we know two matching parts when we see them

Annotation task on AMT

Mark common landmarks between the two churches

See this page for how to use this interface. See <u>examples here</u>. NOTE: If the image does not display your browser may not support this interface (Try the latest Chrome, Firefox or Safari browser).





Submit Results

Annotation setup

Layout and instructions



To edit points such as delete and move them, you have to be in the edit mode. Press "e" to toggle between edit mode and click mode. In the edit mode you see that the images are surrounded by a red border. The end points of the lines are marked with white circles and the status message also says "edit mode". To add more points you have to go back to the "click" and the monitor "edit words which are under the status message also says "edit mode". To add more points you have to go back to the "click" To delete a pair of points double click on one of the end points in the edit mode. The pair gets removed from the interface. Note that you have to be in the edit mode.

Examples to workers

• Provide a handful of examples of landmarks



• Make it clear this is non-exhaustive!

Annotation setup

Example annotations and correspondences

- 1000 pairs over approx 300 images
- Median: 3 annotations, 48 seconds per pair



Annotation setup

Example annotations and correspondences

- 400 pairs over 250 images, 3-5 workers per pair
- Median: 2 annotations, 34 seconds per pair

Propagating correspondences: semantic graph

- Image \Leftrightarrow vertex, edge \Leftrightarrow pairwise annotation
- Can traverse the graph to infer new correspondences

Inference and learning

Inferred correspondences

Propagating pairwise correspondences

• Source (red), depth 1 (green), 2 (blue), 3 (cyan)

• Noisy; can't apply to new images - need to learn appearance

Inference and learning

Learning parts with latent SVM

graph only

graph and appearance

appearance HOG fiter

red: initial, blue: final (learned)

Another visual part

graph only

red: initial, blue: final

graph and appearance

Semantic saliency

• Annotation density provides a measure of saliency:

Saliency-guided exploration

- We can sample windows (part candidates) according to saliency
- Use them to learn part appearance model
- Select a subset based on desired criteria: diversity, accuracy, parsimony...

Library of parts

similar windows

What can we do with parts

- Building blocks for rich part-based representation
- Parsing an instance in terms that relate it to others in the category:

Conclusion: Pairwise correspondence annotation

- Extremely easy to set up and deploy
- Less affected by designer's bias
- Exploits rich semantic knowledge of annotators
- More robust to inconsistencies
- Applicable to structurally and visually diverse categories
- Starting point to learning rich representations in computer vision

intentionally left empty

Latent SVM appearance model

- \bullet Optimal subwindow L in a training image is a hidden variable
- Response of the model for part p in image I

$$F(I; \mathbf{w}_p) = \max_L \langle \mathbf{w}_p, \boldsymbol{\phi}(I, L) \rangle$$

 $\phi(I,L)$ is feature vector computer over subwindow L in image I

• Discriminative learning: given a negative (I_-, L_-) and a positive (I_+) for a part p, we strive for $F(I_+; \mathbf{w}_p) - F(I_-; \mathbf{w}_p) \ge 1$

• In our experiments: HOG features, *L* limited to windows overlapping initial location (inferred from the graph)

