# CS250: Discrete Math for Computer Science

L28: Searching Undirected Graphs: Depth First Search

A path is a walk that never visits the same edge or vertex twice, except a path may start and end at the same vertex in which case it is called a cycle.



A path is a walk that never visits the same edge or vertex twice, except a path may start and end at the same vertex in which case it is called a cycle. A loop is a cycle of length 1. There are no cycles of length 0.



A path is a walk that never visits the same edge or vertex twice, except a path may start and end at the same vertex in which case it is called a cycle. A loop is a cycle of length 1. There are no cycles of length 0.

**Note:** this definition differs for directed and undirected graphs. The undirected graph  $G_1$  is acyclic.



A path is a walk that never visits the same edge or vertex twice, except a path may start and end at the same vertex in which case it is called a cycle. A loop is a cycle of length 1. There are no cycles of length 0.

**Note:** this definition differs for directed and undirected graphs. The undirected graph  $G_1$  is acyclic. However, the directed graph,  $D_1$ , has a cycle: (0, 1, 0).







**iClicker 28.1** In the above undirected graph, which of the above walks are paths?

A: all of them B: all except  $w_4$  C: all except  $w_4$  and  $w_6$ 



**iClicker 28.2** Which of the above walks are cycles? **A:**  $w_4$  and  $w_6$  **B:** just  $w_6$ 

A graph with at at least one cycle is called cyclic.



A graph with at at least one cycle is called cyclic.

A graph that has **no cycles** is called **acyclic**.



A graph with at at least one cycle is called cyclic.

A graph that has **no cycles** is called **acyclic**.



Rest of today: all graphs will be **undirected** and **loop free**.

A graph with at at least one cycle is called cyclic.

A graph that has **no cycles** is called **acyclic**.



Rest of today: all graphs will be **undirected** and **loop free**. A **forest** is an **undirected acyclic graph**.

A graph with at **at least one cycle** is called **cyclic**.

A graph that has **no cycles** is called **acyclic**.



Rest of today: all graphs will be **undirected** and **loop free**.

A forest is an undirected acyclic graph.

An undirected graph is **connected** if every pair of vertices has a path between them.

A graph with at **at least one cycle** is called **cyclic**.

A graph that has **no cycles** is called **acyclic**.



Rest of today: all graphs will be **undirected** and **loop free**.

A forest is an undirected acyclic graph.

An undirected graph is **connected** if every pair of vertices has a path between them.

An undirected tree is a connected forest.

A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

If *G* is **connected** then *G* itself is *G*'s **unique connected component**.

A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

If *G* is **connected** then *G* itself is *G*'s **unique connected component**.



A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

If *G* is **connected** then *G* itself is *G*'s **unique connected component**.



iClicker 28.3 How many connected components does  $F_2$  have?  $V^{F_2} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$   $E^{F_2} = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ 

**A:** 1 **B:** 2 **C:** 3

A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

If *G* is **connected** then *G* itself is *G*'s **unique connected component**.



A connected component, *C*, of an undirected graph, *G*, is a maximal connected subgraph of *G*.

If *G* is **connected** then *G* itself is *G*'s **unique connected component**.



Recall  $E^*$  is the reflexive transitive closure of E. For undirected graphs,  $E^*$  is an equivalence relation and it partitions the vertices into connected components:

$$[v]_{E^*} = \{ u \in V \mid E^*(u, v) \}$$

► search a graph

- search a graph
- compute its connected components

- search a graph
- compute its connected components
- determine if it is cyclic or acyclic

### DFSmain(G)

```
for each u in V :
```

```
color[u] = white
```

```
parent[u] = NULL
```

time=0

```
for each u in V :
```

```
if (color[u] == white):
```

**DFSVisit**(u)

**DFSVisit**(u) color[u] = red// in process // discover d[u] = ++timefor each v in Adj(u) : if (color[v] == white): // unseen parent[v] = u// tree edge **DFSVisit**(v) color[u] = **black** // done f[u] = ++time// finish





























### DFSmain(G)

```
for each u in V :
```

```
color[u] = white
```

```
parent[u] = NULL
```

time=0

```
for each u in V :
```

```
if (color[u] == white):
```

**DFSVisit**(u)

**DFSVisit**(u) color[u] = red// in process // discover d[u] = ++timefor each v in Adj(u) : if (color[v] == white): // unseen parent[v] = u// tree edge **DFSVisit**(v) color[u] = **black** // done f[u] = ++time// finish

### Thm. (Properties of DFS on Undirected Graphs)

Let G be an undirected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then:

### **Thm. (Properties of DFS on Undirected Graphs)** Let *G* be an undirected graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges. Then:

1. DFS(G) runs in **linear time**, i.e., O(n + m).

### **Thm. (Properties of DFS on Undirected Graphs)** Let *G* be an undirected graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges. Then:

- 1. DFS(*G*) runs in **linear time**, i.e., O(n + m).
- 2. DFS computes connected components of G.

Thm. (Properties of DFS on Undirected Graphs) Let *G* be an undirected graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges. Then:

- 1. DFS(G) runs in **linear time**, i.e., O(n + m).
- 2. DFS computes **connected components** of *G*.
- 3. DFS determines which of these components is cyclic: a component is cyclic iff it has a backedge.

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v. O(n)

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex.O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v.O(n)DFSVisit(v) performs a bounded number of steps,O(n)

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v. O(n)

DFSVisit(v) performs a bounded number of steps, O(n) except for walking down v's adjacency list,

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v. O(n)

DFSVisit(v) performs a bounded number of steps, O(n) except for walking down v's adjacency list, one step for each outgoing edge.

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v. O(n)

DFSVisit(v) performs a bounded number of steps, O(n) except for walking down v's adjacency list, one step for each outgoing edge.
Each edge examined once in each direction. O(m)

1. DFS runs in linear time, i.e., O(n + m) time.

DFSmain has constant number of steps per vertex. O(n)DFSVisit(v) called once for each vertex v. O(n)

DFSVisit(v) performs a bounded number of steps, O(n) except for walking down v's adjacency list, one step for each outgoing edge.
Each edge examined once in each direction. O(m)

O(n+m)

We show that all the vertices reachable from r are included in the DFS tree whose root is r.

We show that all the vertices reachable from r are included in the DFS tree whose root is r.

Prove by induction on the number of vertices in [r].

We show that all the vertices reachable from r are included in the DFS tree whose root is r.

Prove by induction on the number of vertices in [r].

**base case:**  $1 = |[r]| = \{r\}$  visited first step of DFSVisit(r).  $\checkmark$ 

**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*].

**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*].

Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1$ ,  $r \in V^G$ .

**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1$ ,  $r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), **inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1$ ,  $r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.



**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.



**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.  $H_1$  is connected and has at most  $n_0$  vertices. **inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.  $H_1$  is connected and has at most  $n_0$  vertices. By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(*a*) visits all of  $H_1$ .



**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.  $H_1$  is connected and has at most  $n_0$  vertices. By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(*a*) visits all of  $H_1$ .

 $H_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G - H_1$ . Remainder of DFSVisit(*r*) is the same as if  $H_1$  didn't exist and we are just doing the DFS of  $H_2$ .



**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.  $H_1$  is connected and has at most  $n_0$  vertices. By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(*a*) visits all of  $H_1$ .

 $H_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G - H_1$ . Remainder of DFSVisit(*r*) is the same as if  $H_1$  didn't exist and we are just doing the DFS of  $H_2$ .

By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(r) visits all of  $H_2$ .



**inductive case:** Assume **indHyp**: for all  $H, r \in V^H$ ,  $|V^H| \le n_0 \Rightarrow$  if we start at *r* then DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of [*r*]. Let *G* be arbitrary connected,  $|V^G| = n_0 + 1, r \in V^G$ . Call DFSVisit(*r*), let *a* be first neighbor of *r*.  $H_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  vertices reachable from *a* without going through *r*.  $H_1$  is connected and has at most  $n_0$  vertices. By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(*a*) visits all of  $H_1$ .

 $H_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G - H_1$ . Remainder of DFSVisit(*r*) is the same as if  $H_1$  didn't exist and we are just doing the DFS of  $H_2$ .



By **indHyp**, DFSVisit(r) visits all of  $H_2$ .

Thus initial call of DFSVisit(*r*) visits all of  $G = H_1 \cup H_2$ .

If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 

If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 



Conversely, suppose that *G* contains a cycle and let  $C = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_1$  be a cycle. Let  $a_1$  be the first vertex of the cycle that is visited in DFS(*G*).

If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 

Conversely, suppose that *G* contains a cycle and let  $C = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_1$  be a cycle. Let  $a_1$  be the first vertex of the cycle that is visited in DFS(*G*). By (2), we know that  $a_2$  and  $a_{k-1}$  are visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_1$ ). Assume that  $a_2$  is visited first, the other case is symmetrical.



If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 

Conversely, suppose that *G* contains a cycle and let  $C = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_1$  be a cycle. Let  $a_1$  be the first vertex of the cycle that is visited in DFS(*G*). By (2), we know that  $a_2$  and  $a_{k-1}$  are visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_1$ ). Assume that  $a_2$  is visited first, the other case is symmetrical.

By (2), we know that  $a_{k-1}$  is visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_2$ ).



If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 

Conversely, suppose that *G* contains a cycle and let  $C = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_1$  be a cycle. Let  $a_1$  be the first vertex of the cycle that is visited in DFS(*G*). By (2), we know that  $a_2$  and  $a_{k-1}$  are visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_1$ ). Assume that  $a_2$  is visited first, the other case is symmetrical.

By (2), we know that  $a_{k-1}$  is visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_2$ ).



When  $a_{k-1}$  is visited,  $a_1$  is red and not  $a_{k-1}$ 's parent.

If there is a backege from *b* to *a*, then there is a path in the tree from *a* down to *b*, so this path plus the backedge forms a cycle.  $\checkmark$ 

Conversely, suppose that *G* contains a cycle and let  $C = a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_1$  be a cycle. Let  $a_1$  be the first vertex of the cycle that is visited in DFS(*G*). By (2), we know that  $a_2$  and  $a_{k-1}$  are visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_1$ ). Assume that  $a_2$  is visited first, the other case is symmetrical.

By (2), we know that  $a_{k-1}$  is visited during the call of DFSVisit( $a_2$ ).



When  $a_{k-1}$  is visited,  $a_1$  is red and not  $a_{k-1}$ 's parent. Thus the edge  $(a_{k-1}, a_1)$  is a backedge.