

# CodeHint: Dynamic and Interactive Synthesis of Code Snippets

Joel Galenson, Philip Reames, Ratislav Bodik, Björn Hartmann, Koushik Sen

---

presenter name(s) removed for FERPA considerations

# Research Questions

---

# Motivating Research Questions

1. How can users find code snippets using whatever partial information they have about the desired result?

# Motivating Research Questions

1. How can users find code snippets using whatever partial information they have about the desired result?
2. Can a dynamic approach to code generation and completion be more useful than existing static methods?

# Motivating Research Questions

1. How can users find code snippets using whatever partial information they have about the desired result?
2. Can a dynamic approach to code generation and completion be more useful than existing static methods?
3. How can the search procedure for candidate statements be improved?

# Contributions

---

# Contributions

A method for synthesizing code using:

Dynamic Analysis

Intuitive Specification Language

Interactive User Input

# Contributions

An algorithm that can generate relevant code snippets based on user constraints and probabilistic model

Powerful enough to handle I/O, reflections, and native calls in the host language



# Contributions

An implementation of preceding ideas in the form of an Eclipse plug-in, for Java code

Some empirical studies on the implementation's effectiveness in real-world scenarios

# Key Ideas

---

# Key Ideas

- Programmer expresses their intuition about the result and the IDE synthesizes code fragments
- Most tools before CodeHint that help programmers find code fragments, rely on static information. They are inexpressive.
- Use Dynamic Analysis!
- Why is dynamic analysis better than static?

# Key Ideas

- Take advantage of dynamic context information
- Example:  
Dereferencing exactly the expressions that do not evaluate to **NULL** in the current context

# Key Ideas

## The Specification:

Programmer expresses their partial knowledge about the result using predicates called partial dynamic specification (*PDSpec*)

- Pdspecs can be a constraint on the desired value, type or any other property.
- example: `x instanceof MenuBar`

# Algorithm

Given this specification, CodeHint will begin an iterative search for expressions that satisfy the pdspec.

- **First Iteration:**
- CodeHint queries the debugger, searches local variables and special values like this, null.

# Algorithm

## Second Iteration:

- CodeHint combines simple expressions into complicated ones according to the language grammar
- All accessible methods available on a type are queried.
- Try all combinations.
- Evaluations might have side effects, so CodeHint has to keep undoing them
- Uses Java's security manager to disable external side effects like deleting files.
- Equivalent expressions will be grouped to avoid duplication.

# Algorithm

## Third Iteration:

- Over 10 million Java LOC analysed, and a probabilistic model is developed that helps guide the search.
- The probabilistic model will guide the search towards the most likely ones.



# Key Ideas

- User can give extra hints using **Skeletons**.
- Skeletons are normal code with holes representing unknowns.
- Example: `MyObject.myMethod(??)`
- `??` = missing portion

# Explanatory Example

---

**Objective: To remove ALL integers of a specified value, from a list.**

```
void RemoveAllFromList(  
    List<Integer> ls,  
    int x){  
  
    // Code Required!  
}
```

# Objective: To remove ALL integers of a specified value, from a list.

```
void RemoveAllFromList (  
    List<Integer> ls,  
    int x) {  
  
    // Code Required!  
  
}
```

**Sample**      `ls = [0 , 1 , 2]`  
**Input**        `x = 0`

**PDSpec**      `!ls.contains((Integer)0)`

# Objective: To remove ALL integers of a specified value, from a list.

```
void RemoveAllFromList (  
    List<Integer> ls,  
    int x) {  
  
    // Code Required!  
}
```

**Sample Input**      `ls = [0 , 1 , 2]`  
                  `x = 0`

**PDSpec**            `!ls.contains ((Integer) 0)`

## Candidates

```
ls.remove (0)
```

```
ls.removeAll ((Integer) x)
```

```
ls.remove ((Integer) x)
```

```
ls.removeAll ((Integer) 0)
```

```
ls.clear ()
```

# Objective: To remove ALL integers of a specified value, from a list.

```
void RemoveAllFromList (  
    List<Integer> ls,  
    int x) {  
  
    // Code Required!  
}
```

**Sample Input**      `ls = [2 , 3 , 4 , 3]`  
                  `x = 3`

**PDSpec**            `!ls.contains ((Integer) 3)`

## Candidates

```
ls.remove (0)
```

```
ls.removeAll ((Integer) x)
```

```
ls.remove ((Integer) x)
```

```
ls.removeAll ((Integer) 0)
```

```
ls.clear ()
```

# Objective: To remove ALL integers of a specified value, from a list.

```
void RemoveAllFromList (  
    List<Integer> ls,  
    int x) {  
  
    // Code Required!  
}
```

Sample Input      `ls = [2 , 3 , 4 , 3]`  
                    `x = 3`

PDSpec            `!ls.contains ((Integer) 3)`

## Candidates

~~`ls.remove(0)`~~

`ls.removeAll ((Integer) x)`

~~`ls.remove((Integer)x)`~~

~~`ls.removeAll((Integer)0)`~~

`ls.clear()`

# Evaluations

---



# User Evaluation

I.e. *How useful is it in practice?*

Ability to complete task?

Task completion time?

Quality of code?

Tested using 28 people, divided into two groups which worked on same tasks, independent of each other.

**One group used CodeHint, other group did not.**

# User Evaluation

## Results

	<b>Without CodeHint</b>	<b>With CodeHint</b>
<b>Success Rate</b>	27%	69%
<b>Completion Time</b>	92 s	46 s
<b>Number of bugs</b>	24	11

**Statistically Significant Results!**

**CodeHint helps!**

# Performance Evaluation

I.e. *Is the tool efficient?*

Search time?

Is probabilistic model advantageous?

# Performance Evaluation

Time needed to search & evaluate till various depths

	<b>Depth = 2</b>	<b>Depth = 3</b>	<b>Depth = 4</b>
<b>Average</b>	0.5 s	1.3 s	5.3 s
<b>Median</b>	0.4 s	1.1 s	3.6 s

# Performance Evaluation

Advantage of Probabilistic Model + Heuristics

(Measured by number of expressions evaluated till depth = 3)

	<b>Standard</b>	<b>Without Heuristics</b>	<b>Brute Force</b>
<b>Average</b>	412.9	53769.2	44857654.2
<b>Median</b>	234	4457	115410

**CodeHint is efficient!**

**The probabilistic model helps!**

# Discussion Questions

---

# Discussion Questions

Is it better to break up a CodeHint request into multiple intermediate steps, or to chain method calls into one single statement?

# Discussion Questions

The developers used twenty-eight users to evaluate CodeHint's effectiveness. Is this enough to achieve confidence in the result?



# Discussion Questions

This implementation was done for Java. How could CodeHint's methods work for other programming languages?

# Discussion Questions

If a working codebase were used to train the probabilistic model instead of the original ten million line codebase, how could CodeHint's functionality be affected?

# Discussion Questions

How could CodeHint be used for debugging?