COMPSCI 514: ALGORITHMS FOR DATA SCIENCE

Cameron Musco

University of Massachusetts Amherst. Fall 2021.

Lecture 15

Logistics:

- We have almost finished grading the midterm. Will return grades tomorrow evening and tests in class on Thursday.

Last Class:

- No-distortion embeddings for data lying in a k-dimensional subspace via an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ for that subspace.
- Using that $\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}$ is an identity matrix and $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T$ is a projection matrix to argue this, and understand low-rank matrix approximation.
- 'Dual view' of low-rank approximation: data points that can be reconstructed from a few basis vectors vs. linearly dependent features.

LAST CLASS: EMBEDDING WITH ASSUMPTIONS

Set Up: Assume that data points $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ lie in some k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$.



Let $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ be the matrix with these vectors as its columns.

$$\|\mathbf{V}^T\vec{x}_i - \mathbf{V}^T\vec{x}_j\|_2^2 = \|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j\|_2^2.$$

Letting $\tilde{x}_i = \mathbf{V}^T\vec{x}_i$, we have a perfect embedding from $\mathcal{V}$ into $\mathbb{R}^k$.

PROJECTION VIEW

Claim: If $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ lie in a k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}$ with orthonormal basis $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, the data matrix can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T \text{ (Implies rank}(\mathbf{X}) \leq k)$$

- $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T$ is a projection matrix, which projects the rows of $\mathbf{X}$ (the data points $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{V}$.



$\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$: data points, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$: data matrix, $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$: orthogo-

Quick Exercise 1 : Show that $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T$ is idempotent. I.e., $(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)\vec{y} = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)\vec{y}$ for any $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Quick Exercise 2: Show that $\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T) = \mathbf{0}$ (the projection is orthogonal to its complement).

Pythagorean Theorem: For any orthonormal $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ and any $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\|\vec{y}\|_2^2 = \|(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)\vec{y}\|_2^2 + \|\vec{y} - (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)\vec{y}\|_2^2.$$

EMBEDDING WITH ASSUMPTIONS

Main Focus of Today: Assume that data points $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ lie close to any k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$.



Letting $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ be the matrix with these vectors as its columns, $\mathbf{V}^T\vec{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is still a good embedding for $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The key idea behind low-rank approximation and principal component analysis (PCA).

- How do we find $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}$?
- How good is the embedding?

BEST FIT SUBSPACE

If $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ are close to a k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}$ with orthonormal basis $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$, the data matrix can be approximated as $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T$. $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}$ gives optimal embedding of $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

How do we find $\mathcal{V}$ (equivilantly $\mathbf{V}$)?

$$\underset{\text{orthonormal } \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}}{\arg\min} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{X}_{i,j} - (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T)_{i,j})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\vec{x}_i - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T\vec{x}_i\|_2^2 \quad \underset{\text{orthono}}{\text{ar}}$$



$\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$: data points, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$: data matrix, $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$: orthogonal basis for subspace $\mathcal{V}$. $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$: matrix with columns $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$.

If $\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ are close to a k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{V}$ with orthonormal basis $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times k}$, the data matrix can be approximated as $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T$. $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}$ gives optimal embedding of $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{V}$.

How do we find $\mathcal{V}$ (equivalently $\mathbf{V}$)?

$$\underset{\text{orthonormal } \mathbf{V}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}}{\arg\min} \|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T\|_F^2 = \underset{\text{orthonormal } \mathbf{V}\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times k}}{\arg\max} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\|_F^2.$$



$\mathbf{V}$ minimizing $\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^T\|_F^2$ is given by:

$$\underset{\text{orthonormal } \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}}{\arg\max} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{V}^T \vec{x}_i\|_2^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \|\mathbf{X}\vec{v}_j\|_2^2$$

Surprisingly, can find the columns of $\mathbf{V}$, $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ greedily.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2 = 1}{\arg\max} \|\mathbf{X}\vec{v}\|_2^2 \vec{v}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \vec{v}.$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2 = 1,\ \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle = 0}{\arg\max} \vec{v}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \vec{v}.$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\vec{v}_k = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2 = 1,\ \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_j \rangle = 0\ \forall j < k}{\arg\max} \vec{v}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \vec{v}.$$

These are exactly the top k eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$.

$\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$: data points, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$: data matrix, $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$: orthogonal basis for subspace $\mathcal{V}$. $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$: matrix with columns $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$.

Eigenvector: $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an eigenvector of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ if $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$ for some scalar λ (the eigenvalue corresponding to $\vec{x}$).

- That is, $\mathbf{A}$ just 'stretches' x.
- If $\mathbf{A}$ is symmetric, can find d orthonormal eigenvectors $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_d$. Let $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ have these vectors as columns.

$$\mathbf{AV} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_1 & \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{A}\vec{v}_d \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & | & & | \\ \lambda_1\vec{v}_1 & \lambda_2\vec{v}_2 & \cdots & \lambda\vec{v}_d \\ | & | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$$

Yields eigendecomposition: $\mathbf{AVV}^T = \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^T$.



Typically order the eigenvectors in decreasing order:
$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_d$.

Courant-Fischer Principal: For symmetric $\mathbf{A}$, the eigenvectors are given via the greedy optimization:

$$\vec{v}_1 = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2=1}{\arg\max} \ \vec{v}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{v}.$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2=1, \ \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle = 0}{\arg\max} \ \vec{v}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{v}.$$

$$\cdots$$

$$\vec{v}_d = \underset{\vec{v} \text{ with } \|v\|_2=1, \ \langle \vec{v}, \vec{v}_j \rangle = 0 \ \forall j < d}{\arg\max} \ \vec{v}^T \mathbf{A} \vec{v}.$$

- $\vec{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \vec{v}_j = \lambda_j \cdot \vec{v}_j^T \vec{v}_j = \lambda_j$, the j^{th} largest eigenvalue.
- The first k eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ (corresponding to the largest k eigenvalues) are exactly the directions of greatest variance in $\mathbf{X}$ that we use for low-rank approximation.

LOW-RANK APPROXIMATION VIA EIGENDECOMPOSITION



Upshot: Letting $\mathbf{V}_k$ have columns $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ corresponding to the top k eigenvectors of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{V}_k$ is the orthogonal basis minimizing

$$\|\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{V}_k\mathbf{V}_k^T\|_F^2,$$

This is principal component analysis (PCA).

How accurate is this low-rank approximation? Can understand using eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$.

$\vec{x}_1, \ldots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$: data points, $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$: data matrix, $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$: top eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{V}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$: matrix with columns $\vec{v}_1, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$.