#### **Distributional Similarity / Semantics**

#### CS 585, Fall 2016

Introduction to Natural Language Processing http://people.cs.umass.edu/~brenocon/inlp2016/

#### Brendan O'Connor

College of Information and Computer Sciences University of Massachusetts Amherst

[Slides borrowed from Dan Jurafsky and David Belanger]

Why vector models of meaning? computing the similarity between words

"fast" is similar to "rapid"

"tall" is similar to "height"

Question answering:

Q: "How tall is Mt. Everest?"

Candidate A: "The official height of Mount Everest is 29029 feet"

## Word similarity for plagiarism detection

#### MAINFRAMES

Mainframes are primarily referred to large computers with rapid, advanced processing capabilities that can execute and perform tasks equivalent to many Personal Computers (PCs) machines networked together. It is characterized with high quantity Random Access Memory (RAM), very large secondary storage devices, and high-speed processors to cater for the needs of the computers under its

service. Consisting of advanced components,

Consisting of advanced components, mainframes have the capability of running multiple large applications required by many and most enterprises and organizations. This is one of its advantages. Mainframes are also suitable to cater for those applications (programs) or files that are of very high

#### MAINFRAMES

Mainframes usually are referred those computers with fast, advanced processing capabilities that could perform by itself tasks that may require a lot of Personal Computers (PC) Machines. Usually mainframes would have lots of RAMs, very large secondary storage devices, and very fast processors to cater for the needs of those computers under its service.

Due to the advanced components mainframes have, these computers have the capability of running multiple large applications required by most enterprises, which is one of its advantage. Mainframes are also suitable to cater for those applications or files that are of very large demand

# Word similarity for historical linguistics: semantic change over time

#### Kulkarni, Al-Rfou, Perozzi, Skiena 2015



#### Sagi, Kaufmann Clark 2013

Distributional models of meaning

- = vector-space models of meaning
- = vector semantics

**Intuitions**: Zellig Harris (1954):

- "oculist and eye-doctor ... occur in almost the same environments"
- "If A and B have almost identical environments we say that they are synonyms."

Distributional models of meaning

- = vector-space models of meaning
- = vector semantics

**Intuitions**: Zellig Harris (1954):

- "oculist and eye-doctor ... occur in almost the same environments"
- "If A and B have almost identical environments we say that they are synonyms."

Firth (1957):

• "You shall know a word by the company it keeps!"

## Intuition of distributional word similarity

• Nida example:

A bottle of **tesgüino** is on the table Everybody likes **tesgüino Tesgüino** makes you drunk We make **tesgüino** out of corn.

From context words humans can guess tesgüino means...

# Intuition of distributional word similarity

• Nida example:

A bottle of **tesgüino** is on the table Everybody likes **tesgüino Tesgüino** makes you drunk We make **tesgüino** out of corn.

- From context words humans can guess tesgüino means...
- an alcoholic beverage like **beer**
- Intuition for algorithm:
  - Two words are similar if they have similar word contexts.

Question:

# What do 'art' and 'pharmaceuticals' have in common?

What are contexts that they would both have? What are contexts that they wouldn't share?

## **Comparing Context Vectors**

common contexts for "pharmaceuticals" but not "art" [206 total]

common contexts for both "art" and "pharmaceuticals" [165 total]

common contexts for "art" but not "pharmaceuticals" [7394 total]

> a greater amount of standards for marketer of market for prescriptions for the supply of \_\_\_\_\_ the availability of \_\_\_\_\_ advertising for the appropriate use of \_\_\_\_\_ shipment of \_\_\_\_\_ a cocktail of classes of a complete inventory of \_\_\_\_ related downloads

new generations of  $\_$ 

areas such as prices of storage of producers of designed for the provision of sold in the same way as are among The production of the analysis of advances in specialising in \_ a career in stolen from

'm into 's interested in A collection of has been described by structure of study in \_\_\_\_ have been shown in The knowledge of is a commodity is a creation is a world an exhibition of the commercialization of the confinement of is cast in

# Four kinds of vector models

#### Sparse vector representations

1. Mutual-information weighted word co-occurrence matrices

#### Dense vector representations:

- 2. Singular value decomposition (and Latent Semantic Analysis)
- 3. Neural-network-inspired models (skip-grams, CBOW)
- 4. Brown clusters

• Model the meaning of a word by "embedding" in a vector space.

- Model the meaning of a word by "embedding" in a vector space.
- The meaning of a word is a vector of numbers
  - Vector models are also called "embeddings".

- Model the meaning of a word by "embedding" in a vector space.
- The meaning of a word is a vector of numbers
  - Vector models are also called "embeddings".
- Contrast: word meaning is represented in many computational linguistic applications by a vocabulary index ("word number 545")

- Model the meaning of a word by "embedding" in a vector space.
- The meaning of a word is a vector of numbers
  - Vector models are also called "embeddings".
- Contrast: word meaning is represented in many computational linguistic applications by a vocabulary index ("word number 545")
- Old philosophy joke:

Q: What's the meaning of life? A: LIFE'

- Each cell: count of term *t* in a document *d*: tf<sub>*t*,*d*</sub>:
  - Each document is a count vector in  $\mathbb{N}^{v}$ : a column below

	As You Lik	e lt	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle		1	1	8	15
soldier		2	2	12	36
fool		37	58	1	5
clown		6	117	0	0

• Two documents are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	1	8	15
soldier	2	2	12	36
fool	37	58	1	5
clown	6	117	0	0

• Two documents are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	1	8	15
soldier	2	2	12	36
fool	37	58	1	5
clown	6	117	0	0

• Two documents are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	1	8	15
soldier	2	2	12	36
fool	37	58	1	5
clown	6	117	0	0

• Each word is a count vector in ℕ<sup>D</sup>: a row below

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	1	8	15
soldier	2	2	12	36
fool	37	58	1	5
clown	6	117	0	0

• Each word is a count vector in  $\mathbb{N}^{D}$ : a row below

	As You L	ike It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle		1	1	8	15
soldier		2	2	12	36
fool		37	58	1	5
clown		6	117	0	0

• Two words are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Like It	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle	1	1	8	15
soldier	2	2	12	36
fool	37	58	1	5
clown	6	117	0	0

• Two words are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Li	ke lt	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle		1	1	8	15
soldier		2	2	12	36
fool		37	58	1	5
clown		6	117	0	0

• Two words are similar if their vectors are similar

	As You Lik	ke lt	Twelfth Night	Julius Caesar	Henry V
battle		1	1	8	15
soldier		2	2	12	36
fool		37	58	1	5
clown		6	117	0	0

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

#### The word-word or word-context matrix

- Instead of entire documents, use smaller contexts
  - Paragraph
  - Window of  $\pm 4$  words
  - A word is now defined by a vector over counts of context words
  - Instead of each vector being of length D
  - Each vector is now of length |V|
  - The word-word matrix is |V|x|V|

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of apricot preserve or jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened well suited to programming on the digital for the purpose of gathering data and information for the purpose of gathering data and information for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

...

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of **apricot** their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first **pineapple** well suited to programming on the digital **computer**.

...

preserve or jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened In finding the optimal R-stage policy from for the purpose of gathering data and information necessary for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of **apricot** their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first **pineapple** well suited to programming on the digital **computer**.

...

preserve or jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened In finding the optimal R-stage policy from for the purpose of gathering data and information necessary for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	•••
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of **apricot** their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first **pineapple** well suited to programming on the digital **computer**.

...

...

preserve or jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened In finding the optimal R-stage policy from for the purpose of gathering data and information necessary for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of **apricot** their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first **pineapple** well suited to programming on the digital **computer**.

preserve or jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened In finding the optimal R-stage policy from for the purpose of gathering data and information necessary for the study authorized in the

	aardvark	computer	data	pinch	result	sugar	
apricot	0	0	0	1	0	1	
pineapple	0	0	0	1	0	1	
digital	0	_2	1	0	1	0	
information	0	1	6	0	4	0	

...

## Word-word matrix

- We showed only 4x6, but the real matrix is 50,000 x 50,000
  - So it's very sparse
    - Most values are 0.
  - That's OK, since there are lots of efficient algorithms for sparse matrices.
  - The size of windows depends on your goals
    - The shorter the windows , the more  ${\bf syntactic}$  the representation  $\pm$  1-3 very syntacticy
    - The longer the windows, the more semantic the representation ± 4-10 more semanticy

### 2 kinds of co-occurrence between 2 words (Schütze and Pedersen, 1993)

- First-order co-occurrence (syntagmatic association):
  - They are typically nearby each other.
  - wrote is a first-order associate of book or poem.
- Second-order co-occurrence (paradigmatic association):
  - They have similar neighbors.
  - *wrote* is a second- order associate of words like *said* or *remarked*.

which gets **syntactic** sim? which gets **topical** sim?

## Problem with raw counts

- Raw word frequency is not a great measure of association between words
  - It's very skewed
    - "the" and "of" are very frequent, but maybe not the most discriminative
- We'd rather have a measure that asks whether a context word is **particularly informative** about the target word.
  - Positive Pointwise Mutual Information (PPMI)

#### **Pointwise Mutual Information**

#### Pointwise mutual information:

Do events x and y co-occur more than if they were independent?

$$PMI(X, Y) = \log_2 \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x)P(y)}$$

#### PMI between two words: (Church & Hanks 1989)

Do words x and y co-occur more than if they were independent?

$$PMI(word_1, word_2) = \log_2 \frac{P(word_1, word_2)}{P(word_1)P(word_2)}$$

#### **Positive Pointwise Mutual Information**

- PMI ranges from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- But the negative values are problematic
  - Things are co-occurring less than we expect by chance
  - Unreliable without enormous corpora
    - Imagine w1 and w2 whose probability is each 10<sup>-6</sup>
    - Hard to be sure p(w1,w2) is significantly different than 10<sup>-12</sup>
  - Plus it's not clear people are good at "unrelatedness"
- So we just replace negative PMI values by 0
- Positive PMI (PPMI) between word1 and word2:  $PPMI(word_1, word_2) = max \left( log_2 \frac{P(word_1, word_2)}{P(word_1)P(word_2)}, 0 \right)$

## **Measuring similarity**

- Given 2 target words v and w
- We'll need a way to measure their similarity.
- Most measure of vectors similarity are based on the:
- **Dot product** or **inner product** from linear algebra dot-product $(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} v_i w_i = v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + ... + v_N w_N$ 
  - High when two vectors have large values in same dimensions.
  - Low (in fact 0) for **orthogonal vectors** with zeros in complementary
- 31 distribution

## **Solution: cosine**

- Just divide the dot product by the length of the two vectors!  $\frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}}{|\vec{a}||\vec{b}|}$
- This turns out to be the cosine of the angle between them!

$$ec{a} \cdot ec{b} = ec{a} ec{b} ec{b} \cos heta \ rac{ec{a} \cdot ec{b}}{ec{a} ec{b} ec{b}} = \cos heta$$

#### Cosine



*v<sub>i</sub>* is the PPMI value for word *v* in context *i w<sub>i</sub>* is the PPMI value for word *w* in context *i*.

$$\operatorname{Cos}(\overrightarrow{v,w})$$
 is the cosine similarity of  $\overrightarrow{v}$  and  $\overrightarrow{w}$ 

$$\frac{|arge|}{|arge|} = \frac{|arge|}{|arge|} \frac{|arge|$$

#### Visualization



# **WORD EMBEDDINGS**

# Word Embeddings

Sparse Context Vector (10 million+ dimensional):

 $V_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4, 0, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, \dots \end{bmatrix}$ [This can be directly used, but maybe too slow, sparse] Instead represent every word type as a lowdimensional dense vector (about 100 dimensional ).

$$E_i = [.253, 458, 4.56, 78.5, 120, \ldots]$$

These don't come directly from the data. They need to be learned.



# Nearest Neighbors

- deals --> checks approvals vents stickers cuts
- warned --> suggested speculated predicted stressed argued
- ability --> willingness inability eagerness disinclination desire
- dark --> comfy wild austere cold tinny
- possibility --> possiblity possibility dangers notion likelihood

# Nearest Neighbors

- deals --> checks approvals vents stickers cuts
- warned --> suggested speculated predicted stressed argued
- ability --> willingness inability eagerness disinclination desire
- dark --> comfy wild austere cold tinny
- possibility --> possiblity possibility dangers notion likelihood

Question:

# What are the pros and cons of representing word types with such small vectors?

Answer:

Pro:

It requires less annotated data to train an ML model on low dimensional features.

Con: You can't capture all of the subtlety of language in 100 dimensions. (...can you?)

# Learning Embeddings by Preserving Similarity

- Given long, sparse context cooccurrence vectors  $V_i$  and  $V_j$
- Goal: Choose Embeddings  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  such that similarity is approximately preserved  $V_i^{\top}V_j \approx E_i^{\top}E_j$
- Difficulty: need to do this for all words jointly.
- Solution: Use an eigen-decomposition (implemented in every language).



9

(or other matrix factorization techniques)