

CMPSCI 240: “Reasoning Under Uncertainty”

Lecture 11

Prof. Hanna Wallach
wallach@cs.umass.edu

February 28, 2012

Reminders

- ▶ Check the course website: `http://www.cs.umass.edu/~wallach/courses/s12/cmpsci240/`
- ▶ Fourth homework is due on Friday

Recap

Last Time: Representing Events

- ▶ **Fixed length codes:** use same number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 11$, $A_2 = 10$, $A_3 = 01$, $A_4 = 00$

Last Time: Representing Events

- ▶ **Fixed length codes:** use same number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 11$, $A_2 = 10$, $A_3 = 01$, $A_4 = 00$
- ▶ Optimal for events with equal probabilities

Last Time: Representing Events

- ▶ **Fixed length codes:** use same number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 11$, $A_2 = 10$, $A_3 = 01$, $A_4 = 00$
- ▶ Optimal for events with equal probabilities
- ▶ **Variable length codes:** use different number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 01$, $A_3 = 001$, $A_4 = 000$

Last Time: Representing Events

- ▶ **Fixed length codes:** use same number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 11$, $A_2 = 10$, $A_3 = 01$, $A_4 = 00$
- ▶ Optimal for events with equal probabilities
- ▶ **Variable length codes:** use different number of bits to encode each event, e.g., $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 01$, $A_3 = 001$, $A_4 = 000$
- ▶ Optimal for events with unequal probabilities

Last Time: Prefix Codes

- ▶ **Prefix code:** no “code word” is a prefix of any other

Last Time: Prefix Codes

- ▶ **Prefix code:** no “code word” is a prefix of any other
- ▶ Messages (sequences of events) can be uniquely decoded

Last Time: Prefix Codes

- ▶ **Prefix code:** no “code word” is a prefix of any other
- ▶ Messages (sequences of events) can be uniquely decoded
- ▶ Messages are easy to decode in a left-to-right fashion

Last Time: Prefix Codes

- ▶ **Prefix code:** no “code word” is a prefix of any other
- ▶ Messages (sequences of events) can be uniquely decoded
- ▶ Messages are easy to decode in a left-to-right fashion
- ▶ Any binary tree with events as leaves defines a prefix code

Last Time: Prefix Codes

- ▶ **Prefix code:** no “code word” is a prefix of any other
- ▶ Messages (sequences of events) can be uniquely decoded
- ▶ Messages are easy to decode in a left-to-right fashion
- ▶ Any binary tree with events as leaves defines a prefix code
- ▶ Not necessarily optimal (information rate \geq entropy)

Last Time: Huffman Coding

- ▶ **Bottom-up construction:** build the tree from the leaves up

Last Time: Huffman Coding

- ▶ **Bottom-up construction:** build the tree from the leaves up
- ▶ Merge two least probable events (leaves or subtrees)

Last Time: Huffman Coding

- ▶ **Bottom-up construction:** build the tree from the leaves up
- ▶ Merge two least probable events (leaves or subtrees)
- ▶ Upper bound on information rate is better:

$$H(A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq R(A_1, \dots, A_n) < H(A_1, \dots, A_n) + 1$$

Last Time: Huffman Coding

- ▶ **Bottom-up construction:** build the tree from the leaves up
- ▶ Merge two least probable events (leaves or subtrees)
- ▶ Upper bound on information rate is better:

$$H(A_1, \dots, A_n) \leq R(A_1, \dots, A_n) < H(A_1, \dots, A_n) + 1$$

- ▶ Can prove this is optimal for a prefix code

Examples of Huffman Coding

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 | A_5 |
| $P(A_i)$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.15 |

Examples of Huffman Coding

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 | A_5 |
| $P(A_i)$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.15 |

- ▶ e.g., what is the information content of each event?

Examples of Huffman Coding

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 | A_5 |
| $P(A_i)$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.15 |

- ▶ e.g., what is the information content of each event?
- ▶ e.g., what is the information rate?

Examples of Huffman Coding

| | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 | A_5 |
| $P(A_i)$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.15 |

- ▶ e.g., what is the information content of each event?
- ▶ e.g., what is the information rate?
- ▶ e.g., what is the entropy?

Communicating Perfectly

Transmitting Information

- ▶ Goal: transmit some message, encoded in binary

Transmitting Information

- ▶ Goal: transmit some message, encoded in binary
- ▶ Want to make sure the correct message is received even if there are transmission errors (e.g., static, disk failure, ...)

Transmitting Information

- ▶ Goal: transmit some message, encoded in binary
- ▶ Want to make sure the correct message is received even if there are transmission errors (e.g., static, disk failure, ...)
- ▶ Probability of a single bit being flipped is p

Transmitting Information

- ▶ Goal: transmit some message, encoded in binary
- ▶ Want to make sure the correct message is received even if there are transmission errors (e.g., static, disk failure, ...)
- ▶ Probability of a single bit being flipped is p
- ▶ **Error probability:** overall probability of there being an undetected error when using some encoding scheme

Examples of Error Probability

- ▶ e.g., 8 events represented as 000, 001, 010, ... 111, probability of a single bit flip is $1/10$, what is the error probability?

Encoding with Redundancy

- ▶ Can use additional bits when encoding events to ensure that they are “protected” against errors in transmission

Encoding with Redundancy

- ▶ Can use additional bits when encoding events to ensure that they are “protected” against errors in transmission
- ▶ Error detecting codes vs. error correcting codes

Encoding with Redundancy

- ▶ Can use additional bits when encoding events to ensure that they are “protected” against errors in transmission
- ▶ Error detecting codes vs. error correcting codes
- ▶ **Fundamental trade-off:** want encoding schemes that minimize both the error probability and the information rate

Error-Detecting Codes: Parity Check Codes

- ▶ Append a **parity bit** to each code word such that every code word always contains an even number of ones

Error-Detecting Codes: Parity Check Codes

- ▶ Append a **parity bit** to each code word such that every code word always contains an even number of ones
- ▶ e.g., 000**0**, 001**1**, 010**1**, . . . , 110**0**, 111**1**

Error-Detecting Codes: Parity Check Codes

- ▶ Append a **parity bit** to each code word such that every code word always contains an even number of ones
- ▶ e.g., 000**0**, 001**1**, 010**1**, . . . , 110**0**, 111**1**
- ▶ Can detect error if an odd number of bits get flipped

Error-Detecting Codes: Parity Check Codes

- ▶ Append a **parity bit** to each code word such that every code word always contains an even number of ones
- ▶ e.g., 000**0**, 001**1**, 010**1**, . . . , 110**0**, 111**1**
- ▶ Can detect error if an odd number of bits get flipped
- ▶ Cannot detect error if an even number of bits get flipped

Examples of Parity Check Codes

- ▶ e.g., 8 events represented as 0000, 0011, ..., 1111, probability of a single bit flip is $1/10$, what is the error probability?

Hamming Distance

- ▶ **Hamming distance**: number of positions (bits) in which two binary strings of equal length differ from each other

Hamming Distance

- ▶ **Hamming distance**: number of positions (bits) in which two binary strings of equal length differ from each other
- ▶ Adding a parity bit means that any two code words have a Hamming distance of at least 2 from each other

Hamming Distance

- ▶ **Hamming distance**: number of positions (bits) in which two binary strings of equal length differ from each other
- ▶ Adding a parity bit means that any two code words have a Hamming distance of at least 2 from each other
- ▶ e.g., 000 and 001 vs. 000**0** and 001**1**

Hamming Distance

- ▶ **Hamming distance**: number of positions (bits) in which two binary strings of equal length differ from each other
- ▶ Adding a parity bit means that any two code words have a Hamming distance of at least 2 from each other
- ▶ e.g., 000 and 001 vs. 000**0** and 001**1**
- ▶ Can only detect odd number of bit flips, can't correct errors

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ e.g., 16 events represented as 0000, 0001, ..., 1111

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ e.g., 16 events represented as 0000, 0001, ..., 1111
- ▶ Add 3 bits $t_5 t_6 t_7$ to each code word $s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ such that

$$t_5 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \pmod{2}$$

$$t_6 = s_2 + s_3 + s_4 \pmod{2}$$

$$t_7 = s_3 + s_4 + s_1 \pmod{2}$$

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ e.g., 16 events represented as 0000, 0001, ..., 1111
- ▶ Add 3 bits $t_5 t_6 t_7$ to each code word $s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4$ such that

$$t_5 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 \pmod{2}$$

$$t_6 = s_2 + s_3 + s_4 \pmod{2}$$

$$t_7 = s_3 + s_4 + s_1 \pmod{2}$$

- ▶ e.g., what do 0000, 0001, ..., 0101, ..., 1111 become?

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ Any two code words have a Hamming distance of ≥ 3

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ Any two code words have a Hamming distance of ≥ 3
- ▶ 1 bit flip can be detected and **corrected**

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ Any two code words have a Hamming distance of ≥ 3
- ▶ 1 bit flip can be detected and **corrected**
- ▶ ≥ 2 bit flips will be corrected to the wrong code word

Error-Correcting Codes: 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ Any two code words have a Hamming distance of ≥ 3
- ▶ 1 bit flip can be detected and **corrected**
- ▶ ≥ 2 bit flips will be corrected to the wrong code word
- ▶ 2 bit flips can be **detected** using a global parity bit $\implies 8/4$

Correcting Single-Bit Errors

- ▶ Write the received code word in 3 overlapping circles

Correcting Single-Bit Errors

- ▶ Write the received code word in 3 overlapping circles
- ▶ Goal: every circle should have parity 0 (i.e., even # 1s)

Correcting Single-Bit Errors

- ▶ Write the received code word in 3 overlapping circles
- ▶ Goal: every circle should have parity 0 (i.e., even # 1s)
- ▶ Check each circle to see if its parity is 0 or 1

Correcting Single-Bit Errors

- ▶ Write the received code word in 3 overlapping circles
- ▶ Goal: every circle should have parity 0 (i.e., even # 1s)
- ▶ Check each circle to see if its parity is 0 or 1
- ▶ Is there a single unique bit (s or t) that lies inside all the parity 1 circles but outside all the parity 0 circles?

Correcting Single-Bit Errors

- ▶ Write the received code word in 3 overlapping circles
- ▶ Goal: every circle should have parity 0 (i.e., even # 1s)
- ▶ Check each circle to see if its parity is 0 or 1
- ▶ Is there a single unique bit (s or t) that lies inside all the parity 1 circles but outside all the parity 0 circles?
- ▶ If so, flipping this bit accounts for the parity violation

Examples of Decoding 7/4 Hamming Codes

- ▶ e.g., suppose 1000101 was transmitted but a) 1000001, b) 1100101, c) 1010101, d) 101010 were received?

Examples of Error Probability

- ▶ e.g., 16 events represented as 0000, 0001, ... 1111, probability of a single bit flip is $1/10$, what is the error probability?

Examples of Error Probability

- ▶ e.g., 16 events now represented as 0000000, 0001011, ..., 1111111, now what is the error probability?

For Next Time

- ▶ Check the course website: <http://www.cs.umass.edu/~wallach/courses/s12/cmpsci240/>
- ▶ Fourth homework is due on Friday