

# COMPSCI 614: Problem Set 4

**Due: 4/22 by 11:59pm in Gradescope.**

## Instructions:

- You are allowed to, and highly encouraged to, work on this problem set in a group of up to three members.
- Each group should **submit a single solution set**: one member should upload a pdf to Gradescope, marking the other members as part of their group in Gradescope.
- You may talk to members of other groups at a high level about the problems but **not work through the solutions in detail together**.
- You must show your work/derive any answers as part of the solutions to receive full credit.

**Hint:** The following two inequalities may be helpful throughout the course: for any  $x > 0$ ,  $(1 + x)^{1/x} \leq e$  and  $(1 - x)^{1/x} \leq 1/e$ .

## 1. Tighter Bounds for Trace Estimation (4 points)

Consider any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Use the Hanson-Wright inequality to show that if  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in \{-1, 1\}^n$  are chosen to have independent and uniformly distributed  $\pm 1$  entries, then for  $m = O\left(\frac{\log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{T}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i^T A \mathbf{x}_i$  satisfies,

$$\Pr [|\bar{\mathbf{T}} - \text{tr}(A)| > \epsilon \|A\|_F] \leq \delta.$$

How does this compare to the bound proven in class using Chebyshev's inequality?

## 2. A Naive Net Bound (4 points)

In class we showed via a volume argument that there is an  $\epsilon$ -net over the unit ball  $\mathcal{S} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|y\|_2 = 1\}$  containing  $\left(\frac{4}{\epsilon}\right)^d$  points. Consider instead using the following simple net: let  $G = [-1, -1 + \delta, -1 + 2\delta, 0, \delta, 2\delta, \dots, 1 - \delta, 1]$  be a grid of spacing  $\delta$  over  $[-1, 1]$  and let  $\mathcal{N} = G^d$  be the set of all  $d$ -dimensional vectors on the  $d$ -dimension grid defined by  $G$ .

How small must we set  $\delta$  such that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -net for  $\mathcal{S}$ . How large of a net does this yield? How would using this construction instead of the one shown in class affect our final bounds on the required dimension for subspace embedding?

### 3. Matrix Concentration from Scratch (8 points)

Consider a random symmetric matrix  $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  where  $\mathbf{M}_{ij} = \mathbf{M}_{ji}$  is set independently to 1 with probability 1/2 and  $-1$  with probability 1/2. Let  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 = \max_{x: \|x\|=1} \|\mathbf{M}x\|_2$  be the spectral norm of  $\mathbf{M}$ . Recall that  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2$  is equal to the largest singular value of  $\mathbf{M}$ , which equals the largest magnitude of one of its eigenvalues.

- (2 points) Give upper and lower bounds on  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2$  that hold deterministically – i.e., for any random choice of the entries of  $\mathbf{M}$ . **Hint:** You may want to use  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_F$ , and its relation to the singular values to derive your bounds.
- (2 points) Observe that you can also write  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 = \max_{x: \|x\|=1} |x^T \mathbf{M}x|$ . Show that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ , with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ ,  $|x^T \mathbf{M}x| \leq c\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)}$  for some constant  $c$ .  
**Hint:** Use Hoeffding's inequality, which is a useful variant on the Bernstein inequality. For independent random variables  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n$ , and scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$  with  $\mathbf{X}_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ ,  $\Pr \left[ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i - \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{X}_i] \right| \geq t \right] \leq 2 \exp \left( \frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2} \right)$ .
- (4 points) Prove that with probability  $1 - \frac{1}{n^{c_1}}$ ,  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \leq c_2 \sqrt{n \log n}$  for some fixed constants  $c_1, c_2$ . **Hint:** Use an  $\epsilon$ -net for  $\epsilon = 1/n$  and part (1).

### 4. Compressed Sensing From Subspace Embedding (6 points)

Given a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a random matrix  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , consider computing  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{S}x$ . If  $m < n$ , you can in general not determine  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  from  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , since  $\mathbf{S}$  is not an invertible map. Here, we will argue that you can recover  $x$ , assuming that it is  $k$ -sparse for small enough  $k$ . I.e., that it has at most  $k$  nonzero entries. This is known as *compressed sensing* or *sparse recovery*.

- (2 points) Assume that  $\mathbf{S}$  satisfies the distributional JL lemma/subspace embedding theorem proven in class. I.e., for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ , if  $m = O\left(\frac{d + \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ , then with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\mathbf{S}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -subspace embedding for  $A$ . Prove that if  $m = O\left(\frac{k \log(n/k) + \log(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ , with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $z$  is  $k$ -sparse,  $(1 - \epsilon)\|z\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{S}z\|_2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|z\|_2$ .  
**Hint:** Show that with high probability,  $\mathbf{S}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -subspace embedding simultaneously for  $\binom{n}{k}$  different matrices.
- (2 points) Use the above result, applied with  $k' = 2k$ , to show that if  $m = O(k \log(n/k) + \log(1/\delta))$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $k$ -sparse, then with probability  $\geq 1 - \delta$ ,  $x$  can be recovered exactly from  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{S}x$ .  
**Hint:** Consider solving the equation  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{S}x$ , under the restriction that  $x$  is  $k$ -sparse. Show that there is a unique solution.
- (2 points) Argue that the above result is nearly optimal in terms of how much  $x$  is compressed. In particular, prove that for any function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{o(k \log(n/k))}$ , given  $f(x)$  for some  $k$ -sparse  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , one cannot recover  $x$  uniquely, even under the assumption that all entries of  $x$  are either 0 or 1.

### 5. Sparse Subspace Embedding (14 points)<sup>1</sup>

In this problem we will show how to construct very efficient subspace embeddings via Count Sketch random matrices. In particular, let  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be a Count Sketch matrix where for each column we

<sup>1</sup>Credit to Prof. Hung Le for giving me the idea for this problem.

independently pick a single entry uniformly at random and set it to 1 or  $-1$ , each with probability  $1/2$ . All other entries are set to 0.

You may use the following fact, proven on the midterm exam: for  $m = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2\delta})$ , for any fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(1 - \epsilon)\|y\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{S}y\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|y\|_2^2$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

1. (2 points) What is the runtime required to multiply  $\mathbf{S}$  by a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ ? How does this compare to the dense random sign sketching matrices studied in class?
2. (2 points) Using the  $\epsilon$ -net + union bound proof approach from class, how large would we have to set  $m$  to ensure that  $\mathbf{S}$  is a subspace embedding for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . How does this compare to what was shown in class for dense random sign matrices? **Hint:** The dependence on the failure probability for norm preservation for Count-Sketch is  $1/\delta$ , not  $\log(1/\delta)$ , and this cannot be improved significantly.
3. (2 points) Let  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be an orthonormal basis for the column span of  $A$ . Consider the  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} \mathbf{V}$ . Argue that if  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^k} \frac{|x^T \mathbf{M} x|}{\|x\|_2^2} \leq \epsilon$  then  $\mathbf{S}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -subspace embedding for  $A$ . **Hint:** Rewrite any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in the column span of  $A$  as  $\mathbf{V}c$  for some coefficient vector  $c$  and expand out  $|\|y\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{S}y\|_2^2|$ .
4. (2 points) Prove that for  $m = O\left(\frac{d^4}{\delta\epsilon^2}\right)$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , for every pair of columns  $v_i, v_j$  of  $\mathbf{V}$ , we have  $2 - \frac{\epsilon}{d} \leq \|\mathbf{S}v_i - \mathbf{S}v_j\|_2^2 \leq 2 + \frac{\epsilon}{d}$ , and further for every  $v_i$ ,  $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2d} \leq \|\mathbf{S}v_i\|_2^2 \leq 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2d}$ . **Hint:** Apply the result from the midterm.
5. (2 points) Prove that if the bounds for part (4) hold, then for all pairs  $v_i, v_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , we have  $|v_i^T \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{S} v_j| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{d}$ . **Hint:** Expand out  $\|\mathbf{S}v_i - \mathbf{S}v_j\|_2^2$  as an inner product.
6. (2 points) Use part (5) to prove that if the bounds from part (4) hold, then  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_F \leq \epsilon$  and in turn that  $\|\mathbf{M}\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .
7. (2 points) Conclude that a random Count Sketch matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  with  $m = O(\frac{d^4}{\epsilon^2\delta})$  is a subspace embedding for any  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ . How does this compare to the result on dense sketching matrices shown in class?