# Learning to generate 3D shapes

#### Subhransu Maji

College of Information and Computer Sciences

University of Massachusetts, Amherst

http://people.cs.umass.edu/smaji

August 10, 2018 @ Caltech



#### Creating 3D shapes is not easy



Image from Autodesk 3D Maya

### Inferring 3D shapes from images

What shapes are puffins?



#### What shapes are pumpkinseed fish?



### Creating 3D shapes is not easy

- Many techniques for recognizing 3D data, but relatively few techniques for generating them
- Representations for generation?
  - Voxels
  - Multiview
  - Geometry images
  - Shape basis
  - Set-based (points, triangles, etc.)
  - Procedural, e.g., constructive solid geometry







### Talk overview

- Generative models for 3D shapes and applications
  - Multiview [3DV'17]
  - Multiresolution tree networks [ECCV'18]
  - Constructive solid geometry [CVPR'18]
- Learning 3D shapes with weak supervision [3DV'17]



# 3D Shape Reconstruction from Sketches via Multi-view Convolutional Networks



Zhaoliang Lun Matheus Gadelha Evangelos Kalogerakis Subhransu Maji Rui Wang

3DV 2017



# 3D Shape Reconstruction from Sketches via Multi-view Convolutional Networks



Zhaoliang Lun Matheus Gadelha Evangelos Kalogerakis Subhransu Maji Rui Wang

3DV 2017

What Does the Occluding Contour Tell Us about Solid Shape?

Jan J Koenderink

First Published June 1, 1984 Research Article

# Why line drawings? Simple & intuitive medium to convey shape!



Image from Suggestive Contour Gallery, DeCarlo et al. 2003

#### Goal: 2D line drawings in, 3D shapes out!



#### Deep net architecture: U-net structure

Feature representations in the decoder depend on **previous** layer & encoder's corresponding layer



Isola et al. 2016

# Training: full loss

Penalize per-pixel depth reconstruction error:

- & per-pixel normal reconstruction error:
- $\sum_{pixels} (1 n_{pred} \cdot n_{gt})$ "unreal" outputs:  $-\log P(real)$ & ō ō **Real?** Discriminator Fake? **Network** front view output view 1 Generator **Network** 1 **Real?** Discriminator Fake? Network side view

output view 12

cGAN: Isola et al. 2016

 $\sum_{pixels} |d_{pred} - d_{gt}|$ 

#### Training data



CharacterChairAirplane10K models10K models3K models

Models from "The Models Resource" & 3D Warehouse

#### Training data



**Training depth and normal maps** 

#### Test time Predict multi-view depth and normal maps!



### Multi-view depth & normal map fusion



Optimization problem

- Depth derivatives should be consistent with normals
- Corresponding depths and normals across different views should agree

Multi-view depth & normal maps

Consolidated point cloud

## Surface reconstruction



Multi-view depth & normal maps

Consolidated point cloud

Surface reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2013]



Multi-view depth & normal maps

Consolidated point cloud

Surface reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2013]



Multi-view depth & normal maps

Consolidated point cloud

Surface reconstruction [Kazhdan et al. 2013] Surface "fine-tuning" [Nealen et al. 2005]

# Experiments

#### Qualitative Results

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Qualitative Results

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Quantitative Results

#### Character (human drawing)

Metric	Our method	Volumetric	NN
Hausdorff distance	0.120	0.638	0.242
Chamfer distance	0.023	0.052	0.045
normal distance	34.27	56.97	47.94
volumetric distance	0.309	0.497	0.550

#### Man-made (human drawing)

Hausdorff distance	0.171	0.211	0.228
Chamfer distance	0.028	0.032	0.038
normal distance	34.19	48.81	43.75
volumetric distance	0.439	0.530	0.560

#### Single vs two input line drawings

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

# More results

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Multiresolution Tree Networks for 3D Point Cloud Processing

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Matheus Gadelha Subhransu Maji Rui Wang

**ECCV 18** 

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Multiresolution Tree Networks for 3D Point Cloud Processing

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

Matheus Gadelha Subhransu Maji Rui Wang

**ECCV 18** 

#### Point-cloud decoders

Global shape basis or fully-connected decoders

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

$$\mathbf{X}^* = \mathbf{M} + \sum_{i=1}^d lpha_i \mathbf{U}_i = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{U} \boldsymbol{lpha}$$

**Requires perfect correspondence** 

Morphable models [Figure from Booth et al., 16]

#### How important is correspondence?

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Related work: Fan et al., CVPR 2017

#### How important is correspondence?

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Multiresolution tree networks

- Addresses the lack of
  - convolutional structure, and
  - coarse to fine reasoning
- Basic idea: linearize 3D points and use 1D convolutions

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

Points colored with kdtree sort index

### Multiresolution tree networks

- Addresses the lack of
  - convolutional structure, and
  - coarse to fine reasoning
- Basic idea: linearize 3D points and use 1D convolutions

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

Implicit mulitresolution structure

### Multiresolution tree networks

#### Architecture for encoding and decoding

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Does multiresolution analysis help?

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Color indicates the position in the list

#### Other shape tasks with MRTNet

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Single image shape reconstruction

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Quantitative evaluation: ShapeNet dataset

**Chamfer distance:** pred  $\rightarrow$  GT / GT  $\rightarrow$  pred

		voxel-based		fully-conn.	multiview	
Category		3D-R2N2 [9]		Fan et al. [12]	Lin et al. [26]	MRTNet
	1 view	3 views	5 views	(1 view)	(1 view)	(1 view)
mean	3.345 / 4.102	2.702 / 3.465	2.588/3.342	1.982 / 2.146	1.846 / 1.701	1.559 / 1.529

$$Ch(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{x}} \min_{y \in \mathbf{y}} ||x - y||_2 + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{y}|} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{y}} \min_{x \in \mathbf{x}} ||x - y||_2$$

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Quantitative evaluation: ShapeNet dataset

**Chamfer distance:** pred  $\rightarrow$  GT / GT  $\rightarrow$  pred

	_					
		voxel-based		fully-conn.	multiview	
Category		3D-R2N2 [9]		Fan et al. [12]	Lin et al. [26]	MRTNet
	1 view	3 views	5 views	(1 view)	(1 view)	(1 view)
airplane	3.207 / 2.879	2.521 / 2.468	2.399/2.391	1.301 / 1.488	1.294 / 1.541	0.976 / 0.920
bench	3.350/3.697	2.465 / 2.746	2.323 / 2.603	1.814 / 1.983	1.757 / 1.487	1.438 / 1.326
cabinet	1.636 / 2.817	1.445 / 2.626	1.420 / 2.619	2.463 / 2.444	1.814 / 1.072	1.774 / 1.602
car	1.808 / 3.238	1.685 / 3.151	1.664 / 3.146	1.800 / 2.053	1.446 / <b>1.061</b>	1.395 / 1.303
chair	2.759 / 4.207	1.960 / 3.238	1.854 / 3.080	1.887 / 2.355	1.886 / 2.041	1.650 / 1.603
display	3.235 / 4.283	2.262 / 3.151	2.088 / 2.953	1.919 / 2.334	2.142 / 1.440	<b>1.815</b> / 1.901
lamp	8.400 / 9.722	6.001 / 7.755	5.698 / 7.331	2.347 / 2.212	2.635 / 4.459	1.944 / 2.089
speaker	2.652/4.335	2.577 / 4.302	2.487 / 4.203	3.215 / 2.788	2.371 / 1.706	2.165 / 2.121
rifle	4.798 / 2.996	4.307 / 2.546	4.193 / 2.447	1.316 / 1.358	1.289 / 1.510	1.029 / 1.028
sofa	2.725 / 3.628	2.371/3.252	2.306 / 3.196	2.592 / 2.784	1.917 / 1.423	1.768 / 1.756
table	3.118 / 4.208	2.268 / 3.277	2.128 / 3.134	1.874 / 2.229	1.689 / 1.620	1.570 / 1.405
telephone	2.202 / 3.314	1.969 / 2.834	1.874 / 2.734	1.516 / 1.989	1.939 / 1.198	1.346 / 1.332
watercraft	3.592 / 4.007	3.299 / 3.698	3.210/3.614	1.715 / 1.877	1.813 / 1.550	1.394 / 1.490
mean	3.345 / 4.102	2.702/3.465	2.588/3.342	1.982 / 2.146	1.846 / 1.701	1.559 / 1.529

#### MRTNet summary

- A generic architecture for
  - Point cloud classification (91.7% on ModelNet40)
  - Semantic segmentation (see results in the paper)
  - Generation
- Project page: <u>http://mgadelha.me/mrt/index.html</u>

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

# CSGNet: Neural Shape Parser for Constructive Solid Geometry

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Gopal Sharma Rishabh Goyal Difan Liu Evangelos Kalogerakis Subhransu Maji

**CVPR 18** 

interpretable and editable

#### Constructive 2D geometry

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Constructive solid geometry

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Constructive solid geometry

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Learning

- Supervised setting: learn to predict programs directly
- Unsupervised setting: No ground-truth programs.
  - Learn parameters to minimize a reconstruction error through policy gradients [REINFORCE, Willams 1992]

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Train on synthetic data and adapt to new domains using policy gradients

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

How well does the <u>nearest neighbor</u> perform? Chamfer distance **1.88** (NN), **1.36** (CSGNet) with 675K training examples

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

How well does the <u>nearest neighbor</u> perform? Chamfer distance **1.88** (NN), **1.36** (CSGNet) with 675K training examples

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

CAD shapes dataset: Chamfer distance **1.94** (NN), **0.51** (CSGNet)

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

Input

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

- More results in the paper: reward shaping, comparison to Faster R-CNN for primitive detection, results on 3D, etc.
- Preprint available: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.08290</u>

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Learning 3D Shape Representations with Weak Supervision

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Matheus Gadelha Subhransu Maji Rui Wang

3DV 2017

### Related Work

#### • 3D shape from collection of images

- Visual hull same instance, known viewpoints
- Photometric stereo same instance, known lighting, simple reflectance
- Structure from motion same instance (or 3D)
- Non-rigid structure from motion known shape family (e.g., faces)
- **Our work** unknown shape family, unknown viewpoints
- 3D shape from single image
  - Optimization-based approaches;
  - Recognition-based approaches;

### A motivating example

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

Small cubes are reddish Big cubes are bluish

Hypothesis: It is easier to generate these images by reasoning in 3D

• Our goal is to learn a 3D shape generator whose projections match the provided set of the views

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Our goal is to learn a 3D shape generator whose projections match the provided set of the views

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

How do we match distributions?

• Our goal is to learn a 3D shape generator whose projections match the provided set of the views

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

How do we match distributions?

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Our goal is to learn a 3D shape generator whose projections match the provided set of the views

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

How do we match distributions?

![](_page_55_Figure_4.jpeg)

• Our goal is to learn a 3D shape generator whose projections match the provided set of the views

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

How do we match distributions?

generated true  

$$\min_{G} D_{\mathrm{KL}}(G||D) = \min_{z \sim G} \mathbb{E} \left[ \log \frac{G(z)}{D(z)} \right]$$
 estimate using logistic regression

 $\min_{G} \max_{d} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D}[\log d(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim G}[\log(1 - d(z))]$ Generative adversarial networks [Goodfellow et al.]

# PrGAN

Generator maps z to a voxel occupancy grid and a viewpoint

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

Projection using line integration along the view direction

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \exp\left(-\int_0^\infty V(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r})dr\right)$$

# Dataset generation

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Airplanes

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

input

# Airplanes

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Mixed categories

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### (a) Results from 2D-GAN.

![](_page_64_Picture_2.jpeg)

(a) Results from PrGAN.

MMD metric: 2D-GAN 90.1, PrGAN 88.3

![](_page_65_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

(a) Results from 3D-GAN.

#### MMD metric 3D-GAN 347.5 PrGAN 442.9

![](_page_65_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_18.jpeg)

(a) Results from PrGAN.

#### Projection GAN

- The model is able to recover the coarse 3D structure
- But should use side information when available
  - Viewpoint
  - Landmarks / part labels
  - Pose estimates
- Iterative: bootstrap 3D to estimate pose & viewpoint

# Thank you!

• Collaborators: Matheus Gadelha, Zhaoliang Lun, Gopal Sharma, Rui Wang, Evangelos Kalogerakis

![](_page_67_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Funding from NSF, NVIDIA, Facebook
- <u>https://people.cs.umass.edu/smaji/projects.html</u>