

COMPSCI 311: Introduction to Algorithms

Lecture 14: Dynamic Programming

Dan Sheldon

University of Massachusetts Amherst

Algorithm Design Techniques

- ▶ Greedy
- ▶ Divide and Conquer
- ▶ Dynamic Programming
- ▶ Network Flows

Learning Goals

	Greedy	Divide and Conquer	Dynamic Programming
Formulate problem			
Design algorithm		✓	✓
Prove correctness	✓		
Analyze running time		✓	
Specific algorithms	Dijkstra, MST		Bellman-Ford

Weighted Interval Scheduling

- ▶ TV scheduling problem: n shows, can only watch one at a time. **New twist:** show j has value v_j . Want a set of shows S with no overlap and highest total value.
- ▶ Example on board
- ▶ Greedy? No longer optimal.

Problem Formulation

- ▶ Show (job) j has value v_j , start time s_j , finish time f_j
- ▶ Assume shows sorted by finishing time $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq f_n$
- ▶ Shows i and j are **compatible** if they don't overlap
- ▶ **Goal**: subset of non-overlapping jobs with maximum value

Dynamic Programming Recipe

- ▶ **Step 1:** Devise simple recursive algorithm for *value* of optimal solution
 - ▶ Flavor: make “first choice”, then recursively solve remaining part of the problem.
(Problem: solve redundant subproblems → exponential time)
- ▶ **Step 2:** Write recurrence for optimal value
- ▶ **Step 3:** Design bottom-up iterative algorithm
- ▶ **Epilogue:** Recover optimal *solution*

Step 1: Recursive Algorithm

- ▶ **Observation:** Let O be the optimal solution. Either $n \in O$ or $n \notin O$. In either case, we can reduce the problem to a *smaller instance* of the same problem.
- ▶ Recursive algorithm to compute **value** of optimal subset of first j shows

Compute-Value(j)

Base case: if $j = 0$ return 0

Case 1: $j \in O$

Let $i < j$ be highest-numbered show compatible with j

$\text{val1} = v_j + \text{Compute-Value}(i)$

Case 2: $j \notin O$

$\text{val2} = \text{Compute-Value}(j - 1)$

return $\max(\text{val1}, \text{val2})$

Clicker

Compute-Value(j)

if $j = 0$ return 0

Let $i < j$ be highest-numbered show compatible with j

val1 = $v_j + \text{Compute-Value}(i)$

val2 = $\text{Compute-Value}(j - 1)$

return $\max(\text{val1}, \text{val2})$

The worst-case running time of this recursive solution is

- A. $O(n \log n)$
- B. $O(n^2)$
- C. $O(1.618^n)$
- D. $O(2^n)$

Running Time?

- ▶ Recursion tree
- ▶ $\approx 2^n$ subproblems \Rightarrow exponential time
- ▶ Only n *unique* subproblems. Save work by ordering computation to solve each problem once.

Step 2: Recurrence

A **recurrence** expresses the optimal value for a problem of size j in terms of the optimal value of subproblems of size $i < j$.

Let $\text{OPT}(j)$ = value of optimal solution on first j shows

$$\begin{aligned}\text{OPT}(0) &= 0 \\ \text{OPT}(j) &= \max\left\{ \underbrace{v_j + \text{OPT}(p_j)}_{\text{Case 1}}, \underbrace{\text{OPT}(j-1)}_{\text{Case 2}} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ p_j : highest-numbered show $i < j$ that is compatible with j

Recursive Algorithm vs. Recurrence

- ▶ Compute-Value(j)

If $j = 0$ return 0

val1 = $v_j + \text{Compute-Value}(p_j)$

val2 = $\text{Compute-Value}(j - 1)$

return $\max(\text{val1}, \text{val2})$

- ▶ Recurrence

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max\{v_j + \text{OPT}(p_j), \text{OPT}(j - 1)\}$$

$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

- ▶ Direct correspondence between the algorithm and recurrence

- ▶ **Tip:** start by writing the recursive algorithm and translating it to a recurrence (replace method name by "OPT"). After some practice, skip straight to the recurrence

Step 3: Iterative “Bottom-Up” Algorithm

Idea: compute the optimal value of every unique subproblem in order from smallest (base case) to largest (original problem). Use recurrence for each subproblem.

WeightedIS

Initialize array M of size n to hold optimal values

$$M[0] = 0$$

▷ Value of empty set

for $j = 1$ to n **do**

$$M[j] = \max(v_j + M[p_j], M[j - 1])$$

▶ Example

Step 3: Observations

WeightedIS

Initialize array M of size n to hold optimal values

$$M[0] = 0$$

▷ Value of empty set

for $j = 1$ to n **do**

$$M[j] = \max(v_j + M[p_j], M[j - 1])$$

- ▶ Iterative algorithm is a direct “wrapping” of recurrence in appropriate for loop.
- ▶ Pay attention to dependence on previously-computed entries of M to know in what order to iterate through array.
- ▶ Running time? $O(n)$

Memoization

Intermediate approach: keep recursive function structure, but store value in array on first computation, and reuse it

Initialize array M of size n to *empty*, $M[0] = 0$

function Mfun(j)

if $M[j] = \text{empty}$ **then**

$M[j] = \max(v_j + \text{Mfun}(p_j), \text{Mfun}(j - 1))$

return $M[j]$

- ▶ Can help if we have recursive structure but unsure of iteration order, or as intermediate step in converting to iteration

Clicker

The asymptotic running time of the memoized algorithm is

- A. the same as the initial recursive solution.
- B. between the initial recursive solution and the iterative version.
- C. the same as the iterative version.

Epilogue: Recovering the Solution (1)

Idea: modify the algorithm to save best choice for each subproblem

WeightedIS

Initialize array $M[0 \dots n]$ to hold optimal values

Initialize array $choose[1 \dots n]$ to hold choices

$M[0] = 0$

for $j = 1$ to n **do**

$M[j] = \max(v_j + M[p_j], M[j - 1])$

Set $choose[j] = 1$ if first value is bigger, and 0 otherwise

Epilogue: Recovering the Solution (2)

Then trace back from end and "execute" the choices

Use algorithm above to fill in M and choose arrays

$O = \{\}$

$j = n$

while $j > 0$ **do**

if $\text{choose}(j) == 1$ **then**

$O = O \cup \{j\}$

$j = p_j$

else

$j = j - 1$

- ▶ **Tip:** first write algorithm to compute optimal value, then modify to compute actual solution

Review

- ▶ Recursive algorithm \rightarrow recurrence \rightarrow iterative algorithm
- ▶ Three ways of expressing value of optimal solutions of subproblems
 - ▶ $\text{Compute-Value}(j)$. Recursive algorithm: arguments identify subproblems.
 - ▶ $\text{OPT}(j)$. Used in recurrence; matches recursive algorithm.
 - ▶ $M[j]$. Array to hold optimal values for each distinct subproblem, filled in during iterative algorithm.

Key Step: Identify Subproblems

- ▶ Finding solution means: make “first choice”, then recursively solve a smaller instance of same problem.
- ▶ First example: Weighted Interval Scheduling
 - ▶ **Binary** first choice: $j \in O$ or $j \notin O$?
- ▶ Next example: rod cutting
 - ▶ First choice has n **options**

Rod Cutting

- ▶ **Input:** steel rod of length n , can be cut into integer lengths, get price $p(i)$ for piece of length i
- ▶ **Goal:** subdivide to maximize total value
- ▶ Example / problem formulation on board

First decision?

Choose length i of first piece, then recurse on smaller rod

Step 1: Recursive Algorithm

CutRod(j)

if $j = 0$ **then** return 0

$v = 0$

for $i = 1$ to j **do**

$v = \max(v, p[i] + \text{CutRod}(j - i))$

return v

► Running time for CutRod(n)? $\Theta(2^n)$

Step 2: Recurrence

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} \{p_i + \text{OPT}(j - i)\}$$

$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

From Recurrence to Algorithm

$$\text{OPT}(j) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} \{p_i + \text{OPT}(j - i)\}$$

$$\text{OPT}(0) = 0$$

What size memoization array M ? What order to fill? The recurrence provides all of the information needed to design an iterative algorithm.

- ▶ $\text{Cutrod}(\cdot)$, $\text{OPT}(\cdot)$, and $M[\cdot]$ have same argument: index j of unique subproblems
- ▶ Range of values of j determines size of M . $M[0..n]$
- ▶ Fill M so RHS values are computed before LHS. Fill from 0 to n

Step 3: Iterative Algorithm

CutRod-Iterative

Initialize array $M[0..n]$

Set $M[0] = 0$

for $j = 1$ to n **do**

$v = 0$

for $i = 1$ to j **do**

$v = \max(v, p[i] + M[j - i])$

 Set $M[j] = v$

- ▶ Note: body of for loop identical to recursive algorithm, directly implements recurrence
- ▶ Running time? $\Theta(n^2)$

Epilogue: Recover Optimal Solution

Idea: Modify algorithm to record choices that lead to optimal value for each subproblem, then trace back from the end and “execute” the choices, starting with the largest problem.

Step 1: Run previous algorithm to fill in M array, but with the following modification:
let $\text{first-cut}[j]$ be the index i that leads to the largest value when computing $M[j]$.

Step 2: Trace back from end and execute choices.

$\text{cuts} = \{\}$

$j = n$

while $j > 0$ **do**

$j = j - \text{first-cut}[j]$

$\text{cuts} = \text{cuts} \cup \{\text{first-cut}[j]\}$

▷ Remaining length