

# COMPSCI 311 Section 1: Introduction to Algorithms

## Lecture 2: Asymptotic Notation and Efficiency

Dan Sheldon

University of Massachusetts

# Algorithm design

- ▶ Formulate the problem precisely
- ▶ Design an algorithm to solve the problem
- ▶ Prove the algorithm is correct
- ▶ Analyze the algorithm's running time

## Example: Binary vs. Linear Search

An elegant algorithm you can teach to a 5-year old. You lose your page in 256-page book:

Linear search: 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., 256. [search up to 256 pages](#)

Binary search: 128, 64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1. [search up to 8 pages](#)

# pages	linear	binary
256	256	8
512	512	9
1024	1024	10
2048	2048	11
$n$	$\leq n$	$\leq \log(n)$

## Example: Binary vs. Linear Search

**Board example:** plot of  $n$  vs.  $\log(n)$

Take-aways:

- ▶ Measure running time (# steps) as function of input size ( $n$ )
- ▶ Need tools to compare growth-rates of functions
- ▶ Big difference between brute-force and clever algorithms!

## Big-O: Motivation

What is the running time of this algorithm? How many “primitive steps” are executed for an input array  $A$  of size  $n$ ?

```
sum = 0
n ← length of array A
for  $i = 1$  to  $n$  do
    for  $j = 1$  to  $n$  do
        sum +=  $A[i] * A[j]$ 
```

The (worst-case) running time as a function of  $n$  has the form

$$T(n) = an^2 + bn + c$$

We would like to coarsely categorize this as “order  $n^2$ ” or  $O(n^2)$

- ▶ Ignore constants, lower-order terms
- ▶ Need tools to compare growth rates of functions: “asymptotic order notation” (big-O)

## Big-O: Formal Definition

**Definition:** The function  $T(n)$  is  $O(f(n))$  if there exist constants  $c > 0$  and  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$T(n) \leq cf(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0$$

We say that  $f$  is an **asymptotic upper bound** for  $T$ .

**Example:**

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2n^2 + n + 2 \\ &\leq 2n^2 + n^2 + 2n^2 \quad \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ T(n) &\leq \underbrace{5}_c n^2 \quad \text{if } n \geq \underbrace{1}_{n_0} \end{aligned}$$

So  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$

## Example

**Example:**  $T(n) = 2n^2 + n + 2$  is  $O(n^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2n^2 + n + 2 \\ &\leq 2n^3 + n^3 + 2n^3 \quad \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ T(n) &\leq \underbrace{5}_c n^3 \quad \text{if } n \geq \underbrace{1}_{n_0} \end{aligned}$$

Big-O bounds do not need to be tight!

## Big-O: Examples

**Claim**  $n^2 + 10^6 n$  is  $O(n^2)$

To prove this we need to show that

$$n^2 + 10^6 n \leq cn^2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

**Clicker.** Which values of  $c$  and  $n_0$  make this statement true?

- A.  $c = 2, n_0 = 10^6$
- B.  $c = 10^6 + 1, n_0 = 1$
- C. Both A and B
- D. Neither A nor B



## Big-O: Examples

- ▶ If  $T(n) = n^2 + 10^6 n$  then  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$
- ▶ If  $T(n) = n^3 + n \log n$  then  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^3)$
- ▶ If  $T(n) = 2^{\sqrt{\log n}}$  then  $T(n)$  is  $O(n)$

## Clicker

Let  $f(n) = 4n^2 + 23n \log_2 n + 500$ . Which of the following are true?

- A.  $f(n)$  is  $O(n^2)$
- B.  $f(n)$  is  $O(n^3)$
- C. Both A and B
- D. Neither A nor B

## The Big Idea: How to Use Big-O

Study pseudocode to determine running time  $T(n)$  of an algorithm as a function of  $n$ :

$$T(n) = 2n^2 + n + 2$$

Prove that  $T(n)$  is asymptotically upper-bounded by simpler function using big-O definition:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2n^2 + n + 2 \\ &\leq 2n^2 + n^2 + 2n^2 \quad \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ &\leq 5n^2 \quad \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

This is the right way to think about big-O, but too much work. We'll develop properties of big-O that simplify proving big-O bounds, **and use these properties to take shortcuts while analyzing algorithms** (you probably learned the shortcuts without knowing formal justification).

# Properties of Big-O Notation

**Claim (Transitivity):** If  $f$  is  $O(g)$  and  $g$  is  $O(h)$ , then  $f$  is  $O(h)$ .

**Example:**

►  $\underbrace{2n^2 + n + 1}_{f(n)} \text{ is } O(\underbrace{n^2}_{g(n)})$

►  $\underbrace{n^2}_{g(n)} \text{ is } O(\underbrace{n^3}_{h(n)})$

► Therefore,  $2n^2 + n + 1$  is  $O(n^3)$

## Transitivity Proof

**Claim (Transitivity):** If  $f$  is  $O(g)$  and  $g$  is  $O(h)$ , then  $f$  is  $O(h)$ .

**Proof:** we know from the definition that

- ▶  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$
- ▶  $g(n) \leq c'h(n)$  for all  $n \geq n'_0$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &\leq cg(n) && \text{if } n \geq n_0 \\ &\leq c(c'h(n)) && \text{if } n \geq n_0 \text{ and } n \geq n'_0 \\ &= \underbrace{cc'}_{c''} h(n) && \text{if } n \geq \underbrace{\max\{n_0, n'_0\}}_{n''_0} \\ f(n) &\leq c''h(n) && \text{if } n \geq n''_0 \end{aligned}$$

Know how to do proofs using Big-O definition.

# Properties of Big-O Notation

## Claims (Additivity):

- If  $f$  is  $O(h)$  and  $g$  is  $O(h)$ , then  $f + g$  is  $O(h)$ .

$$\underbrace{3n^2}_{O(n^5)} + \underbrace{n^4}_{O(n^5)} \text{ is } O(n^5)$$

- If  $f$  is  $O(g)$ , then  $f + g$  is  $O(g)$

$$\underbrace{n^3}_{g(n)} + \underbrace{23n + n \log n}_{f(n)} \text{ is } O(n^3)$$

## Significance of Additivity

- ▶ OK to drop lower order terms:

$$2n^5 + 10n^3 + 4n \log n + 1000n \text{ is } O(n^5)$$

- ▶ Polynomials: Only highest-degree term matters. If  $a_d > 0$  then:

$$a_0 + a_1n + a_2n^2 + \dots + a_dn^d \text{ is } O(n^d)$$

- ▶ You are using additivity when you ignore the running time of statements outside for loops!

## Other Useful Facts: Log vs. Poly vs. Exp

**Fact:**  $\log_b(n)$  is  $O(n^d)$  for all  $b > 1, d > 0$

All polynomials grow faster than logarithm of any base

**Fact:**  $n^d$  is  $O(r^n)$  when  $r > 1$

Exponential functions grow faster than polynomials



## Logarithm review

**Definition:**  $\log_b(n)$  is the unique number  $c$  such that  $b^c = n$

Informally: the number of times you can divide  $n$  into  $b$  parts until each part has size one

### Properties:

- ▶ Log of product  $\rightarrow$  sum of logs
  - ▶  $\log(xy) = \log x + \log y$
  - ▶  $\log(x^k) = k \log x$
- ▶  $\log_b(\cdot)$  is inverse of  $b^{(\cdot)}$ 
  - ▶  $\log_b(b^n) = n$
  - ▶  $b^{\log_b(n)} = n$
- ▶  $\log_a n = \underbrace{\log_a b}_{\text{const.}} \cdot \log_b n$  (logs in any two bases are proportional)

When using big-O, it's OK not to specify base. Assume  $\log_2$  if not specified.

# Big-O comparison

Which grows faster?

$$n(\log n)^3 \quad \text{vs.} \quad n^{4/3}$$

simplifies to

$$(\log n)^3 \quad \text{vs.} \quad n^{1/3}$$

simplifies to

$$\log n \quad \text{vs.} \quad n^{1/9}$$

- ▶ We know  $\log n$  is  $O(n^d)$  for all  $d > 0$ 
  - ▶  $\Rightarrow \log n$  is  $O(n^{1/9})$
  - ▶  $\Rightarrow n(\log n)^3$  is  $O(n^{4/3})$

Apply transformations (monotone, invertible) to both functions.  
Try taking log.

## Big-O: Correct Usage

**Big-O:** a way to categorize growth rate of functions relative to other functions.

**Not:** “*the* running time of my algorithm”.

### Correct Usage:

- ▶ The worst-case running time of the algorithm in input of size  $n$  is  $T(n)$ .
- ▶  $T(n)$  is  $O(n^3)$ .
- ▶ The running time of the algorithm is  $O(n^3)$ .

### Incorrect Usage:

- ▶  $O(n^3)$  is *the* running time of the algorithm. (There are many different asymptotic upper bounds to the running time of the algorithm.)