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## Logistics

- Problem Set 1 is due tomorrow at midnight.
- I am holding office hours directly after class today.
- No class or office hours on Thursday.
- Problem Set 2 will be posted later this week.


## Summary

## Last Time:

- Stronger concentration bounds for sums of independent random variables. I.e., exponential concentration bounds.
- Chernoff and Bernstein bound.
- Application to balls-into-bins and linear probing analysis.


## Today:

- Random hash functions and fingerprinting.
- Applications to pattern matching and communication complexity.


## Random Hashing and Fingerprinting

## Random Hash Functions

A random hash function maps inputs to random outputs.

h is picked randomly, but after it is picked it is fixed - so a single input is always mapped to the same output.

```
import random
a = random.randint(1,100)
b = random.randint(1,100)
def myHash(x):
    return (a*x+b) % 100
```

```
import random
def myHash(x):
    a = random.randint(1,100)
    b = random.randint (1,100)
    return (a*x+b) % 100
```


## Fingerprinting

Random hash functions are often used to reduce large files down to hash 'fingerprints', which can be used to check equality of files (deduplication), detect updates/corruptions, etc.


- Key requirement is that two distinct files are unlikely to have the same hash - low collision probability.
- In practice $h$ is often a deterministic 'cryptographic' hash function like SHA or MD5 - hard to analyze formally.


## Rabin Fingerprint

Rabin Fingerprint: Interpret a bit string $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ as the binary representation of the integer $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot 2^{i-1}$. Let

$$
\mathrm{h}(x)=x \quad \bmod p,
$$

where $p$ is a randomly chosen prime in $[1, t n \log t n]$.
Prime Number Theorem: There are $\approx \frac{t n \log t n}{\log (t n \log t n)}=\Theta(t n)$ primes in [ 1, tn $\log t n$ ]. So $p$ is chosen randomly from $\Theta(t n)$ possible values.

Claim: For $x, y \in\left[0,2^{n}\right]$ with $\left.x \neq y, \operatorname{Pr}[h(x)=h(y))\right]=O(1 / t)$.

- If $\mathrm{h}(x)=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{y})$, then it must be that $x-y \bmod p=0$. I.e., $p$ divides $x-y$. So we must bound the probability of this occuring.
- Note: This is not a cryptographic hash function - it is relatively easy to find $x, y$ with $\mathrm{h}(x)=\mathrm{h}(y)$ given $p$, or blackbox access to $h$. However, this is fine in many applications.


## Rabin Fingerprint Analysis

Think-Pair-Share 1: How many unique prime factors can an integer in $\left[-2^{n}, 2^{n}\right.$ ] have?

Think-Pair-Share 2: What is the probability that a random prime $p$ chosen from $[1, t n \log t n]$ divides $x-y \in\left[-2^{n}, 2^{n}\right]$ ? I.e., that $h(x)=h(y)$ ? Recall: There are $\Theta(t n)$ primes in the range [1, tn $\log t n]$.

Fingerprinting Application 1: Communication Complexity

## Fingerprinting for Equality Testing

Equality Testing Communication Problem: Alice has some bit string $a \in\{0,1\}^{n}$. Bob has some string $b \in\{0,1\}^{n}$. How many bits do they need to communicate to determine if $a=b$ with probability at least $2 / 3$ ?


## Fingerprinting for Equality Testing

Equality Testing Protocol:

- Alice picks a random prime $p \in[1, t n \log t n]$ for some large constant $t$.
- Alice sends $p$, along with the Rabin fingerprint $\mathrm{h}(a):=a$ $\bmod p$ to Bob. $[O(\log p)=O(\log n)$ bits]
- Bob uses $p$ to compute $h(b):=b \bmod p$.
- If $\mathrm{h}(a)=\mathrm{h}(b)$, Bob sends 'YES' to Alice. Else, he sends 'No'. [1 bit]

Correctness: If $a=b$ both Alice and Bob always output 'YES'. If $a \neq b$ they output ' $N O$ ' with probability $1-O(1 / t) \geq 2 / 3$ if $t$ is set large enough.

Complexity: Uses just $O(\log p)=O(\log n)$ bits of communication in total.

## Deterministic Equality Testing

How many bits must Alice and Bob send if they want to check equality of $a, b \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ without using randomness?

Claim: Any deterministic protocol for equality testing requires sending $\Omega(n)$ bits.

- An exponential separation between randomized and deterministic protocols!
- Unlike for running times, for communication complexity problems there are often large provable separations between randomized and deterministic protocols.


## Deterministic Equality Testing Lower Bound

Claim: Any deterministic protocol for equality testing requires sending $\Omega(n)$ bits.

- Assume without loss of generality that Alice and Bob alternate sending 1 bit at a time - at most doubles the number of bits.
- If Alice and Bob send $s<n$ bits, in total, there are $2^{s}$ possible conversations they may have.


Alice to Bob:
Bob to Alice: 0
Alice to Bob: 0
Full Transcript: $\underbrace{10111000010}_{\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{n} \text { bits }}$

## Deterministic Equality Testing Lower Bound

If Alice and Bob send $s<n$ bits, in total, there are $2^{s}$ possible conversations they may have.


Alice to Bob: 1
Bob to Alice: 0
Alice to Bob: 0
Full Transcript:



Alice to Bob:
Bob to Alice: 0

Alice to Bob: 0
Full Transcript: $\underbrace{10111000010}_{\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{n} \text { bits }}$


Alic Bob

Alic Full Transc

- Since there are $2^{n}>2^{s}$ possible inputs, there must be two different inputs $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$, such that given $a=b=v_{1}$ or $a=b=v_{2}$, the protocol outputs 'YES' and has identical transcripts.
- But then the players will send the same messages and output 'YES' also when Alice is given $a=v_{1}$ and Bob is given $b=v_{2}$. This violates correctness!


## Application 2: Pattern Matching

## Pattern Matching

Given some document $x=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ and a pattern
$y=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{m}$, find some $j$ such that

$$
x_{j} x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{j+m-1}=y_{1} y_{2} \ldots y_{m}
$$

$$
x=\text { The quick brown fox jumped across the pond... }
$$

$$
y=f o x
$$

Can assume without loss of generality that the strings are binary strings.

What is the 'naive' running time required to solve this problem?

## Rolling Hash

We will use the fact that the Rabin fingerprint is a rolling hash.

- Letting $X_{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_{j+i} \cdot 2^{m-1-i}$ be the integer value represented by the binary string $x_{j} x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{j+m-1}$, we have

$$
x_{j+1}=2 \cdot x_{j}-2^{m} x_{j}+x_{j+m} .
$$

- Thus, since for any $X, \mathrm{~h}(X)=X \bmod p$,

$$
\mathrm{h}\left(X_{j+1}\right)=2 \cdot \mathrm{~h}\left(X_{j}\right)-2^{m} x_{j}+x_{j+m} \quad \bmod p .
$$

- Given $\mathrm{h}\left(X_{j}\right)$, this hash value can be computed using just $O(1)$ arithmetic operations.


## Rabin-Karp Algorithm

The Rabin-Karp pattern matching algorithm is then:

- Pick a random prime $p \in[1, t m \log m t]$, for $t=c n$.
- Let $Y=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{y})$ be the Rabin fingerprint of the pattern.
- Let $H=h\left(X_{1}\right)$ be the Rabin fingerprint of the first block of text.
- For $j=1, \ldots, x_{n-m+1}$
- If $Y==H$, return $j$.
- Else, $H=2 \cdot H-2^{m} x_{j}+x_{j+m} \bmod p$.

Runtime: Takes $O(m+n)$ time in total. $O(m)$ for the initial hash computations, and $O(1)$ for each iteration of the for loop.

Correctness: The probability of a false positive at any step is upper bounded by $\frac{1}{t}=\frac{1}{c n}$. Thus, via a union bound, the probably of a false positive overall is at most $\frac{n}{c n}=\frac{1}{c}$.

## Questions on Random Hashing?

Interesting topics I am not covering:

- Constructions of universal hash functions.
- Constructions of $k$-wise independent hash functions.
- Concentration bounds and hash table analysis using $k$-wise independent hash functions. See Lectures 3-4 of Jelani Nelson's course notes for some material on this (link on schedule page).
- Connections to pseudorandom number generators (PRGs).

