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Where is this?
Where is this?
Where are these?

June 18, 2006, 15:45

June 18, 2006, 16:31
Where are these?

June 18, 2006, 15:45

June 18, 2006, 16:31

June 19, 2006, 17:24
Problem statement

Want: geo-tags
Key questions

How do we relate images to locations?
How do we model human travel?
Applications

Geo-tagging your photos
Will all cameras have GPS?

This might not happen (cost; start-up time/power consumption, urban/wilderness locations)

There are billions of existing images without good geotags
The scaling laws of human travel

D. Brockmann\textsuperscript{1,2}, L. Hufnagel\textsuperscript{3} & T. Geisel\textsuperscript{1,2,4}

The dynamic spatial redistribution of individuals is a key driving force of various spatiotemporal phenomena on geographical scales. It can synchronize populations of interacting species, stabilize them, and diversify gene pools\textsuperscript{1-3}. Human travel, for example, is responsible for the geographical spread of human infectious disease\textsuperscript{4-9}. In the light of increasing international trade, intensified human mobility and the imminent threat of an influenza A epidemic\textsuperscript{10}, the knowledge of dynamical and statistical properties of human travel is of fundamental importance. Despite its crucial role, a quantitative assessment of these properties on geographical scales remains elusive, and the assumption that humans disperse diffusively still prevails in models. Here we report on a solid and quantitative assessment of human travelling statistics by analysing the circulation of bank notes in the United States. Using a comprehensive data set of over a million individual displacements, we find that dispersal is anomalous in two ways. First, the distribution of travelling distances decays as a power law, indicating that trajectories of bank notes are reminiscent of scale-free random walks known as Lévy flights. Second, the probability of remaining in a small, spatially confined region for a time $T$ is dominated by algebraically long tails that attenuate the super-diffusive spread. We show that human travelling behaviour can be described mathematically on many spatiotemporal scales by a two-parameter continuous-time random walk model to a surprising accuracy, and conclude that human travel on geographical quantitative assessment of human movements, however, is difficult, and a statistically reliable estimate of human dispersal comprising all spatial scales does not exist. The central aim of this work is to use data collected at online bill-tracking websites (which monitor the worldwide dispersal of large numbers of individual bank notes) to infer the statistical properties of human dispersal with very high spatiotemporal precision. Our analysis of human movement is based on the trajectories of 464,670 dollar bills obtained from the bill-tracking system www.wheresgeorge.com. We analysed the dispersal of bank notes in the United States, excluding Alaska and Hawaii. The core data consists of 1,033,095 reports to the bill-tracking website. From these reports we calculated the geographical displacements $r = |x_2 - x_1|$ between a first ($x_1$) and secondary ($x_2$) report location of a bank note and the elapsed time $T$ between successive reports.

In order to illustrate qualitative features of bank note trajectories, Fig. 1b depicts short-time trajectories ($T < 14$ days) originating from three major US cities: Seattle, New York and Jacksonville. After their initial entry into the tracking system, most bank notes are next reported in the vicinity of the initial entry location, that is $|x_2 - x_1| \leq 10$ km (Seattle, 52.7%; New York, 57.7%; Jacksonville, 71.4%). However, a small but considerable fraction is reported beyond a distance of 800 km (Seattle, 7.8%; New York, 7.4%; Jacksonville, 2.9%).

From a total of 20,540 short-time trajectories originating across the United States, we measured the probability $P(r)$ of traversing a
Epidemic forecasting

World aviation network

Swine flu projection for May 24
(Indiana University, http://www.gleamviz.org)

(Hufnagel 2004, Colizza 2007)
Urban planning

(Whyte, 1971)  

2009
Italian visitors

(Girardin et al., *Pervasive* 2008)

American visitors
Photographs Phone calls

(Girardin et al., *Pervasive* 2008)
Human travel distributions
Related work

Data from wheresgeorge.com

Lévy flight (power law):

$$r \sim r^{-\beta}$$

(Brockmann et al., *Nature* 2006)
Power-law with cutoff

Mobile phone traces

Power-law with cutoff

(González et al., Nature 2008)
Photo travel database

6 million geotagged images downloaded from Flickr.com, through Nov 2007

Removed images based on tags (e.g., “birthday,” “concert,” “abstract,” “cameraphone,” etc.)

Removed users with no travel, implausible travel (e.g., 100 km in under 45 minutes) or obviously incorrect geotags (e.g., picture of Vancouver geotagged in Siberia)
Flickr distance histogram

$P(\text{distance} \geq r)$

- 0-5 min
- 5-15 min
- 1-2 hours
- 6-8 hours
- 14-30 days

$r$ (km)
Discretization

400 km x 400 km, 3186 bins $L_i$
Empirical distribution

6 million geo-tagged images from Flickr.com
Spatially-varying distribution

6-9 hours

14-30 days
Single-image geolocation
Related work

Urban (Zhang 2006, Schindler 2008)
Regional (Cristani 2008)
Global (Hays 2008)
Landmarks (Crandall 2009, Zheng 2009)
Location likelihood

Test image $I$

$P(L|I)$
Combining “vague” results

\[ P(L|I_1) \]
\[ \rightarrow \]
\[ 3\% \]
\[ + \]
\[ P(L|I_2) \]
\[ \rightarrow \]
\[ 3\% \]
\[ + \]
\[ P(L|I_3) \]
\[ \rightarrow \]
\[ 5\% \]
\[ + \]
\[ 70\% \]
\[ = \]
\[ P(L|I_1, I_2, I_3) \]
Hidden Markov Model

Forward-Backward algorithm computes

\[ \gamma_{it} \equiv P(L_t = i | I_1:N, \Delta T_1:N) \]

Given loss function, output a location estimate
Toy example

$\Delta T = 2 \text{ hours}$

$P(L_1|I_1)$

81%

$P(L_2|I_2)$

9%

$P(L_1|I_1, I_2, \Delta T)$

66%

$P(L_2|I_1, I_2, \Delta T)$

60%
Evaluation

Test set (20 users, 4117 photos)
Results (correct within 400km) for test set:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>London always</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM2GPS (Hayes and Efros 2008)</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
137 photos

SIG: 37.7%
SEQ: 97.8%
146 photos

SIG: 10%
SEQ: 79%
Is it just landmark matching?
“Distinctive”  "Non-distinctive"  “Distinctive”

**Distinctive-only:** 41%

**Sequence:** 58%
Conclusions

There is a wealth of travel data to explore and exploit

Given **images and timestamps**, we get much more information than from images alone

New application areas for computer vision

ありがとうございます！