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## Message Passing Derivation

The messages satisfy recurrences, e.g

$$
m_{2 \rightarrow 3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\sum_{x_{2}} m_{1 \rightarrow 2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) \phi_{23}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$

The message $m_{i-1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ sums out all variables from the product of all factors "to the left" of $x_{i}$
The message $m_{i+1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ has a similar recurrence, and sums out variables/factors "to the right".

Using the recurrences, we can compute all messages, and therefore all marginals in two passes through the chain, one in each direction.

## 

Message Passing Derivation
When doing "leaf-first" variable elimination to compute any marginal $p\left(x_{i}\right)$, there are only 6 different intermediate factors

$$
m_{1 \rightarrow 2}, m_{2 \rightarrow 3}, m_{3 \rightarrow 4}, \quad m_{4 \rightarrow 3}, m_{3 \rightarrow 3}, m_{2 \rightarrow 1}
$$

Let's call $m_{j \rightarrow i}$ the "message" from $j$ to $i$.
We can compute $Z$ by "collecting" messages at any node:

$$
Z=\sum_{x_{i}} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathrm{nb}(i)} m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

The general formula for a marginal is similar, but we omit the final summation and normalize:

$$
p\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathrm{nb}(i)} m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)
$$



## Message Passing in a Chain

- Initialize $m_{0 \rightarrow 1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1, m_{n+1 \rightarrow n}\left(x_{n}\right)=1$.
- For $i=2$ to $n$
- Let $k=i-2, j=i-1$
- Let $m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j}} m_{k \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right) \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$
- For $i=n-1$ down to 1
- Let $k=i+2, j=i+1$
- Let $m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j}} m_{k \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right) \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$
- Compute each unnormalized marginal as $\hat{p}\left(x_{i}\right)=m_{i-1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) m_{i+1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)$
- Compute $Z=\sum_{x_{i}} \hat{p}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for any $i$, and normalize each marginal: $p\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \hat{p}\left(x_{i}\right)$

| Pairwise Marginals <br> - Correct formula for a pairwise marginal $p\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)$ ? $p\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} m_{i-1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right) \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \phi_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \phi_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) m_{i+2 \rightarrow i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Discussion: Message Passing vs. Variable Elimination

- Variable elimination can compute marginals and $Z$ exponentially faster than direct summation for nice enough graphs (e.g. chains, trees)
- Naively, to compute all single-node marginals you would have to run variable elimination $n$ times, once per node (but this would repeat work)
- Message passing can compute all the marginals for the same cost as running variable elimination twice, so is a factor of $\approx n / 2$ faster than naive variable elimination
- (Message passing is nice, but you could say variable elimination did the heavy lifting.)
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Message Passing in Trees

A more general version of message passing works for any tree-structured MRF, that is, an MRF of the following form where $G=(V, E)$ is a tree:

$$
p(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{i \in V} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{(i, j) \in E} \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) .
$$


Message passing can be derived from variable elimination. Take $x_{i}$ as the root and eliminate variables from leaf to root. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\sum_{x_{i}} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathrm{nb}(i)} m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
p\left(x_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{Z} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathrm{nb}(i)} m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The "message" $m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the result of summing out all factors and variables in the subtree $T_{j}$ rooted at $x_{j}$.
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Recurrence for Messages
The messages satisfy the following recurrence

$$
m_{j \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{j}} \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \in \mathrm{nb}(j) \backslash i} m_{k \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

This can be understood by expanding the summation over $T_{j}$ to group factors for subtrees rooted at each child of $x_{j}$, that is, for each node $k \in \mathrm{nb}(j) \backslash i$.

By similar reasoning, the pairwise marginal for $(i, j) \in E$ is

$$
p\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \phi_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right) \prod_{k \in \operatorname{nb}(i) \backslash j} m_{k \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right) \prod_{\ell \in \mathbf{n b}(j) \backslash i} m_{\ell \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$



Message-Passing

Importantly, the message from $j$ to $i$ doesn't depend on which particular node is the root. There are only $2(n-1)$ total messages and we can compute them all in two passes through the tree.

Say that $j$ is ready to send to $i$ if $j$ has received messages from all $k \in \mathrm{nb}(j) \backslash i$.
Message passing: while any node $j$ is ready to send to $i$, compute $m_{j \rightarrow i}$ using recurrence from previous slide.

This algorithm is described asynchronsously ("ready-to-send"), but in practice: pass messages from leaves to root of tree and back.
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Sketches of Extensions

- What if the MRF has factors on more than two variables? (keyword: factor graphs)



- What if the MRF is not tree-structured, i.e., $G$ has cycles?
- Answer 2: use message-passing as a fixed-point iteration (keyword: loopy belief propagation)

Overflow/Underflow and Log-Sum-Exp

- When factor values are small or large, or with many factors, messages can
underflow or overflow since they are products of many terms. A common solution is
to manipulate all factors and messages in log space.
- Example: consider the common factor manipulation

$$
A(x)=\sum_{y} B(x, y) C(y)
$$

Let's compute $\alpha(x)=\log A(x)$ from $\beta(x, y)=\log B(x, y)$ and $\gamma(y)=\log C(y)$

- Step 1: multiplication of factors is addition of log-factors

$$
\lambda(x, y):=\log (B(x, y) C(y))=\beta(x, y)+\gamma(y)
$$

## 

- Step 2: marginalization requires exponentiation ("log-sum-exp")

$$
\alpha(x)=\log \left(\sum_{y} \exp \lambda(x, y)\right)
$$


Numerically Stable log-sum-exp

Before exponentiating, we need to be careful to shift values to avoid overflow/underflow
logsumexp $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ :

- $c \leftarrow \max _{i} a_{i}$
- return $c+\log \sum_{i} \exp \left(a_{i}-c\right)$

See scipy.special.logsumexp
(Comment: log-space implementation probably not needed in HW2, probably needed in HW3.)

