

Lecture - 14

- Function approximation

Q: How can we estimate V^π or Q^π if the states (or actions) are continuous

i.e. $s_t \in \mathbb{R}$ or $s_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- Regression problem

① Use parameterized function

$$V_\omega(s)$$

... where ω = weight vector
 $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^m$

e.g. Linear function approximations

$$V_\omega(s) \triangleq \omega^T \phi(s),$$

... where

$\phi(s) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is called feature vector

- Polynomial regression

$$\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s \\ \vdots \\ s^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_\omega(s) = \omega_1 + \omega_2 s + \omega_3 s^2 + \dots$$

e.g. in case of fourier basis

$$\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(0) \\ \cos(\pi s) \\ \cos(2\pi s) \\ \cos(3\pi s) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{assuming } s \in [0, 1]$$

② Tabular

$$\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \text{at } s^m \text{ place}$$

... where, $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$

③ Neural Network

$V_w(s)$ = output of a neural network with weights w , and inputs s .

Benefits & Drawbacks:

Benefits - ① Generalization -

can estimate $V^\pi(s)$, even if we ~~are~~ ^{have seen} ~~in~~ state s , (very close to s) but not s .

Benefits - (2) Can handle continuous states

(3) Will allow us to handle partial observability

~~(4)~~ Do not assume that $\phi(s)$ is a Markovian state construction.

$$\phi(x, y) = x$$

Drawbacks - (1) V^π may not be representable

(2) Fewer convergence guarantees

Derivation

$$L = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} (V^\pi(s) - V_\omega(s_t))^2 \right]$$

$$L = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left((R_t + \gamma V_\omega(s_{t+1})) - V_\omega(s_t) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$L = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \right]$$

$$\omega \leftarrow \omega + \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial L(\omega)}{\partial \omega}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{\partial L(\omega)}{\partial \omega} &= \mathbb{E} \left[(R_t + \gamma V_\omega(s_{t+1}) - V_\omega(s_t)) \right] \\ &\quad \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (R_t + \gamma V_\omega(s_{t+1}) - V_\omega(s_t)) \\ &= \mathbb{E} [\delta_t] \times \left(\gamma \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_{t+1})}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_t)}{\partial \omega} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \omega \leftarrow \omega - \alpha \cdot \delta_t \left(\gamma \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_{t+1})}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_t)}{\partial \omega} \right)$$

$$\therefore \omega \leftarrow \omega + \alpha \cdot \delta_t \left(\frac{\partial V_\omega(s_t)}{\partial \omega} - \gamma \cdot \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_{t+1})}{\partial \omega} \right)$$

→ What direction of change to ω will most quickly increase $V_\omega(s_t)$

This term reduces $V_\omega(s_{t+1})$, ~~slow~~ - slows down process (ignore the term)

TD - update with function approximation

$$\omega \leftarrow \omega + \alpha \cdot \delta_t \cdot \frac{\partial V_\omega(s_t)}{\partial \omega}$$

- tabular

$$V_{\omega}(s) = \omega^T \phi(s)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{\omega}(s)}{\partial \omega} = \phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\omega \leftarrow \omega + \alpha \cdot \delta_t \cdot \phi(s)$$

$$V(s_t) \leftarrow V(s_t) + \alpha \cdot \delta_t$$

Properties -

Tabular - converges to V^{π} almost surely if α is decayed properly
- Converges to V^{π} in the mean if α is a sufficiently small constant

Linear - Guaranteed to converge to some ω_{∞} , such that

$$MSE(\omega_{\infty}) \leq \frac{1}{1-\rho} MSE(\omega^*)$$