- <1> 20 Points In this question you see a main program calling a subroutine. The condition of the stack at position A is shown (the stack pointer SP is pointing at the current top of the stack, which contains some arbitrary number we denote by XXXXX).
 - (1) <u>Trace the execution of the program from position A through position F</u>, and as you trace the program show the condition of the stack at positions B, C, D, E, and F by filling the appropriate values or symbols into the corresponding boxes (<u>do not</u> fill anything into the boxes for position A; it is given). For example, if the value being pushed onto the stack comes from variable Temp then write "Temp" into the correct box; if the value comes from a constant write the constant in the box.
 - (2) Fill in the blank for the LDR instruction in SUB with the correct offset to load into R0 the first formal parameter to the subroutine (i.e., the value passed in from actual parameter Temp).
 - (3) <u>Fill in the blank for the STR instruction</u> in SUB with the correct offset to store R0 into the value returned by the subroutine (the second parameter slot in the call).
 - (4) <u>Yes or No:</u> as far as you can tell, is this subroutine completely transparent to all registers except the status flags? <u>YES</u>



<2> 5 Points – I want to load the contents of integer variable Temp into floating point register F0. Describe in words the problem with the following code fragment. What will happen when it executes? Without changing the definition of Temp, fix the problem. Use any registers necessary.

> LDFS F0, Temp FLT F0, R0 ... Temp DCD 10

Integer variable Temp needs to be converted to a floating point number before it can go into F0, so it must be first loaded into an integer register and converted with the FLT instruction. Note that the code as written will actually load the integer 10 into F0, but the bit pattern corresponds to a small denormalized floating point number, very close to zero.

- <3> 15 Points In a high-level language such as Pascal, I declare an array of 32-bit integers with the statement Var A : Array [-10..10] Of Integer ; where the first element of the array is at A[-10] and the last element of the array is at A[10]. In translating this array declaration into ARM assembly language, I use the ARM directive A % 84 to allocate and initialize to zero all 21 elements of the array (21 elements × 4 bytes per element = 84 bytes of memory).
 - (1) In pure algebra, write a mathematical expression that shows the mapping function from array index X to the offset in memory of the required item, relative to the base address of the array. Your answer should be a polynomial on X of the form: Offset $\leftarrow f(X)$. Tell me what *f* is.

Offset \leftarrow (x + 10) × 4 Offset \leftarrow (4x + 40)

The X+10 term is to normalize the Pascal array to a zero-based equivalent, then the multiplication by 4 is to convert the array index into a memory offset (since there are 4 bytes per array cell).

(2) Write the correct ARM assembly language statements to load into register R0 the contents of A[X] where X is an integer variable stored in memory containing a number between -10 and 10. You do not need to perform range checking on X.

ADR	R5,A		ADR	R5,A
LDR	R1,X		LDR	R1,X
ADD	R1,R1,#10		ADD	R1,R1,#10
LDR	R0,[R5,R1,LSL	#2]	MOV	R1,R1,LSL #2
			LDR	R0,[R5,R1]

(3) Write the correct ARM assembly language statements to load into register R0 the contents of A[7]. By using a constant subscript (the 7) you are free to optimize your code in any manner you see fit.

ADF	R R5,A	ADR	R5,A
MOV	7 R1 , #7	MOV	R1,#17
ADE	R1,R1,#10	LDR	R0,[R5,R1,LSL #2]
LDF	R R0,[R5,R1,LSL #2]		
		ADR	R5,A
ADF	R R5, A	LDR	RO,[R5,#68]
MOV	7 R1 , #68		
LDF	R R0,[R5,R1]	ADR	R5,A+68
		LDR	R0,[R5]

<4> 12 Points – In the subroutine call below, which of the parameters are *call-by-value*, *call-by-return*, *call-by-value-return*, and *call-by-reference*?

			ADR	R0,Result	Reference
			STR	RO,[SP,#-4]!	
			LDR	R0,Frog	Value-Return
			STR	RO,[SP,#-4]!	
			SUB	SP, SP, #4	Return
			LDR	R0,Toad	Value
			STR	RO,[SP,#-4]!	
			BL	SUBROUTINE	
			 ADD	SP,SP,#4	
			LDR	RO,[SP],#4	
			STR	R0,Newt	
			LDR	RO,[SP],#4	
			STR	R0,Frog	
			ADD	SP, SP, #4	

<5> 5 Points – In each of the following problems you are to multiply the contents of integer register R0 by a constant value, in one instruction, without using any other registers, and without using any explicit multiplication instructions such as MUL, MLA, or UMULL. If the task cannot be accomplished in a single instruction, answer "Can't be Done".

(1)	R0 := R0 × 17	ADD R0,R0,R0,LSL #4
(2)	R0 := R0 × 15	RSB R0,R0,R0,LSL #4
(3)	R0 := R0 × 16	MOV R0,R0,LSL #4
(4)	R0 := R0 × -15	SUB R0,R0,R0,LSL #4
(5)	R0 := R0 × 10	Can't be done ADD R0,R0,R0,LSL #2 in one line: MOV R0,R0,LSL #1

<6> 8 Points – Short Answer – When is it necessary in a subroutine to use the IP register instead of the SP register for referencing parameters on the stack? When is it not necessary? How does recursion fit into your answers?

SP can be used instead of IP in any subroutine which does not use the stack after registers are pushed for transparency. If the subroutine uses the stack for temporary values then the stack pointer is modified and all references to variables in the current stack frame change offsets; use of IP allows stack modification without changing offsets into the stack frame. Recursive routines usually push parameters onto the stack before the internal calls can be executed; this essentially forces the use of IP to reference the stack frame.

<7> 12 Points – The following clocked circuit shows a 6-bit shift register where the left-most bit gets each new value from eXclusive-ORing the current values of the fifth and sixth bits. This forms a "63-step pseudo-random number generator" circuit. Assume that the current state of the shift register is 1 0 0 1 1 1. Clock the circuit 6 times, and show the output state of the shift register after each clock pulse.



For each clock pulse the output of A will become the XOR of the previous values of E and F, and all other bits represent the previous state shifted right 1 bit (the old value of F is lost).

<8> 5 Points – Using only AND, OR, and NOT gates, draw a circuit below that performs the exclusive-NOR function for two inputs. Exclusive-NOR outputs 1 when the two inputs are the same, and outputs 0 when they are different.

Any of the following circuits will work. The first circuit outputs 1 if either AND-gate is triggered; the top one triggers if both inputs are 1, and the bottom one triggers through the NOT-gates if both inputs are 0. The two NOT-gates and the connected AND-gate performs the function of a NOR-gate. The second circuit is the same as the first, but it uses a different equivalent implementation of the NOR-gate using a NOT-gate and an OR-gate. In the third circuit the AND-gates are triggered if the inputs are different, so the output of the OR-gate is 1 if the inputs are different. This is an XOR-gate, which when run through the final NOT-gate becomes an XNOR-gate.



<9> 6 Points – In the following D-type flip-flop, assume that input D = 1, input Clock = 0, and internal point Master Q = 0. Fill in the outputs of *every* gate (yes, it is possible to do this from the given information).

In the first view, you need to know that Master Q = 0 to force the rightmost six NANDgates into a known state. After that, Master Q is free to change as needed.



<10> 6 Points – Based on the previous problem, leave D = 1 but <u>set Clock to 1</u>, and then fill in the outputs of *every* gate as before.



<11> 6 Points – Based on the previous problem, leave D = 1 and <u>clear Clock back to 0</u>, and then fill in the outputs of *every* gate as before.

