

Pop Quiz:

$$\delta_t = r + \gamma v(s') - v(s)$$

$$v(s) \leftarrow v(s) + \alpha [r + \gamma v(s') - v(s)] \\ = v(s) + \alpha \delta$$

$$\delta_t = R_t + \gamma v(S_{t+1}) - v(S_t)$$

Do we care about infinite  $\delta$ ?

Yes! Most problems are of this kind.

Assume fn is smooth (almost everywhere).

How to approximate?

Use a parameterized fn (a fn approximator).

E.g.  $v_w(s)$  - w a weight vector

$w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n$  not necessarily dimension of  $s$ )

Example: Linear fn approx:

$v_w(s) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} w^T \phi(s)$  where  $\phi(s) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

called a "feature vector"

E.g. - Polynomial regression  $\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ s \\ \vdots \\ s^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$

- Fourier series  $\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(0) \\ \cos(ns) \\ \cos(2ns) \\ \vdots \\ \cos((n-1)\pi s) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1]$

TD update not quite a gradient update rule. We will now take an excursion into function approximation.  
(A foreshadowing...)

Q: How can we estimate  $v^\pi$  (or  $q^\pi$ ) if the states (or actions) are continuous?

E.g.,  $S_t \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $S_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$

⇒ Problem: infinite - cannot visit all states - or even most! Need to estimate unseen states.

Problem: Cannot store the fn anyway!

- Tabular:

$$\phi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ s \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a 1 only in the  
sth place

2) Neural network:

$v_w(s)$  = output of a neural network with weights  $w$  and input  $s$ .

Benefits & Drawbacks of Function Approximators

Benefits:

- Generalization: can estimate  $v(s)$  from states similar to  $s$ .
- Can handle continuous states (and actions).
- Will allow us to handle partial observability (Because:

We do not assume  $\phi(s)$  is a Markovian state representation.)

Direction of increase w:  
greatest change w:

Drawbacks:

-  $v^\pi$  may not be representable

- Thus, fewer convergence guarantees

Back to TD:

$$v(s) \leftarrow v(s) + \alpha (r + \gamma v(s') - v(s))$$

For gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{z} (v^\pi(s_{t+1}) - v_w(s_{t+1}))^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{z} \delta^2\right]$$

Under fn. approx. w/ TD:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{z} (R_t + \gamma v_w(s_{t+1}) - v_w(s_t))^2\right]$$

$$w \leftarrow w - \alpha \frac{\partial L(w)}{\partial w} = w - \alpha \nabla L(w)$$

$$\frac{\partial L(w)}{\partial w} = \mathbb{E}[ (R_t + \gamma v_w(s_{t+1}) - v_w(s_t)) \cdot ]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} (R_t + \gamma v_w(s_{t+1}) - v_w(s_t))$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[ (R_t + \gamma v_w(s_{t+1}) - v_w(s_t)) \left[ \gamma \frac{\partial v_w(s_{t+1})}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial v_w(s_t)}{\partial w} \right] \right]$$

$$= w - \alpha \delta_t \left( \gamma \frac{\partial v_w(s_{t+1})}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial v_w(s_t)}{\partial w} \right)$$

$$= w + \alpha \delta_t \left( \frac{\partial v_w(s_t)}{\partial w} - \gamma \frac{\partial v_w(s_{t+1})}{\partial w} \right)$$

But, subtracting  $\gamma \frac{\partial v_w(s_{t+1})}{\partial w}$  is effectively penalizing that next state - potentially bad since we have not seen rewards from  $S_{t+1}$ .

So: TD update:

$$w \leftarrow w + \alpha \delta_t \frac{\partial v_w(s_t)}{\partial w}$$

TD update with function approximation.

Gradient update converges more slowly (maybe ok near  $v^*$ , but otherwise an issue).

TD f.a. will work w/ linear approx.

$$v_w(s) = w^T \phi(s)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_w(s)}{\partial w} = \phi(s)$$

$$\text{So: } w \leftarrow w + \alpha \delta_t \phi(s_t)$$

In the tabular case:

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} v(s_1) \\ \vdots \\ v(s_n) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Update is:} \\ v(S_t) \leftarrow v(S_t) + \alpha \delta_t$$

Properties of this f.a.:

- Tabular: Converge a.s. to  $v^*$  if  $\alpha$  decayed appropriately.
- Tabular: Converges in the mean if  $\alpha$  sufficiently small constant
- Linear: Converges to some  $w_\infty$  s.t.

$$\text{MSE}(w_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \text{MSE}(w^*)$$

$\tau$  best weight vector for this approx.